A novel low inertia shear flow instability triggered by a chemical reaction
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We present an experimental investigation of a novel low Reynolds number shear flow instability triggered by a chemical reaction. An acid-base reaction taking place at the interface between a Newtonian fluid and carbopol-940 solution leads to a strong viscosity stratification, which locally destabilizes the flow. Our experimental observations are made in the context of a miscible displacement flow, for which the flow instability promotes local mixing and subsequently improves the displacement efficiency. The experimental study is complemented by a simplified normal mode analysis to shed light on the origin of the instability. © 2007 American Institute of Physics.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Designing a method to locally control the hydrodynamic stability of a shear flow is of importance in many practical and laboratory applications. In the absence of significant inertial forces, nonequilibrium hydrodynamical systems may lose stability if a relevant physical field becomes stratified. To help illustrate this point, convective motion may be induced in a thin static layer of fluid when heated from below or gravity induced density stratification may sustain internal gravity waves. An alternative method of locally destabilizing low Reynolds number (Re) shear flow would be to induce significant changes in the local fluid rheology. Although the hydrodynamic stability of miscible shear flows with a strong monotonic variation in viscosity has been analyzed theoretically, we have found no clear experimental demonstration of these instabilities. With flows of simple Newtonian fluids, it is difficult to vary the viscosity locally to induce an instability. With complex (or structured) fluids, however, the situation is significantly different: the rheology is strongly coupled to the molecular scale organization of the fluid. This opens a new possibility of locally controlling the viscosity by inducing local changes in the molecular structure via a chemical reaction. The advantage of such a method is that a chemical reaction may be controlled by either mass transfer or by local heating or cooling. In this paper we show experimentally that a displacement flow of two miscible liquids may be destabilized by local changes in the fluid rheology triggered by an acid-base reaction at their interface. In contrast with the theoretical situation in Ref. 3, this reaction results in a nonmonotonic variation in viscosity in a layer between the fluids.

Miscible displacements have been studied in depth within the context of Hele-Shaw and porous media displacement instabilities, initially motivated by a desire to better understand oil reservoir recovery issues (see, e.g., Refs. 4–9), and this science is now well developed. In the Navier-Stokes setting, miscible displacements through small ducts have been studied both experimentally and numerically. For the large Péclet number (Pe) regime, depending on the viscosity ratio $m$, quasisteady viscous fingers may form and propagate with a sharp displacement front that is retained over long timescales. The efficiency of the displacement (defined as the amount of fluid removed from the pipe walls) may be either measured or estimated using computation or asymptotic methods (see, e.g., Refs. 13 and 14). If the fingers remain stable, the residual wall layers thin at a rate that is significantly slower than the mean flow and the efficiency of the displacement is $<1$. Although asymptotically the efficiency may approach 1 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, for various practical reasons one might not want to wait.

To improve the displacement efficiency, one method would be to trigger a flow instability, such that the perturbed interface disturbs the residual wall layers. At large Re, multilayer flows of viscous fluids are usually unstable, but linear interfacial instabilities are also found for quite low Re. Dating from the late 1960s, there are a number of studies involving both immiscible and miscible fluids (see, e.g., Refs. 15 and 16). An extensive review can be found in Ref. 17, and the physical mechanisms governing short and long wavelength instabilities have been explained in Refs. 18 and 19. These studies generally refer to the situation in which...
there is a jump in the viscosity at the interface between two fluids. If the change in viscosity is instead gradual, due, for example, to a diffuse interfacial region, then the stability characteristics appear to mimic those of the system with the viscosity jump (see Ref. 3).

In porous media, nonmonotonic viscosity variations are known to cause linear instability of planar displacements (see Refs. 5, 20, and 21), as can be predicted by classical mobility ratio arguments. Here, however, we are in the Navier-Stokes regime and consider primarily shear flows. We have also sharp localized change in viscosity, which is hard to achieve in “simple” fluids, where viscosity is often related to slowly varying concentration or temperature; i.e., due to molecular structure of the fluid. For complex (or structured) fluids the viscosity depends strongly on the microscopic structure. In the case of polymer solutions, the microscopic structure of the fluid can be locally modified by either mechanical means (e.g., shear-thinning22) or chemical means, by locally modifying the chemical bonds between neighboring polymer molecules.

In recent years there have been a number of studies of systems with coupled chemical reactions and fluid flow, so it is natural to examine the relation to this literature. In the first place even without fluid flow, spatially traveling waves can be observed in chemically excitable media governed by coupled reaction-diffusion systems (see, e.g., the review in Ref. 23). These fronts may frequently destabilize linearly. There is often coupling between the reactions, and hence a mechanism of feedback, and sometimes a significant difference in the reaction rate constants. Slightly simpler systems involve single species autocatalytic reactions, which mathematically admit traveling (chemical) wave solutions. Systems in which the autocatalytic reaction results in a significant density change have been studied intensively. The base traveling chemical wave is coupled with a Rayleigh-Taylor problem. There are consequently a wide range of stable and unstable situations to be studied, and even more once a base fluid velocity is considered. A selection of the many works includes Refs. 24–31. Of closer relation to our work is the sequence of papers32–35 which have considered a concentration-dependent viscosity in the context of miscible porous media displacements. Although interesting and a useful guide in methodology, direct relevance of these many papers to our study is in fact limited. Our system is not autocatalytic, there are no buoyancy effects and the displacement flow is not a gradient flow.

A. Industrial motivation

The motivation for our study comes from the construction of oil and gas wells. Since the early 1990s there has been an increasing number of wells that are constructed with long horizontal sections. The worlds longest extended-reach wells have horizontal sections in the 10–15 km range, but these are exceptional. More routinely, wells are built with horizontal extensions of up to ~7 km. One of the key barriers in constructing longer wells comes from simple hydraulic friction. In a vertical well, both the pore pressure of reservoir fluids and the fracture pressure of the reservoir rock increase with depth, approximately linearly. Judicious choice of fluid density and circulating flow rates keeps the wellbore pressure inside the so-called “pore-frac envelope”; i.e., the region where the porous rock does not fracture. In a horizontal well section, the pore-frac envelope is unchanged with length along the well, but the frictional pressure increases with length, leading to eventual breaching of the envelope.36–38

There is a consequent interest in methods and fluids that control the frictional pressure in some way. Two operations for which this is important are drilling and cementing. In the cementing process,39 it is necessary to displace the drilling mud with a spacer fluid and then with a cement slurry. As the section is horizontal, density differences between the fluids lead to stratification and should be avoided. Instead the focus is on controlling the rheology of the fluids and the displacement flow itself. Typical fluid viscosities are of order 0.1 Pa s. Pipe radii or annular gaps get progressively smaller as wells get longer; e.g., cemented annular gaps of ~1–2 cm. Mean velocities in large vertical wells can be of order 1–5 m/s, but in small pipes and annuli when laminar flow is necessary, lower speeds in the 1–10 cm/s occur, or in the case of cementing can even become static on the narrower low side of the annulus. Thus, with long horizontal wells of progressively small dimension there is a strong tendency towards lower Reynolds numbers.

The idea behind the reactive instability that we are studying is explained by the following simple calculation. Suppose simplistically that we have Newtonian fluids, a circular pipe of radius \( \hat{R} \) and that we wish to displace at mean speed \( \hat{U}_0 \). If \( \Delta \hat{\rho}_c \) is the difference between pore and fracture limits, then we are restricted to a length-viscosity combination

\[
8 \frac{\hat{L} \hat{\eta}_0 \hat{U}_0}{\hat{R}^2} < \Delta \hat{\rho}_c.
\]

Normally, we will need to select the viscosity of the spacer fluid, \( \hat{\eta}_s \), where \( m > 1 \) and \( \hat{\eta}_d \) is the viscosity of the in situ fluid, e.g., drilling mud, so that

\[
\hat{L} < \frac{\hat{R}^2 \Delta \hat{\rho}_c}{8m \hat{\eta}_d \hat{U}_0}.
\]  (1)

Suppose instead we are able to pump a spacer fluid of viscosity \( \hat{\eta}_s \approx \hat{\eta}_d \) that reacts with the in situ fluid to cause a local instability. If the instability causes effective mixing across the pipe after the fluid has traveled \( \hat{L} \) radii, we may model this process by a radial diffusivity \( \hat{D}_r \approx (\hat{R} \hat{U}_0)/k \). Provided \( k \ll \hat{L}/\hat{R} \), we have an effective Taylor dispersion process in which the mixed zone diffuses axially along the pipe, relative to the mean flow. This axial diffusion of the mixed region is governed by a dispersion coefficient of order \( kD_T(\hat{R} \hat{U}_0) \), where \( D_T = 1/48 \) is the Taylor dispersion coefficient for a pipe. After traveling a distance \( \hat{L} \), the mixed zone will have dispersed axially a distance...
\[ \hat{L}_{\text{mix}} \approx (kD_f \hat{R} \hat{L})^{1/2}. \]

If the reacted mixture has viscosity \((a+1)\hat{\eta}_r\), where \(a \geq 0\), then the frictional pressure drop along the pipe can be limited by

\[ \Delta \hat{p}_f > 8 \frac{\hat{\eta}_r \hat{U}_0}{\hat{R}^2} (L + a\hat{L}_{\text{mix}}), \]

and the pipe length restriction is

\[ \hat{L} < \frac{\hat{R}^2 \Delta \hat{p}_f}{8 \hat{\eta}_r \hat{U}_0 (1 + a[kD_f \hat{R} \hat{L}]^{1/2})}. \]

Therefore, for a given pore-frac limit, we may increase the length of the well that may be effectively displaced provided that

\[ \frac{m \hat{\eta}_d}{\hat{\eta}_r (1 + a[kD_f \hat{R} \hat{L}]^{1/2})} > 1. \]

Over lengths sufficiently long that \(a[kD_f \hat{R} \hat{L}]^{1/2} \ll 1\), we achieve a length increase by a modest factor \(m \hat{\eta}_d/\hat{\eta}_r\).

Even modest increases in length may have a large impact, both economically and environmentally. In modern offshore drilling, one vertical well drilled down from the seabed may act as the stem for many lateral horizontal branches, extending radially outwards. Thus, increases in the length of horizontal branches correspond to \(L^2\) increases in the area of reservoir that may be reached and contribute to a reduction in the number of wellheads required. This reduces the cost of the field development, reduces the environmental footprint, lessens risks of leakage of reservoir fluids and eventually makes for an easier well abandonment.

**B. Organization**

Our paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the experimental setup, the measurement techniques, and the rheology of our fluids. Experimental results are presented in Sec. III. Section IV introduces a simple hydrodynamic stability model that gives insight into the instabilities we observe. The paper closes with a brief discussion of our findings and a discussion on future theoretical and experimental studies.

**II. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXPERIMENTS**

**A. Experimental apparatus and techniques**

All experiments were conducted in the apparatus illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. It consists of a horizontal flow channel FC with circular cross section of radius \(\hat{R} = 5.5 \text{ mm}\) and length \(\hat{L} = 1.2 \text{ m}\), immersed in a water filled glass container GC to ensure distortion-free flow illumination and imaging. The system was illuminated by a thin laser sheet passing horizontally through the transparent walls of both the glass container and the flow channel, at the middle vertical position. The laser sheet has a thickness of approximately 50 \(\mu\text{m}\) in the center of the setup and about 160 \(\mu\text{m}\) near the walls of the channel. It was generated by passing a laser beam delivered by a 150 mW solid state laser L, through a block of two crossed cylindrical lenses CO, mounted in a telescopic arrangement.

The flow was imaged from the top (Fig. 1) with a charge coupled device camera (CCD) equipped with a 35 mm photographic lens. The images were digitized with 8 bit quantization and 640 \(\times\) 760 pixel resolution (which accounts for 130 \(\mu\text{m}\)). The size of the imaged area was thus \(1.4 \times 1.4 \text{ cm}^2\).

The flow in the channel was induced by a syringe pump SP actuated by a precise stepping motor \(M_2\) (from CBVL, Vancouver) and controlled by computer via a serial port. The inflow mean velocity was controlled with an accuracy better than 100 \(\mu\text{m/s}\). In order to monitor the evolution of the fluid interface downstream, the CCD and the cylindrical optics block CO were mounted on a linear translational stage parallel to the flow channel (TS in Fig. 1). The stage was actuated by a stepping motor \(M_1\) and controlled by computer via a serial port. The typical configuration of fluids during the horizontal displacement experiments is schematically illustrated in the inset of Fig. 1.

The stability of the interface between fluids was investigated using either laser induced fluorescence (LIF), or digital particle image velocimetry (DPIV). The image acquisition software was developed in-house and allowed us to adjust the time delay between successive frames in relation to the local flow velocity (in order to keep the mean particle displacement in the range 5–30 pixels). For low values of the flow velocity, the time delay was 66 ms. For higher flow speeds, the delay was decreased to 16 ms. The fluids were seeded with either a small amount (~160 ppm) of 20 \(\mu\text{m}\) polystyrene microspheres (from Dantec Inc.) for DPIV measurements, or with fluorescein sodium salt (Sigma Aldrich) for the LIF measurements. Time series of the velocity fields were obtained by a multipass DPIV algorithm.\(^{40}\) The spatial resolution was 167 \(\mu\text{m}\). The accuracy of the method has been carefully checked by running test measurements for low Reynolds number Poiseuille flows with Newtonian fluids and comparing them with the analytical solution.

In addition to these techniques, we have developed a third visualization method to measure the local pH in the flow field. We do so by using a pH sensitive colored dye,
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In order to quantify the dependence of the rheological properties of Fluid 2 on the pH, several batches have been prepared at different pH values, and the rheological tests have been conducted. The pH dependence of the shear viscosity of fluid 2 at rate of strain $\dot{\gamma}=2$ s$^{-1}$, is shown in Fig. 3(a). In the range of pH shown, the shear viscosity increases monotonically, up to a value nearly two orders of magnitude larger than the non-neutralized value. The pH dependence of the measured yield stress of Fluid 2 is shown in Fig. 3(b). A further increase of the pH (data not shown in Fig. 3) results in destruction of the gel structure, which causes both viscosity and yield stress to drop to their initial low pH values.

C. Experimental procedure

Our displacement experiments were conducted as follows. First, the alignment of the laser sheet and focus of the camera is carefully checked at several locations downstream. Second, the valves $V_1$ and $V_2$ are opened and the channel is initially filled with Fluid 2. Next, we close valve $V_1$, open valve $V_3$ and start to drive the syringe pump at very low speeds for several tens of seconds. This procedure allows us to eliminate any air bubbles generated during the filling of the flow channel. Finally, we close valve $V_2$ and open valves $V_1$, and set the fluids in motion by operating the syringe pump at the desired speed. The flow images were usually acquired halfway down length of the pipe, though in some of the experiments the camera and the laser sheet were moved at constant speed along the flow channel. Approximately 1000 flow images were acquired both before the entrance of the fluid interface in the measuring window and after. Details of the experimental conditions tested are given in Table I.
TABLE I. The experiments and the corresponding fluids properties. For each fluid, Re was calculated using the DPIV measured mean flow velocity, the corresponding viscosity coefficients and the densities \( \rho_1 \approx \rho_2 \approx 1285 \text{ kg/m}^3 \). The flow rate \( \dot{Q} \) has been estimated via the DPIV measured mean flow velocity. In the last column of the table, the symbols S, U stand for stable and unstable, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. sequence</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>C-940 (%)</th>
<th>( \dot{\eta}_1 ) (Pa s)</th>
<th>( \dot{\eta}_2 ) (Pa s)</th>
<th>( \dot{Q} ) (ml/s)</th>
<th>Stab.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.03–0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.03–0.15</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.06–0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.02–0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(0.1–1.5) \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.03–0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>7–11.5</td>
<td>0.07–0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.05–0.3</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.1–0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We propose to control the stability of an interface between a Newtonian and a C-940 solution by inducing changes in the local fluid rheology via an acid-base reaction, which depends on the free charge mismatch across the interface and the pH of each fluid. With 1% NaOH, the saccharose solution (Fluid 1) has a pH around 11. When in contact with Fluid 2 at pH \( \approx 3 \), neutralization occurs near the interface resulting in a thin layer of high viscosity fluid (see Fig. 2). The high viscosity interfacial layer apparently undergoes a self-sustained hydrodynamic instability that results in local mixing of the two fluids. Below we will present a full description of this reactive instability and displacement. For comparison, we have conducted 2 experimental test sequences (at varying flow rates) in which there is no reaction, see Table I series 1 and 2. In addition we have performed a number of reactive experiments (see series 3 in Table I). In total, we performed five experiments in this series.

A. Control experiments

The first control sequence concerns the two base fluids without reaction. We have seen in Fig. 2 that the viscosity of Fluid 2 at pH \( \approx 3 \) is largely constant and governed by that of the underlying saccharose solution. We therefore conduct a control displacement of Fluid 2, a 66% saccharose solution, by Fluid 1, a 65% saccharose solution. The flow rates are chosen so that \( \text{Re} < 1 \) in all cases, and often \( \text{Re} \ll 1 \). Thus, according to the principle of hydrodynamic similarity, our experimental results can be directly compared with a realistic flow of drilling mud and cement slurry. As both fluids are at neutral pH where the displaced fluid is mildly more viscous (\( \dot{\eta}_1 = 114 \text{ mPa s} \) and \( \dot{\eta}_2 = 138 \text{ mPa s} \)). As illustrated in Fig. 4, the displacement is inefficient: most of the fluid to be displaced remains near the pipe walls. The interface develops into a long finger that stretches progressively along the pipe. At no time do we observe any interfacial instability, and the interface remains sharp; i.e., the length of the tube is insufficient for molecular diffusion to have any significant effect. Qualitatively similar results for miscible Newtonian displacements can be found in Ref. 10.

The second control sequence also concerns displacement of the two fluids at neutral pH, i.e., without chemical reaction, but Fluid 2 has a much larger viscosity (\( \dot{\eta}_2 \approx 20 \text{ Pa s} \) at \( \gamma = 1 \text{ s}^{-1} \)) and a significant yield stress (\( \dot{\gamma}_c \approx 6.6 \text{ Pa} \)). The viscosity of Fluid 1 is unchanged. Similar flows have been studied experimentally before in slightly larger tubes. As commented in Ref. 42, some care is needed in choosing the displacing flow rate, since at low flow rates the displacing fluid effectively fractures through the elastic gel, leaving a rough edge. For low flow rates we also observed a slightly granular interfacial texture and also some asymmetry of the finger. The slight asymmetry of the finger visible in Fig. 5 could be due to the nonsymmetric entrance condition (the interface between fluids enters the flow channel via a T-shaped junction, as shown in Fig. 1) which is preserved at all later times due to the significant yield stress of Fluid 2. Alternatively the asymmetry may relate to a transition between solid-like and fluid-like behavior. We do not attempt to explain this further.

Sample images of an experiment in this sequence are shown in Fig. 5. The C-940 solution yields in the center of the pipe, where there is a two-dimensional flow, but the stresses are not sufficient to make it yield at the wall. Consequently, a static residual wall layer is left in the tube as a
finger of Fluid 1 penetrates steadily along the pipe. The shape of the nose of the finger is quite rounded and the wall layers have apparently constant thickness.

Over the duration of the experiment, there is no evidence of any interfacial instability. These results are qualitatively similar to those of Refs. 42 and 43, where C-940 solutions are displaced by glycerol solutions. The reason that there is no interfacial instability here is because the residual layers of C-940 solution are in fact fully static and unyielded. Such flows have been studied in some detail, theoretically and computationally,44–47 and are well understood. Even with a nonzero flow rate of C-940 solution, stable regimes may be predicted and found experimentally (see Refs. 48 and 49).

The two control sequences establish that without a chemical reaction and accompanying local rheology change, these displacement flows are stable. In other words, having a change in bulk rheology of the two fluids at an interface can result in instability but does not for the systems we study.

B. Chemically reactive unstable flows

The flow behavior was significantly different from the control experiments in the reactive case, when the C-940 solution at pH=3 was displaced by a saccharose solution at pH=11, at different flow rates. The initial interface penetrates in a sharp spike as before, but this is destabilized and the finger rapidly widens to nearly fill the pipe. A complex secondary flow develops at the interface between fluids. The flow seems to be dominated by large vortices advected by the flow, with a typical size of the order of the pipe radius. Typical images are shown in Fig. 6.

As the front of the finger passes, the secondary flow instabilities persist along the sides of the finger. The secondary flow provides a feedback mechanism for the instability by bringing into contact new unreacted fluid elements and taking away reacted highly viscous fluid. The initial pass of the finger front does not remove all the fluid 2 from the

FIG. 5. (Color online) Example images of the interface in an experiment from control sequence 2. ( \( \dot{Q} = 0.08 \text{ ml/s} \)). Fluid 1 has been doped with fluorescein for visualization of the fluids interface.

FIG. 6. (Color online) (a)-(d)] Fluorescent images of the interface in a reactive displacement: Fluid 1—65% saccharose solution, Fluid 2—0.1% C-940 in 66% saccharose solution. (e), (f) Fluorescent flow images long after the entrance of the unstable interface in the field of view; the images are separated in time by approximately 5 s. The dotted lines highlight gelled structures tumbling downstream (enhanced online).
walls. However, the secondary flows result in a fairly rapid erosion of the residual layers. After the initial instability, small parcels of Fluid 2 pulled into the Fluid 1 stream react to form gelled solid regions that are advected along with the fluid. Close observation of video images reveals that some of these parcels appear to be in rigid motion [see, e.g., Figs. 6(e) and 6(f)].

More detailed information on the structure of velocity field is obtained from DPIV images. We display in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) two instantaneous velocity fields measured during a chemically reactive displacement experiment at roughly the midpoint of the fluid channel downstream. Before the entrance of the displacement front comes into the field of view, the flow is similar to Hagen-Poiseuille flow [see Fig. 7(a)]. The velocity profile is parabolic and there is no secondary fluid motion in a direction orthogonal to the mean flow. Analysis of time series of velocity fields not shown here has shown that the time fluctuations are only due to instrumental noise, which accounts for less than 5% of the mean flow velocity. The structure of the flow field changes drastically after the passage of the displacement front through the field of view. As one can clearly see in Fig. 7(b), the fluid motion follows a wavy-spiral pattern, with an apparent periodicity in the axial direction of roughly the tube diameter. The velocity field is now unstable and characterized by a rather strong radial component. Quasiperiodic entrance of slowly moving flow regions in the field of view can be associated with the passage of fully gelled solid parcels of Fluid 2 illustrated in Figs. 6(e) and 6(f) and discussed above.

Finally, we are able to confirm that the mixing process is locally effective and that the pH varies over some intermediate range in the displacement region. To do this, we image unstable flows doped with pH sensitive colored dye, as shown in Fig. 8. Since the pH dependence of the fluid rheology has been characterized, in principle we could use the DPIV images together with the data in Fig. 8 to construct local deviatoric stress fields. This technique, however, still requires some work.

C. Displacement efficiency

A more quantitative assessment of the displacement efficiency is obtained by processing LIF images to give an indication of the evolution in time of the finger width at a

FIG. 7. (Color online) Instantaneous velocity fields for a chemically reactive displacement experiment, Re = 0.15: (a) before entrance of the finger in the field of view; (b) after the entrance of the finger in the field of view. The false color maps represent the magnitude of the velocity. In order to enhance the clarity, we only plot only a quarter of the vectors and rescale the length of the arrows.

FIG. 8. (Color online) Iso-pH regions near the interface: yellow (region 1)—pH = 3, green (region 2)—pH = 7, blue (region 3)—pH = 11.
fixed position along channel, roughly 50 cm from the entrance. In each experiment a long time-series of images (\(\sim 2000\)) is acquired, starting long before the entrance of the displacement front into the field of view and ending long after its passage. In these experiments Fluid 2 contained Polyamide spheres and fluid 1 a small amount of fluorescein (see Sec. II C). The images prior to the entrance of the finger in the field of view are passed to the DPIV algorithm to obtain a time series of velocity fields. The time average of these images also provides a background image that is used to compensate for nonuniform illumination of each image in the sequence. The fluorescent images of the displacement front/finger are digitally processed to extract the width (measured along the radial direction) of the finger. Each image is first converted to a binary image, and the edge of the interface is detected using the SOBEL algorithm with a fixed brightness threshold. Spurious edge identifications, i.e., objects of the order of several pixels that are identified due to brightness inhomogeneities, are carefully removed using a morphological filter. Finally, the width of the finger is quantified from the width of the finger contour.

During reactive experiments, the instantaneous shapes of the finger are usually nonsymmetric in both azimuthal and radial directions. However statistically (considered as an ensemble average over several positions of the finger), the symmetry does not seem to break. Thus, the finger width measurement can be interpreted as a volumetric measure of the displacement efficiency, although we prefer to display time series of the width. In Fig. 9 we display the time dependence of the width of the finger for several values of flow rate. For different flow rates the time until the displacement front enters the field of view will be different. This residence time has been subtracted and the time (on the horizontal axis) is then scaled with the advection timescale \(\tilde{R}/\tilde{U}_0\). The finger width is normalized by the diameter of the pipe: \(\tilde{d}=2\tilde{R}\).

Figure 9(a) shows the normalized width of the finger \((W/d)\) for the experiments in control sequence 1, where two Newtonian fluids are displaced. For each flow rate, \(W/d\) saturates at values smaller than unity, indicating that in all cases Fluid 2 was only partially displaced. Qualitatively similar results are given in Ref. 10. Figure 9(b) shows analogous results for the reactive displacements at different flow rates. The interfacial instability clearly results in an efficient mass transport in the cross-flow direction. For each flow rate, \((W/d)\) saturates at values very close to unity. Observe that the initial points on the time series are noisy, followed by a rapid increase to near saturation, then slow approach to unity as the wall layers are consumed by the secondary flows/ instability. The initial noisy part of the curves corresponds to destabilization of the initial interfacial spike.

In comparing these two figures, recall that the time axis has been shifted to correspond to the initial appearance of Fluid 1. In the case of the unstable displacements, this is harder to determine precisely due to the destabilized wispy spike. Once the bulk of the displacing finger arrives, the rapid increase to near saturation, then slow approach to unity as the wall layers are consumed by the secondary flows/ instability.

The key observations of Fig. 9(b) is that all the efficiency curves (time shifted for arrival) have similar shape and that the time scales with \(\tilde{R}/\tilde{U}_0\). In terms of an averaged volumetric concentration of displaced fluid, say \(\tilde{C}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t})=(W/d)^2\), this suggests a functional form,

\[
\tilde{C}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t})=\tilde{C}(\tilde{x}-\tilde{U}_0\tilde{t},\tilde{t}\tilde{U}_0\tilde{R}),
\]

and the sigmoid shape is reminiscent of axial dispersion in a moving frame of reference. If this dispersion is governed by
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an axial diffusivity \( \hat{D}_a \), the timescale for the spreading is \( \hat{t} \sim \hat{R}^2/\hat{D}_a \). Thus, the collapse of our data with respect to the time variable \( \hat{R}/\hat{U}_0 \) suggests the scaling law
\[
\hat{D}_a \sim \hat{R}\hat{U}_0,
\]
which infers a similar scaling law for a transverse diffusivity of mixing \( \hat{D}_t \) (see Sec. I A).

Even if redrawn volumetrically, the curves in Fig. 9(b) are not symmetric about the midconcentration. Additionally, close inspection reveals small differences between the curves for different flow rates. These suggest that, although axial dispersion may provide a reasonable leading order model, the dispersion coefficient will vary nonlinearly with respect to \( \hat{C} \) and have other weak dependencies. This is in fact physically obvious: the mechanism governing the initial instability of the wispy spike (\( \hat{C} \sim 0 \)) is clearly quite different from that governing erosion/break up of the residual wall layer of C-940 (\( \hat{C} \sim 1 \)).

**IV. HYDRODYNAMIC INSTABILITIES DRIVEN BY VISCOSITY GRADIENTS**

We would like to understand better the origin of the instabilities that develop into secondary flows such as those in Fig. 6. Development of a complete stability analysis of the reaction-diffusion system coupled to the hydrodynamics is a formidable task, and is perhaps unnecessary. One obstacle is that the reaction kinetics are not fully quantified. Another obstacle is to fully understand the coupling between the reaction and the hydrodynamics. This analysis is, however, underway and we hope to report the results later. For now, we offer some insights via analysis of a simpler toy problem, in the style of Ref. 3. In outline, we ignore the pipe geometry,\(^{50}\) considering instead a plane channel flow, and suppress any direct study of the chemical reaction. Instead we include the assumed effects of the reaction directly into the analysis, by assuming a strong local peak in the underlying concentration-dependent viscosity.

As justification for this approach, we note that the chemical reaction occurs on a timescale \( \hat{t}_{ch} \), which is much shorter than the hydrodynamic timescales of the problem. Following Ref. 51, the characteristic timescale at which the chemical reaction occurs is roughly \( \hat{t}_{ch} \approx 10^{-9} \) s. In our experiments, the characteristic hydrodynamic times are the diffusion time, \( \hat{t}_D = \hat{R}^2/\hat{D} \), the advection time, \( \hat{t}_a = \hat{R}/\hat{U}_0 \), and the viscous time, \( \hat{t}_v = \hat{R}^2/\hat{\eta}_0 \). If one considers \( \hat{D} = 4.2 \times 10^{-12} \) m\(^2\)/s (which is roughly the diffusion coefficient of fluorescein in our solutions) and \( \hat{U}_0 = 5 \) mm/s (which corresponds to half of our experimental range), one can estimate \( \hat{t}_D = 7.2 \times 10^6 \) s, \( \hat{t}_a = 1.1 \) s, and \( \hat{t}_v = 0.3 \) s. The numerical estimates above show a clear separation of timescales in our problem: \( \hat{t}_D \ll \hat{t}_a \ll \hat{t}_v \). In view of this, it is reasonable to assume that the fast reaction instantaneously establishes an initial concentration profile when two fluids come into contact. This “reaction front” is a diffuse layer of reacted fluid separating the two bulk fluids, within which the pH is approximately neutral and the viscosity is consequently elevated.

The displacement is driven on the slow scale of \( \hat{t}_v \). Note that the reaction is not autocatalytic. Unless there is convective motion that brings fresh unreacted fluids into contact, the reaction front broadens diffusively. No self-sustaining traveling chemical waves arise. In addition, unlike the many “chemical reaction plus buoyancy” studies referenced in Sec. I, our fluids have matching densities that are unchanged by the reaction. Consequently, there is no momentum source resulting from the reaction. Therefore, the direct effect of the continual reaction is to create a source term in the advection-diffusion equation for the concentration. Indirectly this modifies the viscosity profile. For simplicity, however, we will ignore the effects of continual reaction, and focus on the fate of the initial, chemically created, concentration and viscosity profiles.

**A. The toy model**

We consider a symmetric plane Poiseuille flow in a channel of width 2\( R \) along which fluid is pumped at mean speed \( \hat{U}_0 \). The fluid is assumed to have a concentration-dependent viscosity \( \hat{\eta}(c) \) that consists of a base viscosity \( \hat{\eta}_0 \) that is augmented (by a factor \( \alpha \)) over some finite range of concentrations \( \Delta c_0 \), close to a fixed concentration value \( c = c_0 \). For example, one such function would be
\[
\hat{\eta}(c) = \hat{\eta}_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi (c - c_0)}{2\Delta c_0} \right) \right],
\]
which is depicted in Fig. 10(a). Ignoring the reaction, the concentration satisfies an advection-diffusion equation and

![Graph of viscosity and velocity profiles](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**FIG. 10.** Example basic viscosity and velocity profiles for \( c_0 = 0.5 \), \( \Delta c_0 = 0.1 \), and \( \alpha = 10 \). (a) Normalized base viscosity profile. (b) Normalized base velocity profile.
the fluid flow satisfies the Navier-Stokes equations. A linear variation in the base concentration \( C_0(y) \) satisfies the advection-diffusion equation, and by selecting \( c_0 \) and \( \Delta c_0 \), we may position the viscous layer in the channel and control its thickness. Solution of the steady x-momentum equation, with the chosen concentration and viscosity profiles, leads to the base velocity \( U(y) \). An example is given in Fig. 10; we can see in Fig. 10(b) that the increased viscosity tends to flatten the base Poiseuille velocity profile within the diffuse viscous layer.

We consider the linear stability of this base flow, using classical methods. The stability problem is governed by the Reynolds number \( Re=(\hat{\rho} \hat{R} \hat{U}_0)/\hat{\eta}_0 \leq 1 \), the Schmidt number, \( Sc=\hat{\eta}_0/(\hat{\rho} \hat{D}) \in [10^4, 10^5] \), the initial concentration profile \( C_0 \), the layer thickness and position \( \Delta c_0 \) and \( c_0 \), and the amplitude \( a \) of the viscosity jump. Introducing the nondimensional variables

\[
x = \frac{\hat{x}}{\hat{R}}, \quad y = \frac{\hat{y}}{\hat{R}}, \quad t = \frac{\hat{t} \hat{U}_0}{\hat{R}}, \quad U = \frac{\hat{U}}{\hat{U}_0}, \quad \eta = \frac{\hat{\eta}}{\hat{\eta}_0},
\]

the growth or decay of a linear mode \([f(y),c(y)]e^{i\alpha x+\sigma t}\) is governed by the following eigenvalue problem:

\[
\sigma(D^2-a^2)f = L_1 f + \frac{1}{Re}(L_2 f + L_3 c),
\]

\[
\sigma c = ia[(DC_0f-Uc)] + \frac{1}{ReSc}[(D^2-a^2)c],
\]

where \( D=dl/du \), \( \eta=\eta(C_0) \), \( C_0=1-|y| \), and

\[
L_1 := -ia[U(D^2-a^2)-D^2U],
\]

\[
L_2 := (D^2 \eta)(D^2+a^2) + 2(D \eta)D^2(a^2) + \eta(D^2-a^2)^2,
\]

\[
L_3 := \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial C_0}(DU)D + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial C_0}(DU)(D^2+a^2).
\]

Boundary conditions at the walls \((y=\pm 1)\) are \( f=f(\hat{D}f=0 \) and \( c=0 \).

### B. Results

We discretize the equations using Chebyshev polynomials and determine the maximal growth rates \( \sigma_R \) as a function of wave number \( \alpha \), (and the other five dimensionless parameters), in the standard way (see, e.g., Ref. 52). A complete exploration of the parameter space of this problem is not worthwhile. Indeed, our intention is simply to illustrate that this type of model provides a possible mechanism for instability in the ranges of parameters found in our experiments.

Figure 11(a) shows typical examples of the growth rate \( \sigma_R \) versus \( \alpha \), for a range of different moderate \( a \), at \( Re=0.5 \). At higher \( a \), the growth rates are still larger. For the fluids in our experiments \( a \approx 100 \), although for higher concentrations of carboxyl this could be elevated. For sufficiently small \( a \), with \( Re \leq 1 \), we find stability. Analytically, if \( a=0 \) the viscosity constant so that Eq. (4) decouples and reduces to the classical Orr-Sommerfeld equation, which is stable at low \( Re \). Equation (5) then gives only stable modes with \( \sigma_R < 0 \) decreasing quadratically with \( a \) as \( a \to \infty \). For the values of \( a \) studied in Fig. 11(a), we also find stability at large \( \alpha \), as shown in the inset of Fig. 11(a). The long wavelength limit \( \alpha \to 0 \) may also be treated analytically and is stable for finite \( Re > 0 \) and \( Sc \).

Therefore, unstable wave numbers are found only within some finite band, away from \( \alpha=0 \). The maximal “cutoff” wave number, denotes the short wavelength limit above which linear stability is found, and is found to increase mildly with \( a \) (data not shown here). In order to compare with the experimental results, note that the wave numbers are
scaled with $\hat{R}^{-1}$ and the growth rates with the inverse advection timescale $\hat{t}_0$ (which is $\approx 1.1$ s for our experiments). Thus, we can see from Fig. 11 that wavelengths $\sim \hat{R}$ are certainly excited at viscosity amplifications $a=5$. The growth rates are very rapid and we would therefore not expect to see linear modal effects in our experiments.

The experimental range of Re is $Re \leq 1$. In Fig. 11(b) we show the dependence of the growth rates on Re for several values of the viscosity amplitude at $\alpha=1$. As would be expected, stability is found at sufficiently small Re and the growth rates increase with Re over this range. At fixed $\alpha$ it appears that the minimal critical Re decreases with $\alpha$, but remains nonzero; i.e., although the viscosity gradients appear to be the cause of the instability, there is no growth without the inertial and advective terms in (4) and (5), respectively.

**V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

In this study we have presented experimental evidence of a low inertia shear flow instability caused by changes in the local fluid rheology. We have focused on low Re number displacement flows in an horizontal pipe. An acid-base type chemical reaction occurs near the interface between fluids and results in molecular reorganization of the carbopol-940 (C-940) polymer. The main result of this molecular reorganization is the formation of a stiff gel, characterized by a large viscosity and a significant yield stress.

In order to test the effect of these rheological changes on the hydrodynamic stability of the flow, we have carried out two control experimental sequences (see Table I, series 1 and 2). In neither of the control experiments was a flow instability observed. If both fluids were Newtonian (first control sequence), the displacement flow was dominated by a long finger of Fluid 1 penetrating into Fluid 2 (Fig. 4). The flow was stable and the second fluid was never completely removed from the channel walls [Fig. 9(a)]. If the displaced fluid was a yield stress fluid, the flow remained stable at all times (second control sequence; see Fig. 5).

The behavior was significantly different in the reactive case. The interface between the fluids becomes unstable and the two fluids mix across the entire channel. The flow fields are unsteady and characterized by a strong secondary motion in a direction orthogonal to the mean flow direction [Fig. 7(b)]. The importance of our experimental findings is threefold.

1. First, the coupling between chemical reaction and a strong nonmonotonic local change in the fluid rheology is novel. For simple fluids, viscosity variations can be caused by temperature or concentration gradients, but these tend to be gradual and monotonic. Use of complex fluids allows one to localize the change in viscosity and produce nonmonotonic effects. The instability mechanism we have described in this paper is not restricted to interfacial flows, where acid-base type chemical reactions take place at the interface between two fluids via transport of unbalanced charges across the interface. Similar chemical reactions, which result in significant changes in the fluid rheology, may be triggered in a non-invasive way; e.g., by exposing fluid parcels to either electromagnetic radiation or heat waves that will locally initiate a polymerization reaction. For example, there exist numerous polymeric materials (epoxies) widely used in photo lithography, whose viscosity increases dramatically (up to solidification, depending on the chemical nature of the polymer and the exposure conditions) upon exposure to monochromatic electromagnetic radiation. In addition, liquid silicone elastomers may display a similar behavior (they turn from viscous liquid state to solid elastic) when locally heated. This observation, together with an instability mechanism similar to the one illustrated in this paper, may open new possibilities of externally controlling the hydrodynamic stability of low inertia shear flows.

2. Second, we have demonstrated a significant increase in the displacement efficiency for reactive displacements. This has an impact on process applications such as those described in Sec. I A, and this was the initial motivation for our study.

3. Third, we have demonstrated a new mechanism for low Re mixing that does not require large inertial energies. This in itself opens up many interesting avenues for practical applications where inertial forces are negligibly small, e.g., mixing in microfluidic flows. Indeed, efficient mixing at low inertia requires an additional mechanism than molecular diffusion (which is the least efficient). Several micromixing techniques have been proposed during the past half decade, all based on different mechanisms of generating secondary flows (steady or random in time). One of these techniques is based on the recently discovered elastic turbulence, which is a random (in time) and complex dynamic flow state in dilute solutions of linear flexible polymers. As shown in Refs. 54 and 55, elastic turbulence can be successfully employed in efficiently mixing viscous fluids with negligibly small inertial contributions. Although the flow configuration we used in this study is not a typical mixing configuration, based on the data presented in Figs. 6 and 8 and the smallness of inertial forces in our system, one can suggest that the instability discussed in this paper could be alternatively used as a low Re mixing method.

Our hydrodynamic stability study has illustrated that linear instabilities do result from nonmonotonic viscosity variations of the magnitude that we have and in the correct range of Re and Sc. We have avoided a wider study of the 6 parameters that govern the system for a number of reasons. First, some of the limits (e.g., large $a$, small $\Delta c_0$, $Sc \rightarrow \infty$) will obviously cause numerical difficulties, and would be better investigated analytically. Second, although the mechanism in our toy model is plausible as the cause of a linear onset, the role of the chemical reaction is essentially “dead” in this type of model. Even if the mechanism may be essentially correct, this is intellectually unsatisfying. Third, as stated, our toy model is very much in the style of that of the authors of Ref. 3, who have already conducted parametric studies, albeit for a quite different base flow.
With regard to Ref. 3, it is worth pointing out a number of similarities and differences. Ern et al. consider a smoothed jump in viscosity between fluids of differing base viscosity in a Couette flow. They show that instability occurs within a band of wave numbers at small Reynolds numbers, and this is qualitatively similar to what we show here. The direction taken in Ref. 3 is, however, to compare the smoothed viscosity results against the equivalent instability for a discontinuous jump in viscosity; i.e., immiscible fluids with zero surface tension. Thus, the parameters chosen correspond to case for which the “discontinuous viscosity” base flow is linearly unstable. The authors show that the smoothed viscosity in fact modulates the discontinuous case; e.g., instead of becoming unstable at a critical viscosity ratio A a viscosity ratio \(<1\) is needed, long and short wavelengths are stabilized, etc.

In our case we have a base “unreacted flow” that is purposefully designed to have no viscosity difference. In the experiments this equality of the viscosity is approximate, but our control experiments show absolutely no evidence of instability. In the toy model this equality is exact. For the discontinuous base flow, with no jump in base viscosity there is also no jump in base velocity gradients. It is this jump that manifests in the linearized jump conditions at the interface and leads to instability. Therefore, the situations we consider cannot be considered as a smoothed/regularized version of an unstable discontinuous viscosity flow (see Ref. 3). More specifically, it is the diffuse viscous layer that must be responsible for the instability, and not any underlying jump in base viscosity. This novelty is not contrary to anything reported in Ref. 3, but it is interesting in its own right. Having said this, the stability results we have shown are qualitatively similar to the those of Ref. 3, which indicates that the same basic mechanism may be responsible.

Alternatively, one might possibly interpret our results as a smoothed/regularized version of a base flow with an embedded very viscous layer of zero thickness, as studied in Ref. 56. We remark only that the stability results here are quite different to those of the asymptotic approach in Ref. 56, which show a linear dependence of growth rate on the Reynolds number in the long wavelength limit, whereas our model follows Ref. 3 in being stable in this limit. The parameters, base flows and underlying model are also different here and in Ref. 56, where there is effectively no concentration perturbation. It is unclear exactly where the difference with Ref. 56 comes from but this is uninteresting from our perspective, since our experiments contain real fluids with a finite mixed layer and finite viscosities. We may also remark that the thin layer limit of our experimental situation is not a multilayer shear flow as it corresponds to the entry into the pipe. In this limit, chemical reactions are active in spreading the diffuse high viscosity layer on a fast reactive timescale.

Finally, the linear analysis is only a small part of the total picture here. What appears remarkable is that the displacement efficiency is significantly increased by the nonlinear mechanisms in the unstable flow. This process involves mixing and refreshment of the fluids brought into contact with each other, promoting further reaction and development of viscosity gradients. Perhaps the initial linear destabilizing mechanism is that of Sec. IV, but to move further ahead in our full understanding of the flow requires further work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this research was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada through Strategic Project Grant No. 306682. This project is also supported by Schlumberger Oilfield Services and Trican Well Service Ltd. We are grateful to Rastislav Seffer (CBVL, Vancouver) for valuable help and advice on the customization of stepping motor controllers and software.


37. Pore Pressure and Fracture Gradients, Reprint No. 49 (Society of Petroleum Engineers, Richardson, TX, 1999).

38. Horizontal Wells, Reprint No. 47 (Society of Petroleum Engineers, Richardson, TX, 1999).


50. Although the onset of linear shear instabilities is different in pipe and plane channel geometries, onset of interfacial instability is similar, and the plane channel is simpler to deal with mathematically. Here we study low Re flows for which the noninterfacial modes are assumed stable.


