

Geometric Satake equivalence

Simon Riche

September 3rd, 2019

2. Geometric Satake equivalence

2.1. Affine Grassmannians

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[\varpi]]$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((\varpi))$$

H complex algebraic group

$H_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbb{C}$ -group scheme which represents the functor $R \mapsto H(\mathbb{C}[[\varpi]])$ ($= L^+H$ in Timo's lecture). $H_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbb{C}$ -group scheme which represents the functor $R \mapsto H(\mathbb{C}((\varpi)))$ ($= LH$ in Timo's lecture).

From now on G is a complex connected reductive algebraic group

B is a Borel subgroup

T maximal torus

B^- is the opposite Borel subgroup

N = unipotent radical of B

N^- = unipotent radical of B^-

W Weyl group of (G, T)

$\mathbb{X}^\vee = \mathbf{X}_*(T)$ cocharacter of T

simple coroots $= \Delta_s^\vee = \Delta_s^\vee(G, B, T) \subset$ positive roots $= \Delta_+^\vee = \Delta_+^\vee(G, B, T) \subset \Delta^\vee = \Delta^\vee(G, T)$ coroots of (G, T)

\mathbb{X}_+^\vee : dominant characters

Same for $\mathbb{X} \supset \Delta \supset \Delta_+ \supset \Delta_s$

Dominance order on \mathbb{X}^\vee . $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{X}^\vee$.

$\lambda \leq \mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \Delta_+^\vee$.

ρ = halfsum of positive roots ($\langle \rho, - \rangle : \mathbb{X}^\vee \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$)

Affine Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_G = ((G_{\mathcal{K}}/G_{\mathcal{O}})_{et})_{red}$ ind-reduced, ind-proper ind-scheme, ind-(of finite type).

2.2 Decompositions

The embedding $T \subset G$ induces a closed embedding $\text{Gr}_T \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G : \varpi^\lambda T_{\mathcal{O}} \mapsto L_\lambda$.

$\text{Gr}_T = \mathbb{X}^\vee$ via $\lambda \mapsto \varpi^\lambda T_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Cartan decomposition. $\text{Gr}_G = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}_+^\vee} \text{Gr}_G^\lambda$ with $\text{Gr}_G^\lambda = \mathcal{O} \cdot L_\lambda$. (smooth locally closed subvariety).

We have $\overline{\text{Gr}_G^\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}_+^\vee} \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} \text{Gr}_G^\mu$ (proj var with algebraic stratification)

$$\dim(\text{Gr}_G^\lambda) = \langle 3p, \lambda \rangle$$

P_λ^- = parabolic subgroup of G containing B^- and associated with $\{\alpha \in \Delta_s \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0\}$.

Then we have $\text{Gr}_G^\lambda \rightarrow G/P_\lambda^-$ via $L_\lambda \mapsto P_\lambda^-$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}_+^\vee$ This is a Zariski locally trivial fibration whose fibers are affine spaces.

Consequences. Gr_G^λ is simply connected (no nontrivial local systems)

Bruhat decomposition . $I \subset G_0$ Iwahori subgroup $\rightarrow B \subset G$ via $\varpi \mapsto 0$.

Then $\text{Gr}_G = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^\vee} \text{Gr}_{G,\lambda}$ with $\text{Gr}_{G,\lambda} = I \cdot L \lambda$ (isom. to an affine space).

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}_+^\vee$ we have

$$\text{Gr}_G^\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\mu \in W \cdot \lambda} \text{Gr}_{G,\mu}$$

|

V

$$G/P_\lambda^- = \bigsqcup_{w \in W/W_\lambda} BwP_\lambda^-/P_\lambda^- \quad (\mu = w\lambda).$$

Iwasawa Decomposition.

$$\text{Gr}_G = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^\vee} S_\lambda \text{ with } S_\lambda = N_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot L_\lambda$$

$$= \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^\vee} T_\lambda \text{ with } T_\lambda = N_{\mathcal{K}}^- \cdot L_\lambda.$$

Both S_λ and T_λ are ind-varieties.

$$\overline{S_\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{X}^\vee} \bigsqcup_{\nu \leq \lambda} S_\nu$$

$$\overline{T_\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{X}^\vee} \bigsqcup_{\nu \geq \lambda} T_\nu$$

2.3. The Satake Category

k commutative Noetherian ring of finite global dimension.

Satake Category. $\mathbf{Perv}_{G_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G, k)$ $G_{\mathcal{O}}$ -equivariant. k perverse sheaves on Gr_G with respect to the stratification by G_0 -orbits.

Pf here. Gr_G is an ind-variety and not a variety. G_0 is not of finite type.

One overcomes these difficulties in the following way :

if $X \subset \mathrm{Gr}_G$ is a finite union of G_0 orbits, then X is a (proj) variety. Moreover, the G_0 -action on X factors through the action of $L_i^+ G$ for $i \gg 0$.

Fact. The category $\mathbf{Perv}_{L_i^+ G}(X, k)$ does **not** depend on the choice of i .

Then we set $\mathbf{Perv}_{G_0}(\mathrm{Gr}_G, k) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ X}} \mathbf{Perv}_{G_{\mathcal{O}}}(X, k)$ where X runs over finite closed unions of $G_{\mathcal{O}}$ -orbits.

Remark. If $X_1 \subset X_2$, $\mathbf{Perv}_{G_{\mathcal{O}}}(X_1, k) \rightarrow \mathbf{Perv}_{G_{\mathcal{O}}}(X_2, k)$ is fully faithful so there are no subtleties in the colimit. Below we will ignore those subtleties.

2.4 Convolution

We consider the twisted product

$$\mathrm{Gr}_G \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_G = ((G_{\mathcal{K}} \times \mathrm{Gr}_G)/G_{\mathcal{O}})_{et, red}$$

we have $m : \mathrm{Gr}_G \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_G \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_G$ induced by $(g, hG_{\mathcal{O}}) \mapsto ghG_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Prop (Mirkovic - Vilonen). m is stratified semismall with respect to the stratifications $(\mathrm{Gr}_G^\lambda \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_G^\mu)_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{X}_+^\vee}$ and $(\mathrm{Gr}_G^\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}_+^\vee}$.

For $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Perv}_{G_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G, k)$ we consider $p^*(\mathcal{F})^L \boxtimes_k \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Perv}(G_{\mathcal{K}} \times \mathrm{Gr}_G, k)$.

$p : G_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_G$ projection. This is a $G_{\mathcal{O}}$ equivariant perverse sheaf (for the diagonal $G_{\mathcal{O}}$ action). So by descent there exists a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F} \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{G}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_G \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_G$ whose pullback to $G_{\mathcal{K}} \times \mathrm{Gr}_G$ is $p^*(\mathcal{F})^L \boxtimes_k \mathcal{G}$, take

$$\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{G} := m_*(\mathcal{F} \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{G}) \text{ textperversesheafbystratifiedsemismallness.}$$

Facts.

- Convolution is *associative* (i.e. there exists a canonical isom $(- \star -) \star - = - \star (- \star -)$ functorial in each entry).
- The object $\delta_{\mathrm{Gr}} := \text{skyscraper sheaf at } L_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}_G$ is a unit object (i.e. there are canonical isom $\delta_{\mathrm{Gr}} \times - \simeq \text{id}$, $d \simeq - \star \delta_{\mathrm{Gr}}$)

So it is a *monoidal* category.

2.5. Statement

$G_k^\vee = \text{“Langlands dual reductive } k\text{-group”} = \text{Spec}(k) \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} G_{\mathbb{Z}}^\vee$ where $G_{\mathbb{Z}}^\vee$ is the unique split reductive group over \mathbb{Z} whose base change to \mathbb{C} whose root datum is $(\mathbb{X}^\vee, \mathbb{X}, \Delta^\vee, \Delta)$ (exchange roots and coroots).

$\text{Rep}(G_k^\vee) = \text{cat of algebraic } G_k^\vee\text{-modules (} \mathcal{O}(G_k^\vee)\text{-comodule) which are finitely generated as } k\text{-modules.}$

Theorem. There exists an equivalence of monoidal categories $(\mathbf{Perv}_{G_0}(\text{Gr}_G, k), \star) \simeq (\text{Rep}(G_k^\vee), \otimes)$ under which the forgetful functor $\text{Rep}(G_k^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_k^{fg}$ corresponds to $\mathbb{H}^*(\text{Gr}_G, -) : \mathbf{Perv}_{G_0}(\text{Gr}_G, k) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_k^{fg}$.

Remarks.

- (1.1) Simple objects (in case k is an algebraically closed field) in $\text{Rep}(G_k^\vee)$ are classified by highest weights (in \mathbb{X}_+^\vee).
- (1.2) In $\mathbf{Perv}_{G_0}(\text{Gr}, k) : \text{classified by pairs } (\text{Gr}_G^\lambda, \mathcal{L})$. Here \mathcal{L} must be \underline{k} . The simple objects are parametrized by \mathbb{X}_+^\vee .
- (2) Assume further that $\text{char}(k) = 0$. Then we will see later that $\mathbf{Perv}_{G_0}(\text{Gr}_G, k)$ is semisimple. The same is true for $\text{Rep}(G_k^\vee)$.

The existence of an equivalence $\text{Rep}(G_k^\vee) \simeq \mathbf{Perv}_{G_0}(\text{Gr}_G, k)$ is obvious. The main content of the theorem is then the compatibility with monoidal structures.

- (3) We will do slightly better. We will construct a group scheme \tilde{G}_k for any k and an equivalence $\mathbf{Perv}_{G_0}(\text{Gr}_G, k) \simeq \text{Rep}(\tilde{G}_k)$ such that $\tilde{G}_{k'} \simeq \text{Spec}(k') \times_{\text{Spec} k} \tilde{G}_k$ for any $k \rightarrow k'$ and show that $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is split reductive (with a canonical maximal torus) with appropriate root datum.

2.6. Commutativity

The tensor product in $\text{Rep}(G_k^\vee)$ is commutative, i.e. for $M, N \in \text{Rep}(G_k^\vee)$ we have a canonical isomorphism $M \otimes_k N \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes_k M$ so if the theorem is true, the same should hold for $\mathbf{Perv}_{G_0}(\text{Gr}, k)$.

In fact the proof will require to construct such an isomorphism before proving the theorem.

Idea of the construction. (Drinfeld) Use the moduli representation of Gr_G . We set $G = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, C^\times = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. Recall from Timo’s lecture that Gr_G represents the functor $R \mapsto \{(\mathcal{E}, \beta) | \mathcal{E} \text{ } G\text{-bundle on } C_R = C \times \text{Spec} R \beta : \mathcal{E}_{C_R}^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}|_{C_R^\times}\} / \simeq$.

“global” version. $\text{Gr}_{G,C} \rightarrow C$ ind-scheme which represents the functor

$$R \mapsto \left\{ (y, \mathcal{E}, \beta) | y \in C(\mathbb{R}) \mathcal{E} \text{ } G\text{-bundle on } C_R \beta : \mathcal{E}_{C_R \setminus \Gamma_y}^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{C_R \setminus \Gamma_y} \right\}.$$

But one can do that also over C^2 : one gets the Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{G,C^2} : \text{ind-scheme over } C^2$ which represents

$$R \mapsto \left\{ (y_1, y_2, \mathcal{E}, C) \mid y_1, y_2 \in C(R) \text{ } \mathcal{E} \text{ } G\text{-bundle over } C_R \text{ } \beta : \mathcal{E}_{C_R \setminus (\Gamma_{y_1} \cup \Gamma_{y_2})}^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{C_R \setminus (\Gamma_{y_1} \cup \Gamma_{y_2})} \right\}.$$

Facts.

- (1) This functor is represented by and ind-proper ind-scheme over C^2
- (2) $\mathrm{Gr}_{G, C^2} \times_{C^2} \Delta C = \mathrm{Gr}_{G, C} \times_C \Delta C = \mathrm{Gr}_G \times \Delta C$
- (3) $\mathrm{Gr}_{G, C^2} \times_{C^2} (C^2 \setminus \Delta C) = (\mathrm{Gr}_{G, C} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{G, C})|_{C^2 \setminus \Delta C} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_G \times \mathrm{Gr}_G \times (C^2 \setminus \Delta C)$.

To \mathcal{E} one associates the pair $(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ where \mathcal{E}_i is the G -bundle obtained by glueing $\mathcal{E}_{C_R \setminus \Gamma_{y_i}}^\circ$ using the trivialization β ($j \neq i$).

We set $i : \mathrm{Gr}_G \times \Delta C \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{G, C^2}$ (closed embedding)

$j : \mathrm{Gr}_G \times \mathrm{Gr}_G \times C^2 \setminus \Delta C \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{G, C^2}$ (open embedding)

Theorem (Belinson - Drinfeld). There exists an isomorphism

$$i^* \left(j_* \mathcal{H}^0 \left(\mathcal{F}_1^L \boxtimes_k \mathcal{F}_2^L \boxtimes_k \underline{k}_{C^2 \setminus \Delta C}[2] \right) \right)^{[-1]} \simeq (\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2)^L \boxtimes_k \underline{k}_{\Delta C^2}[1].$$

The construction on the left handside is called the *fusion product*.

Application. We have $\sigma : \mathrm{Gr}_{G, C^2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr}_{G, C^2}$ obtained by switching y_1 and y_2 . Restrict trivially to ΔC and to $(gG_{\mathcal{O}}, hG_{\mathcal{O}}, y_1, y_2) \mapsto (hG_{\mathcal{O}}, gG_{\mathcal{O}}, y_1, y_2)$ over $C^2 \setminus \Delta C$. Using the fact that $i^* \simeq i^* \sigma^*$ (because $\sigma_i = i$) one obtains a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_1 \star \mathcal{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_2 \star \mathcal{F}_1$.

Remark. On fact one needs to twist this isomorphism by a sign depending on the connected component supporting \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 to get the actual commutativity constraint.