

Satake, Weyl character formula, MacDonalD summary : Part 2

Julia Gordon

November 28th, 2019

F local non-archimedean field. $\mu \in \mathbf{X}_*(T) = \mathbf{X}^*(\hat{T})$, f_μ characteristic function of $K(\varpi^\mu)K$, is a function on $G(F)$.

If $\mu > 0$ then $\tau_\mu =$ characteristic function of V_μ , representation of $\hat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ of highest weight μ .

Spherical representations of G are in 1-1 correspondance to $\mathbb{C}[\hat{T}]^W$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbf{X}^*(\hat{T})$, let e^λ be a formal symbol.

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{X}^*(\hat{T})] \cong \mathbb{C}[\hat{T}],$$

via $\sum c_\lambda \cdot \lambda = \sum c_\lambda e^\lambda \mapsto \sum c_\lambda \lambda(t)$.

Natural bases for $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{X}^*(\hat{T})]^W$:

- 1. $\sum_{w \in W/W(\mu)} e^{w\mu}$ (one for each μ).
- 2. $S(f_\mu)$ (Satake transform).
- 3. $\{\tau_\mu\}_{\mu > 0}$.

Macdonald : rewrite $\{S(f_\mu)\}$ in terms of $\{\tau_\lambda\}$.

$$\delta^{-1/2}(\varpi^\lambda) = q^{\langle \lambda, f^\vee \rangle}$$

Macdonald: $S(f_\mu) = \text{vol}(M_\mu) q^{\langle \mu, \rho^\vee \rangle} \left(\sum_{w \in W} \frac{\prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - q^{-1} e^{-w\alpha})}{\prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - e^{-w\alpha})} \cdot e^{w\mu} \right).$

Weyl's character formula. $\lambda > 0$, $\tau_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W} \frac{e^{w\lambda}}{\prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - e^{-w\alpha})}$.

Expand the numerator of Macdonald's formula, and invert the order of the sums.

$$= \text{vol}(M_\mu) q^{\langle \mu, f^\vee \rangle} \sum_{S \subset \Phi^+} \sum_{w \in W} \frac{e^{w(\mu - \alpha_S)}}{\prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - e^{-w\alpha})},$$

where $\alpha_S = \sum_{\alpha \in S} \alpha$.

$$= \text{vol}(M_\mu) q^{\langle \mu, \rho^\vee \rangle} \sum_{S \subset \Phi^+} (-q)^{|S|} \tau_{\mu - \alpha_S}.$$

This is not quite right, because Weyl character formula is for dominant weights. While μ is dominant, $\mu - \alpha_S$ doesn't have to be.

Trick 1. $\frac{1}{1-e^{-w\alpha}} = 1 + e^{-w\alpha} + e^{-2w\alpha} + \dots$, plug those in formula and invert sums. We saw in Nick's talk that we can rewrite Weyl character formula by

$$\tau_\lambda = \frac{\sum_w \text{sgn}(w) e^{w(\lambda+\rho)}}{\sum_w \text{sgn}(w) e^{w\rho}}, \text{ this works for all } \lambda.$$

Macdonad's formula becomes :

$$S(f_\lambda) = \text{vol}(M_\lambda) \cdot f^{\langle \lambda, f^\vee \rangle} \sum_{S \subset \Phi^+} (-q)^{|S|} \prod_{\lambda + (\rho - \alpha_S)}$$

Let $\rho_S = \rho - \alpha_S$, so $\rho_\emptyset = \rho$, $\rho_{\Phi^+} = -\rho$. Every $w \cdot \rho$ is one of the ρ_S (S = set of roots participating in the expression for w).

Let $C_\rho = \{\rho_S | S \subset \Phi^+\}$, set of all weights of the irrep V_ρ of highest weight ρ .

For each $\mu \in C_\rho$, define $P_\mu(x) = \sum_{S: f_S = \mu} x^{|S|}$. (so $P_\rho = 1$, $R_w \rho(x) = x^{\ell(x)}$), set $x = 1$: $P_\mu(1) =$ multiplicity of the weight μ in V_ρ .

$$\text{Get: } S(f_\mu) = \text{vol}(M_\lambda) q^{\langle \lambda, \rho^\vee \rangle} \sum_{\mu \in C_\rho} P_\mu(-q^{-1}) \Pi_{\lambda+\mu}.$$

Conversely, $\tau_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \text{ dominant}, \mu \leq \lambda} K_{\mu, \lambda}(q^{-1}) S(f_\mu)$, up to the factor $q^{\langle \lambda - \mu, \rho^\vee \rangle}$, these are the Kazhdan-Lustig polynomials for (μ, λ) .

Note. $K_{\mu, \lambda}$ are associated with the affine Grassmannian " $G(F)/K$ ". Start with a full coxeter group : affine Weyl group.

Schur polynomials corresponds to something, and Weyl group corresponds to G/B .

Back to Weyl Character formula.

We go over theorem from **Casselmann–Cely–Hales**.

Let V a finite-dimensional representation of $\hat{G}(\mathbb{C})$, with $V = \bigoplus V_\mu$ its weight space decomposition. $E : V \rightarrow V$ formal linear operator.

Our E will be diagonal with respect to $V = \bigoplus V_\mu$ on each V_μ it is mult by e^μ . We have $\det(1 - qE, V) \in \mathbb{Z}[e^\mu, q]$. Define $P(\hat{G}, V, E, q) = \det(1 - qE, V)^{-1}$, this is called a q -partition function.

- For $q = 1$,

$$\det(1 - E, \text{adj. rep on } \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}) = \prod_{\alpha \in P} (1 - e^{-\alpha}).$$

$\prod_{\alpha>0}(1 - e^{-\alpha})^{-1} = \sum e^{-\mu}$. Kostant partition function μ . (Usin the trick $\prod(1 - e^{-\alpha})^{-1} = 1 + e^{-\alpha} + e^{-2\alpha} + \dots$).

Remark. G acts on $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})$, which is a free module over $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^W$. Harmonic polynomials on \mathfrak{g} are killed by invariant constant coefficient differential operators (elements of $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$) of positive degree. Call those polynomials $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. So we get $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$, and G acts on the left part. Multiplicities of G On $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ are finite. μ -integral dominant weight is a element of Λ , the root lattice. So V_μ occurs with q -multiplicity. q^{deg} (general exponents of μ) are multiplicity of μ in the corresponding graded piece.

Weyl character formula corresponds (by expanding denominator) to Kostant multiplicity formula (multiplicity of μ in V_λ)

$$\text{mult}(\mu) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} P(w \cdot (\lambda + \rho) - \mu + \rho),$$

where P is the Kostant partition function.

Side note: Take λ dominant weight. $Z_\lambda = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ (Verma module), here \mathbb{C}_λ is a 1 dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{b} : \mathfrak{g}$ acts by λ . The multiplicity of μ in Z_λ is $P(\lambda - \mu)$ (number of ways to get from λ to μ by using negatice roots).

Weyl denominator q -determinant with $q = 1$, $V = \pi$ adjoint representation.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \pi^{-1} + \mathfrak{g} + \underbrace{\pi}_{\oplus_{\alpha>0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha}.$$

Sketch of the proof of Weyl character formula: $\tau_\lambda = J(e^\lambda)P(E^{-1}, 1)$, J is the *Weyl symmetrizer operator*, $J(f)(x) = \sum_W (-1)^{\ell(w)} f(w \cdot x)$.

Make a chain complex: $C^j = \bigwedge^j \pi' \otimes V_\lambda$. $T_\lambda =$ character on the cohomology of this complex.

We had : coeff of τ_λ in terms of $S(f_\mu)$ ($\langle \tau_\lambda, S(f_\mu) \rangle_{\mu_{\hat{T}(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{Pl}}}$).

Fact : Pl measure on \hat{T} : $\frac{P(E, q^{-1})P(E^{-1}, q^{-1})}{P(E, 1)P(E^{-1}, 1)} ds$. If $s \in \hat{T}$, E depends on $s = q^{2\pi i \lambda}$, $E = E_\lambda$