

Tricky Efficient Solutions for  $\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}$ 

2014-11-19

(c) 2014 Philip D. Loewen

The Problem Find the general solution for the 2x2 system

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}, \text{ where } A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Characteristic Polynomial With  $A$  as above, define

$$p(s) = \det(A - sI) = \begin{vmatrix} a-s & b \\ c & k-s \end{vmatrix} = s^2 - (a+k)s + (ak - bc).$$

Key ObservationsI. If  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  is any solution of  $\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}$ ,then both scalar components  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  must satisfy

$$\ddot{y} - (a+k)\dot{y} + (ak - bc)y = 0. \quad (*)$$

(This is the ODE 'reverse-engineered' from  $p(s)$  above.)II. (a) If  $b \neq 0$ , the first component-equation in  $\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}$  is

$$\dot{x}_1 = ax_1 + bx_2 \iff x_2 = \frac{1}{b}(\dot{x}_1 - ax_1).$$

So if we know  $x_1$ , we can easily find  $x_2$ .(b) If  $c \neq 0$ , system  $\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}$  includes  $\dot{x}_2 = cx_1 + kx_2$ i.e.,  $x_1 = \frac{1}{c}(\dot{x}_2 - kx_2)$ . So knowing  $x_2$  makes  $x_1$  easy.

Example 1: Distinct Real Roots Find the general solution:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$  has char. poly.  $p(s) = \begin{vmatrix} 2-s & -3 \\ 1 & -2-s \end{vmatrix} = s^2 - 1$ ,  
which is also the char. poly. for the scalar ODE

$$\ddot{y} - y = 0. \quad (*)$$

General sol. for this is  $y = c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t}$ ,  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We can use this for either  $x_1(t)$  or  $x_2(t)$ , then rebuild the other.

option 1 If  $x_1 = c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t}$ , use top eq<sup>n</sup>  $\dot{x}_1 = 2x_1 - 3x_2$ :

$$3x_2 = 2x_1 - \dot{x}_1 = 2(c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t}) - (c_1 e^t - c_2 e^{-t}) = c_1 e^t + 3c_2 e^{-t}.$$

$$\text{So } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t} \\ \frac{1}{3}c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t} \end{bmatrix} = c_1 e^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^{-t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Option 2 If  $x_2 = c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t}$ , use lower eq<sup>n</sup>  $\dot{x}_2 = x_1 - 2x_2$ :

$$x_1 = +2x_2 + \dot{x}_2 = +2(c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t}) + (c_1 e^t - c_2 e^{-t}) = +3c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t}.$$

$$\text{So } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = c_1 e^t \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^{-t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Discussion ① Options 1 and 2 are compatible, but they impose

different interpretations on the arbitrary constants  $c_1, c_2$ .

② Eigenvalues  $+1, -1$  with eigenvectors  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  emerge organically!

Example 2: Roots with Nonzero Imaginary Parts

Solve:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$  has char. poly.  $p(s) = \begin{vmatrix} -1-s & 2 \\ -1 & -3-s \end{vmatrix} = s^2 + 4s + 5$ ,

which is also associated with the scalar ODE

$$\ddot{y} + 4\dot{y} + 5y = 0.$$

Roots  $0 = p(s) = (s+2)^2 + 1 \Leftrightarrow s = -2 \pm i$  reveal one complex-valued solution  $y = e^{(-2+i)t}$ . Use this for

either  $x_1$  or  $x_2$ , then back-substitute for the other.

Option 1. If  $x_2(t) = e^{(-2+i)t}$ , then system says  $\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 - 3x_2$ , so

$$x_1 = -3x_2 - \dot{x}_2 = -3e^{(-2+i)t} - (-2+i)e^{(-2+i)t} = (-1-i)e^{(-2+i)t}.$$

So a vector solution for  $\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}$  (with complex values) is

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= e^{(-2+i)t} \begin{bmatrix} -1-i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = e^{-2t} (\cos t + i \sin t) \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= e^{-2t} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \sin t - \cos t \\ \cos t \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} -\sin t - \cos t \\ \sin t \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Both the real part and the imaginary part, separately, are solutions for the original system. So use them to construct the general sol:

$$\vec{x}(t) = c_1 e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} \sin t - \cos t \\ \cos t \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} -\sin t - \cos t \\ \sin t \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Option 2 Using  $x_1(t) = e^{(-2+i)t}$  instead leads, via  $\dot{x}_1 = -x_1 + 2x_2$ , to

$$x_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} [x_1 + \dot{x}_1] = \frac{1}{2} [e^{(-2+i)t} + (-2+i)e^{(-2+i)t}] = \frac{1}{2}(-1+i)e^{(-2+i)t}.$$

So a vector-valued complex solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{(-2+i)t} \\ \frac{1}{2}(-1+i)e^{(-2+i)t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Another, more convenient, one is 2 times this... let's switch to

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= e^{(-2+i)t} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1+i \end{bmatrix} = e^{-2t} (\cos t + i \sin t) \left( \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cos t \\ -\cos t - \sin t \end{bmatrix} + i e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \sin t \\ \cos t - \sin t \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Both real part and imag part, separately, solve our system. Combine these independent parts to get the general solution

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = k_1 e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cos t \\ -\cos t - \sin t \end{bmatrix} + k_2 e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \sin t \\ \cos t - \sin t \end{bmatrix}, \quad k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Reconciliation Forms of general solution above are rather different in appearance, but in fact they describe identical lists of functions. To see this, plug  $c_1 = k_2 - k_1$  and  $c_2 = -k_1 - k_2$  into the first setup to recover the second; or, use  $k_1 = -\frac{(c_1 + c_2)}{2}$  with  $k_2 = \frac{c_1 - c_2}{2}$  to transform the second into the first.

Example 3: Repeated Real roots Solve:

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here  $p(s) = \det(A - sI) = (1-s)(3-s) - (-1) = s^2 - 4s + 4 = (s-2)^2$ ,

so  $x_1(t)$  must have form  $x_1(t) = c_1 e^{2t} + c_2 t e^{2t}$  for some

$c_1, c_2$ . Then any compatible  $x_2(t)$  must obey the first ODE,

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1 - x_2, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad x_2 = x_1 - \dot{x}_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= (c_1 e^{2t} + c_2 t e^{2t}) - (2c_1 e^{2t} + c_2 e^{2t} + 2c_2 t e^{2t}) \\ &= c_1 e^{2t} (-1) + c_2 e^{2t} (-1-t). \end{aligned}$$

Packing components into a vector gives the general solution:

$$\vec{x}(t) = c_1 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ -1-t \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Notes: (1) The same family of functions can be displayed in several different-looking ways. Substitute  $c_1 = k_1 - r k_2$  and  $c_2 = k_2$  with any constant  $r$  to get the alternative style

$$\vec{x}(t) = k_1 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + k_2 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} t-r \\ -t-1+r \end{bmatrix}, \quad k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(2) Eigenvalue  $s=2$  with eigenvector  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$  is visible in answer.

## MATRIX EXPONENTIAL EXTENSIONS

It's straightforward to construct  $e^{At}$  once we have the general solution of  $\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}$ . Each column of  $e^{At}$  is just one of the functions  $\vec{x}(t)$  listed in the general solution, chosen so that the initial condition  $e^{At} \Big|_{t=0} = I$  works.

Here are the three matrices above for an encore.

Eg 1 Find  $e^{At}$  for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Each column has form  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t} \\ \frac{1}{3} c_1 e^t + c_2 e^{-t} \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $c_1, c_2$ .

Col #1 needs  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 + c_2 \\ \frac{1}{3} c_1 + c_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , i.e.,  $c_1 = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $c_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

So col #1 is  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} e^t - \frac{1}{2} e^{-t} \\ \frac{1}{2} e^t - \frac{1}{2} e^{-t} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Col #2 needs different  $c_1, c_2$ , to make  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 + c_2 \\ \frac{1}{3} c_1 + c_2 \end{bmatrix}$

So  $c_1 = -\frac{3}{2}$  but  $c_2 = +\frac{3}{2}$ , and col #2 is  $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} e^t + \frac{3}{2} e^{-t} \\ -\frac{1}{2} e^t + \frac{3}{2} e^{-t} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Stacking these columns in position completes the work:

$$e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(e^t - e^{-t}) & -\frac{3}{2}(e^t - e^{-t}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(e^t - e^{-t}) & -\frac{1}{2}(e^t - 3e^{-t}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Eg 2 Given  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ , find  $e^{At}$ .

Gen sol  $\vec{x}(t) = c_1 e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} \sin t - \cos t \\ \cos t \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} -\sin t - \cos t \\ \sin t \end{bmatrix}$   
sets the pattern for the cols.

$$\text{col \#1: } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}(0) = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -c_1 - c_2 \\ c_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

requires  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = -1$ . Simplify:

$$\text{col \#1: } e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} \sin t + \cos t \\ -\sin t \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{col \#2: } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -c_1 - c_2 \\ c_1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ requires } c_1 = 1, c_2 = -1. \text{ So}$$

$$\text{col \#2: } e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\sin t} - \underline{\cos t} \\ \underline{\cos t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{-\sin t} - \underline{\cos t} \\ \underline{\sin t} \end{bmatrix}$$

Assemble cols above and report:

$$e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-2t}(\sin t + \cos t) & 2e^{-2t} \sin t \\ -e^{-2t} \sin t & e^{-2t}(\cos t - \sin t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Eg 3 If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , find  $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \{ (sI-A)^{-1} \}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}\{e^{At}\} = (sI-A)^{-1}$ , this is just a cryptic way of requesting  $e^{At}$ . The cols of  $e^{At}$  are known to have form found above:

$$\vec{x}(t) = c_1 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ -1-t \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For  $e^{At}$  col #1,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ -c_1 - c_2 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow c_1 = 1, c_2 = -1$

so col #1 is  $\begin{bmatrix} e^{2t}(1-t) \\ e^{2t}(-1+t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{2t}(1-t) \\ te^{2t} \end{bmatrix}$

For  $e^{At}$  col #2,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ -c_1 - c_2 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow c_1 = 0, c_2 = -1,$

so col #2 is  $\begin{bmatrix} -te^{2t} \\ (1+t)e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}.$

Combine cols to report

$$e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-t)e^{2t} & -te^{2t} \\ te^{2t} & (1+t)e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

LT practice:  $sI-A = \begin{bmatrix} s-1 & 1 \\ -1 & s-3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (sI-A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(s-2)^2} \begin{bmatrix} s-3 & -1 \\ 1 & s-1 \end{bmatrix}.$

In top left corner,  $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{s-3}{(s-2)^2} \right\} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{s-2}{(s-2)^2} - \frac{1}{(s-2)^2} \right\} = e^{2t} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^2} \right\} = e^{2t} (1-t).$   
↑  
(YAY.)



NONHOMOGENEOUS SYSTEMS

For the system  $\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x} + \vec{g}(t)$ , we have a formula:

$$\textcircled{*} \quad \vec{x}(t) = e^{At} \vec{x}(0) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-r)} \vec{g}(r) dr.$$

Actually using this is conceptually straightforward, but algebraically onerous.

Ex 1 Detail  $\textcircled{*}$  for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We know  $e^{At} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3e^t - e^{-t} & e^{-t} - e^t \\ e^t - e^{-t} & 3e^{-t} - e^t \end{bmatrix}$

so  $e^{-Ar} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3e^{-r} - e^r & e^r - e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} - e^r & 3e^r - e^{-r} \end{bmatrix}$

and 
$$\vec{x}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3e^t - e^{-t} & e^{-t} - e^t \\ e^t - e^{-t} & 3e^{-t} - e^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(0) \\ x_2(0) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3e^t - e^{-t} & e^{-t} - e^t \\ e^t - e^{-t} & 3e^{-t} - e^t \end{bmatrix} \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3e^{-r} - e^r & e^r - e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} - e^r & 3e^r - e^{-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_1(r) \\ g_2(r) \end{bmatrix} dr$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (3e^t - e^{-t}) \frac{x_1(0)}{2} + (e^{-t} - e^t) \frac{x_2(0)}{2} \\ (e^t - e^{-t}) \frac{x_1(0)}{2} + (3e^{-t} - e^t) \frac{x_2(0)}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

column vector containing fns

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3e^t - e^{-t} & e^{-t} - e^t \\ e^t - e^{-t} & 3e^{-t} - e^t \end{bmatrix} \int_0^t \begin{bmatrix} (3e^{-r} - e^r) \frac{g_1(r)}{2} + (e^r - e^{-r}) \frac{g_2(r)}{2} \\ (e^{-r} - e^r) \frac{g_1(r)}{2} + (3e^r - e^{-r}) \frac{g_2(r)}{2} \end{bmatrix} dr$$

2x2 mtx

integral gives vector, 2x1

Methods above, where we reduce a given  $2 \times 2$  problem to a single second-order ODE ... now nonhomogeneous ... will work in this case also. It can be a little easier than the vector-matrix approach, but it's still not 'easy'. The textbook of Boyce/diPrima shows 4 approaches on the same problem:

- (i) eigenvalue/eigenvector inspired coordinate change
- (ii) method of undetermined coeffs
- (iii) variation of parameters
- (iv) Laplace transform.

They skip the general formula  $\otimes$  quoted above. Here is a solution by the scalar method introduced above.

Example (Boyer/DiPrima, Elementary DE's & BVP's, 10<sup>th</sup> ed, §7.9). Find gen. sol.:

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{bmatrix}$$

Sol Expand

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \dot{x}_1 &= -2x_1 + x_2 + 2e^{-t} \\ (2) \quad \dot{x}_2 &= x_1 - 2x_2 + 3t \end{aligned}$$

Differentiate through (1), sub from (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= -2\dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_2 - 2e^{-t} \\ &= -2\dot{x}_1 + (x_1 - 2x_2 + 3t) - 2e^{-t} \end{aligned}$$

Go back to (1) to isolate  $x_2$ ,

$$(1') \quad x_2 = \dot{x}_1 + 2x_1 - 2e^{-t}$$

and use this in the calculation in progress above:

$$\ddot{x}_1 = -2\dot{x}_1 + x_1 - 2[\dot{x}_1 + 2x_1 - 2e^{-t}] + 3t - 2e^{-2t}$$

$$(\star\star) \quad \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\ddot{x}_1 + 4\dot{x}_1 + 3x_1}_{=} = 2e^{-t} + 3t$$

Define operator  $L[x_1]$ . Note  $p(s) = s^2 + 4s + 3 = (s+1)(s+3)$ , so

complementary eq<sup>n</sup>  $L[x_1] = 0$  has gen sol  $x_1^{(c)} = c_1 e^{-3t} + c_2 e^{-t}$ .

To solve  $L[x_1] = 3t$ , guess  $x_1 = Mt + N$ . Plug in:

$$0 + 4M + 3(Mt + N) = 3t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad M=1, \quad N = -\frac{4}{3}.$$

So another solution element is  $x_1^{(pt)} = t - \frac{4}{3}$ .

To solve  $L[x_1] = 2e^{-t}$ , use exp. shift:  $x_1 = e^{-t}u(t)$

will do this iff

$$e^{-t}(\ddot{u} + 2\dot{u} + 0u) = 2e^{-t}, \text{ i.e., } \ddot{u} + 2\dot{u} = 2.$$

Function  $u(t) = +t$  does this, so we have  $x_1^{(p2)}(t) = +te^{-t}$ .

So the general solution of  $(**)$  is

$$x_1(t) = x_1^{(c)} + x_1^{(p1)} + x_1^{(p2)} = c_1 e^{-3t} + c_2 e^{-t} + t + te^{-t} - 4/3.$$

The companion component comes from line  $(1')$  above:

$$x_2 = 2x_1 + \dot{x}_1 - 2e^{-t}$$

$$= 2c_1 e^{-3t} + 2c_2 e^{-t} + 2t + 2te^{-t} - 8/3 \quad \leftarrow 2x_1$$

$$-3c_1 e^{-3t} - c_2 e^{-t} + e^{-t} - 2e^{-t} \quad \leftarrow \dot{x}_1$$

(prod rule)  $\rightarrow -te^{-t} + 1$

$$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \leftarrow -2e^{-t}$$

$$= c_1(-e^{-3t}) + c_2(e^{-t}) + 2t + te^{-t} - e^{-t} - 5/3$$

oops:  
no  $c_2$   
factor -  
should get  
separate  
column

The final answer, in vector form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = c_1 e^{-3t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^{-t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + e^{-t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + te^{-t} \begin{bmatrix} +1 \\ +1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t - 4/3 \\ 2t - 5/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Suggestion: Try finding gen sol for  $x_1$  in  $(**)$  using Laplace Transform instead of method used here.

Philosophy Question If we can

(i) solve  $\dot{\vec{x}} = A\vec{x}$

(ii) find  $e^{At}$

without any reference to eigenvalues/eigenvectors, why mention those at all, ever?

Answers ① For matrices  $A$  of size  $3 \times 3$  and bigger, the 'easy' methods shown here get much more elaborate. The approach based on eigen-analysis allows a systematic + efficient treatment for larger problems.

② Remember the phase plane pictures. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors carry important insights into the system behaviour and stability, even in the  $2 \times 2$  case.

Learning/Teaching Strategy Is it reasonable to stress eigen-analysis in 2D, since the direct alternative is quicker?

Answers ① Probably not, except for the insights mentioned in ② above.

② We should probably put more time and effort into  $3 \times 3$  and larger problems, where the eigensuff really pays off. Next year!