$K_{o}(Var_{c})$ has an even deeper structure: it is a lambda ring with $\sigma_{K}(X) = [Sym^{K}X]$ One equivalent way to talk about (pre-) leader ring structures is with power structures: Det'n A power structure on a ring R is the following: for Alt) & R [It] with Alo)=1 and MER = Alt)^M E RITI satisfying : () ALt) = 1 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H})^{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}$ ٢ $A(t)^{m+N} = A(t)^{m} A(t)^{N}$ () () $A(t)^{M} = (A(t)^{M})^{N}$ S C C $Alt)^{m} B(t)^{m} = (A(t) \cdot B(t))^{m}$ $(1+t)^{m} = 1 + Mt + O(t^{2})$ Ť $(A(t^{*}))^{M} = (A(t)^{m})_{t \mapsto t^{k}}$ Lecture 36

Theorem (Getzlor, Gusein-Zale et al.) There exists a power structure on $K_0(Vore)$ uniquely determined by $(1-t)^{-[x]} = (1+t+t^2+\cdots)^{[x]} = \sum_{n=0}^{10} [sym^n x]t^n$ if X is a variety.

- Lemma (Totaro) [Sym" CK] = [C"] in Ko(Varc). In terms of priver structures $(1-t)^{-\mu^{k}} = (1-\mu^{k}t)^{-1}$

This officerum bas a more geneal geometric interpretation : if
$$A(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{k+1} t$$

with A_i ventotics, and X is a verichy, then $(A(t))^X = \sum_{k=1}^{k} B_k t^k$ where the
 $B_k = \operatorname{Configurations} \partial_i A_i$ -valued points in X ∂_i table charge K
 $= \{(s, 4) : S \subset X \text{ finite } \phi : S \rightarrow \bigcup A_i \text{ err } K = \sum_{i=1}^{k} i(\phi(n))\}$
 $= \underbrace{(s, 4) : S \subset X \text{ finite } \phi : S \rightarrow \bigcup A_i \text{ err } K = \sum_{i=1}^{k} i(\phi(n))\}$
 $= \underbrace{(i + 1)_{i=1}^{k}}_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i + 1)_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i + 1)_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{$

Power structure is compatible with cular char have marphism:

$$e: K_{0}(tur_{e})[[t]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[t]] in the obvious may, then
$$e(A(t)^{X}) = e(A(t))^{e(X)} \qquad \text{ so for example since}
e(A(t)^{X}) = e(A(t))^{e(X)} \qquad \text{ so for example since}
e(Hilb_{0}^{i}(A^{2})) = e(Hilb_{0}^{i}(A^{2})^{T}) = p(i) \qquad \text{ so perfitting } q i$$

$$\mathbb{Z} e(Hilb^{n}(X))t^{n} = \left(\mathbb{Z} e(Hilb_{0}^{n}(A^{2}))t^{n}\right)^{e(X)} = \left(\mathbb{Z} p(n)t^{n}\right)^{e(X)}$$$$

$$= \pi (1 - t^{n})^{-e(k)}$$

Similarly, if X is a smooth 3-fild

$$\sum_{n=0}^{10} e(\text{Hilb}^{n}(x))t^{n} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{10} e(\text{Hilb}^{n}(A^{3}))t^{n}\right)^{e(X)} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{10} P_{3D}^{(n)}t^{n}\right)^{e(X)}$$

=
$$M(t)^{e(x)} = \frac{ao}{\Pi} (1 - g^{m})^{-me(x)}$$

=>
$$Z_0^{DT}(x) = M(g)^{e(x)}$$
 (needs a little work to deal with Behrowl fac)
The prover structure on K₀(Ver_c) is also compatible with the weight polynomial
homowrphism $W_s : K_0(Ver_c) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[s]$

S a smarth

where the power structure on ZES] satisfies

$$(1-t)^{-(-5)^{k}} = (1-(-5^{k})t)^{-1} \implies (1-t^{m})^{-(-5)^{k}} = (1-(-5)^{k}t^{m})^{-1}$$

We can use this to compute the betty numbers of Hillbⁿ(S)
Surface (formate formula of Gättache)

We short with getting the class of Hilbⁿ(
$$\mathbb{C}^{2}$$
) in Ko(lbg). Let ∇ be the
dores and let $\mathbb{C}^{k} \subset T$ be some generic subtants. Alson
Hilbⁿ(\mathbb{C}^{2}) ^{\mathbb{C}^{k}} = Hilbⁿ(\mathbb{C}^{k}) ^{T} = $p(h)$ points given by $\mathbb{Z}_{h} \subset \mathbb{C}^{k}$ $\lambda \vdash h$
defined by menomial ideal I_{h} .
for any point $p \in Hilb^{n}(\mathbb{C}^{k})$ we can consider $\lim_{t \to 0} t \cdot p \in Hilb^{n}(\mathbb{C}^{k})^{T}$
two tests
 $This defines a stratification $Hilb^{n}(\mathbb{C}^{k}) = \bigcup_{t \to 0} V_{a}$ set a points limiting
 $\mathbb{C}^{k} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}^{k}$
($t \neq torns$ such that $V_{a} \cong \mathbb{A}^{d(k)}$ and $d(k) = \frac{1}{2}$ positive weight \mathbb{C}^{k} repre-
in $T_{[k_{k}]}$ Hilbⁿ(\mathbb{C}^{k})
 ∇ have iden vertes more generally (Biolysicki-Birula , really just more thang).
Comparising $d(k)$ is not hard, it turns out to be $d(k) = n + l(k)$
 Σ Lawyth g pertition
 $S_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} + Hilb^{n}(\mathbb{C}^{k}) \mathbb{I}^{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{1}^{k} \mathbb{U}^{n+e(k)} t^{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{1}^{k} \mathbb{U}^{k(k)} (t \mathbb{U})^{n}$$

1=0

Kt-n

N=0 OFA

N=0

Quick digression on counting partitions. For
$$\alpha \mapsto n$$
, there are saveral ways to
 $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2 \ge \cdots \ge \alpha_{M(n)}) = (b_1(\alpha), b_2(\alpha), b_3(\alpha), \cdots) b_K(\alpha) = \# g \text{ parts } g \text{ size } K$
 $n = |\alpha_1| = \sum_{i=1}^{N(n)} \kappa_i = \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \kappa b_k(\alpha)$
 $n = |\alpha_k| = \sum_{i=1}^{N(n)} \kappa_i = \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \kappa b_k(\alpha)$
 $M = formula$
 $\sum_{K=1}^{N(n)} p(n) g^n = \prod_{K=1}^{N(n)} (1 - g^k)^T$ works because
 $n \ge \alpha = (1 + g + g^2 + \cdots)(1 + g^2 + g^4 + \cdots)(1 + g^2 + g^4 + \cdots) \cdots$

This then easily glassifies

$$\frac{z_{i}^{i}}{z_{i}} \frac{z_{i}^{i}}{z_{i}} \chi^{\mu(w)} g^{n} = \frac{\pi}{k} (1 - \chi g^{k})^{-1} = (1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots)(1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots)(1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots) \cdots = (1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots)(1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots)(1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots) \cdots = (1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots)(1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots) \cdots = (1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots)(1 + \chi g^{k} + \chi g^{k} + \dots) \cdots = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} (1 - \mu^{m+1} + \mu^{m})^{-1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{m + 1} = \frac{z_{i}^{m}}{$$

 $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[H_{i} H_{i}^{n}(s) \right] t^{n} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - H_{i}^{m-1} t^{m} \right)^{-[s]}$

Recall if S is a smooth surface, then $Hilb^n(S)$ is a smooth projective 2n - fible soweight probynomial is Poincare poly. $b_i = dim H^i(S)$ $b_0 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_3$ $b_1 = dim H^i(S)$ $b_1 = dim H^i(S)$ $b_1 = dim H^i(S)$ $b_1 = dim H^i(S)$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_3$ $b_1 = dim H^i(S)$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_3$ $b_1 = dim H^i(S)$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_3$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_3$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_3$ $b_1 = dim H^i(S)$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_3$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_3$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_2$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_2 = b_2 = 1$ $b_3 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_2$ $b_2 = b_2 = 1$ $b_2 = b_1 = 1$ $b_2 = b_2 = 1$ $b_3 = b_1 = 1$ $b_1 = b_2 = 1$ $b_2 = b$

$$= \iint_{m=1}^{10} \frac{(1+s^{-1}(s^{2}t)^{m})^{b_{1}}(1+s(s^{2}t)^{m})^{c_{1}}}{(1-s^{-2}(s^{2}t)^{m})(1-(s^{2}t)^{m})^{b_{2}}(1-s^{2}(s^{2}t)^{m})}$$

Lecture 38] Using these ideas to compute 20th for local curves. Recall why we corre about "local curves". Grano - Witten theory is complicated because a single curve CCX contributes to infinitely many imminutes through multiple covers and collepsing maps. Similarly, DT theory is complicated because there are many subschemes where underlying reduced curve is C (nilpotent thickenings and emhedded points). The main theorems in the field (correspondences between DT (GN (GN invariants) mediate and relate these complications

The way these theorems are proved are by using symplectic familytic geometry to reduce the general case to local curves. So an important part of the stary is solving DT & Gul for local curves. (long history here). The original compression is very difficult, but powerstructures and topological vortex make this computin (for DT) easy (although it requires an unresolved Behrand function conjecture). Suppose C is a smooth genus g curve and hold -> C are line bundles such that $L_1 \otimes L_2 \cong K_C$ then $X = tot(L_1 \otimes L_2 \longrightarrow C)$ is a C43 and is a "local curve". X isn't spric (valuess C = TP'), but it does have a $T = C^{\times} \times C^{\times}$ action. We wish to compute Eulor char weighted by $\mathcal{D}: I_{a}(S, d[C]) \rightarrow 2$ $\mathcal{Z}_{d}^{\mathsf{DT}}(\mathsf{X}) = \mathcal{Z}_{d} \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathsf{I}_{n}(\mathsf{X}, d[\mathsf{C}]), \mathsf{v}) \ (-g)^{\mathsf{n}}$ (we've used notation Crie) just write $y d\xi = \sum e(I_n(X,d\xi c_j)^T, v) (-\delta)^n$

What to J inversionst subschemes look like ? Pure dimension are subschemes which are invortant are in bijection with partitions ortal. Let Cor be the Length d Hickening of C which when restricted to a fiber of $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ is the monomial ideal determined by X :



Linner flockins on the fibers of $\chi \xrightarrow{\pi} C$ are sections of $L_1^{i} \oplus L_2^{i}$; polynomial for chines are sections of Symi($L_1^{i} \oplus L_2^{i}$) so $\chi = \text{Spec}\left(\text{Symi}(L_1^{i} \oplus L_2^{i})\right)$ and $O_{\chi} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \text{Symi}(\pi^{+}L_1^{i} \oplus \pi^{+}L_2^{i})$ $O_{C_{\chi}} \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \stackrel{\oplus}{\oplus} \pi^{+}L_1^{-i} \oplus \pi^{+}L_2^{-i}$ A general T invariant subschame Z will be supported on C but can have (pressibly vory condicated) embedded points. However Z will have some C_{χ} as the marking pure dim 1 subschame $C_{\chi} \subset Z$. $o \rightarrow P \rightarrow O_Z \longrightarrow O_{C_{\chi}} \longrightarrow O$ $\chi(O_Z) = \chi(O_{C_{\chi}}) + \text{Longth}P$

Let $I_{\kappa}(X, C_{\alpha})^{T} \subset I_{\mathcal{H}O_{\alpha}} + \kappa (X, d[c])^{T}$ be the basis of T invormat Subschemes ZCX where maximal pure subscheme is Cox and it has "K embelled points". Ix (X, Gx) is solill very complicated (not nec. locally pronomial since we are only using $\land (C^{x})^{2}).$ total of K embedded points Lectore 39] The motivic class of $I_k(X, C_k)^T$ satisfies a power structure relation: $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[I_{k}(x,c_{k}) \right] t^{k} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[I_{k}(c^{*},c_{k}) \right] t^{k} \right)^{l c}$ where $I_{K}(C^{3}, C_{A})_{0}^{T}$ parameterizes Λ subschemes $Z \subset C^{3}$ with $C_{CA} \subset Z$ adjuding a and montimal pure subscheme is given by the menomial ideal supported on the Z-antis and $\frac{I_2}{I_{c...}}$ is length K and supported at 0. Conjecture : the Bahrend finction is congratible with this structure.

 $\mathcal{Z}_{A}^{\text{DT}}(X) = \mathcal{Z}_{A}^{\text{T}} e(\mathbf{I}_{n}(X, A[c_{3}], \mathcal{V}) (-g)^{\text{T}})$ $= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leftarrow d}} (-g)^{\chi(\alpha_{L_{\alpha}})} \sum_{\substack{\alpha' \neq d}} e(I_{k}(X,C_{\alpha})^{T}, Y) (-g)^{k}$ $= \sum_{\alpha \vdash d} (-g)^{\chi}(Q_{\alpha}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e(I_{k}(\alpha, C_{\alpha})_{0}^{T}, \gamma)(-g)^{k} \right)^{e(C)}$ $= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-g)^{\chi/Q_{k}} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e\left(I_{k}(C^{3}, C_{k})^{(e^{\prime})^{3}} \right) \left(-g \right)^{k} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{ locally meaning}$ The argument of MNOP then says that $\mathcal{D} = (-1)^{\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(\operatorname{I}_2, \operatorname{I}_2)} = (-1)^{\operatorname{HO}_2} + d(g^{-1}) + k$ for each ZCX counted in the above. Then $Z_{A}^{DT}(X) = (-1)^{A(y^{+})} Z_{A}^{\dagger} g_{X}^{T}(Q_{c}) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pm \{ I_{c}(C^{*}, C_{a})^{(C^{*})^{3}} g_{c}^{k} \right)^{2-2g}$ = $(-1)^{d(g-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{d} g^{\pi/4} V_{\phi\phi x}^{2-2g}$ Recall $V_{\phi\phi\phi} (g) = M(g) \prod_{i,j \in u} \frac{1}{(1 - g^{h_u}(i_{i,j}))}$ also $\chi(O_{c_u}) = \chi(\pi_*O_{c_u}) = \chi(\bigoplus_{i_{j,j} \in u} L_1^{-i} \oplus L_2^{-j})$ line builde of degree - i degl_1 - j degl_2 = Z' - i dyl, - j dylz + 1-g Simplest cose: since LiBlz -Kc we may choose some K'z (a that choose thristic) and let 4=4=kc. Then degli = g-1 50 $\chi(O_{C_{4}}) = (1-g) \sum_{i,j \in X} (i+j+1)$

Fun enercise : $\sum_{i,j\in a} (i+j+1) = \sum_{i,j\in a} h_x(i,j)$ (Hint : how many different hooks in the is box contained in?) So $\chi(\theta_{C_{W}}) = (1-g) \sum_{\substack{i,j \in W}}^{l} h_{w}(i,j)$ $Z_{d}^{\text{DT}}(X) = (-1)_{a+d}^{(g-1)d} \sum_{a+d}^{f} g^{\pi/4} V_{\phi\phi u}^{2-2g}$ $= (-1)^{(g-1)d} \sum_{\alpha \leftarrow d} 0^{(1-g)} \sum_{i,j} h_{\alpha}^{(i,j)} \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2-2g} M(g)^{2-2g}$ $= M(g)^{2-2g} \sum_{i}^{l} \left(\frac{1}{i_{j} j \in k} - \frac{g^{h_{w}(i_{j})}}{(1 - g^{h_{w}(i_{j})})^{2}} \right)^{l-g}$ $= M(g)^{2-2g} \sum_{\alpha' \leftarrow d}^{l} \left(\frac{1}{i_{j} j \in k} - \frac{g^{h_{w}(i_{j})}}{(1 - g^{h_{w}(i_{j})})^{2}} - \frac{g^{h_{w}}}{(1 - g^{h_{w}})^{2}} - \frac{g^{h_{w}}}{(1 - g^{h_{w}})^{2}} = \left(2 \sin \frac{k_{w}}{2} \right)^{2}$ $2\sin\frac{h\lambda}{2} = h\lambda + O(\lambda^3)$ so $\mathcal{Z}_{A}^{\text{GW}}(X') = \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}}_{A'}^{\prime} \left(\underbrace{\mathbb{T}}_{i,j\in X} h_{a}(i,j) \right)^{2g-2} \left(1 + O(x^{2}) \right)$ $\frac{\pi}{i_{j} \leq \kappa} h_{\alpha}(i_{j}) = \frac{d!}{dim R_{\alpha}}$ f irveducible Sa regr. indocal by oc. $= \lambda^{d(2g-2)} \sum_{\alpha \vdash d}^{l} \left(\frac{d!}{\dim R_{\alpha}}\right)^{2g-2} + higher order \lambda$ A lagree d'un comified cover $C_h \xrightarrow{d:1} c_g$ has genue h satisfying 2h-2 = d(2g-2)and has the smallest genues of all degree covers.

So we get that the # 4 layer d, unravious coars of G is give by

$$\sum_{n=4}^{2} \left(\frac{d!}{dnR_n}\right)^{3-n}$$
Promple g=1 this number is $p(d)$ which get did in homework
example g=0 dormals says
9 degree d unravified = $\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (dinR_n)^n = \frac{1}{d!} \cdot \frac{1}{16!} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (dinR_i)^n (G=S_n)$
Coores of R¹ = $\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (dinR_n)^n = \frac{1}{d!} \cdot \frac{1}{16!} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (dinR_i)^n (G=S_n)$
 $Coores of R1 = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (dinR_n)^n = \frac{1}{d!} \cdot \frac{1}{16!} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (dinR_i)^n (G=S_n)$
 $Coores of R1 = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (dinR_n)^n = \frac{1}{d!} \cdot \frac{1}{16!} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (dinR_i)^n (G=S_n)$
 $Lecture #0$
Into to TT theory. Recall that $2! = \frac{2^{n+1}}{2_0} = -\frac{n}{6}$ founds reason points. Is there
a geometric theory where partition forefin is $2!$ yas - Radberipade Thomes's theory of
Stable pairs.
Ideal shaf T_{2} can be equivalently viewed as $O_{2} - \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 0_{2}$
macheli space of ideal shares can be visual as macheli spac of dimension (sharess
F equipped with a surjective morphism $f: O_{2} \rightarrow 0$
The bijective correspondence $\{f: O_{2} \rightarrow 0; T_{2}\}$
 $fi \longrightarrow harf$
 $O_{2} \rightarrow 0; \longrightarrow T_{2}$
 $fi \longrightarrow harf$
 $O_{3} \rightarrow 0; \longrightarrow T_{3}$
 $fi \longrightarrow harf$
 $O_{4} \rightarrow 0; \longrightarrow T_{3}$
 $fi \longrightarrow harf$
 $O_{4} \rightarrow 0; \longrightarrow T_{3}$

From the point of view of models of pairs
$$\{O_X \xrightarrow{f} \in F\}$$
 I supplies $N(F) = P$
the condition start f is surjective is a subject condition. The models start of all
pairs is not separated. To get a nice projective models space one needs an
open set in the full start which is separated and confider. After are other substituting
conditions besides f being surjective:
Dela (PT) Let X be an 3 field. A stable pair (F,f) is a sheet F of dim 1
and a map $O_X \xrightarrow{f} O_F$ such that
 O F is pare (f mean-zero $G \rightarrow F$ dim $G=O$)
 (F) coher f is draw O (section doesn't vanish or comparets)
 $O \rightarrow kerf \rightarrow O_X \xrightarrow{f} O_F$ a coher $f \rightarrow O$ "match" are still present, bust
 F_C come T_C (F_C , F_C)
 K busits space of substite pairs V_C ($O_X \xrightarrow{f} F$) is a strict $F = 0$
 F_C come T_C (F_C , F_C)
 F_C (F_C , F_C , F_C)
 F_C (F_C , F_C , F_C)
 F_C (F_C , F_C)

Let
$$Z^{Pr}(X) = \sum_{n,0}^{\infty} N_{n,0}^{Pr}(X) \cup V_{n,0}^{P}(X) \cup V_{n,0}^{P}(X) = Z_{p}^{Pr}(X) = costyp Z_{p}^{Pr}(X)$$

Note that $Z_{D}^{Pr} = 1$ since the only non-maph model: space in $Pf_{0}(X, 0) = pt = \{\overline{b}(X + n)\}$
Note that $Z_{D}^{Pr} = 1$ since the only non-maph model: space in $Pf_{0}(X, 0) = pt = \{\overline{b}(X + n)\}$
Note $Q_{X} \stackrel{f}{=} 0$ F be a stabile pair supported in a sametic curve $C = X$.
After F most be a line builde in C with a subim so $F = O_{C}(D)$ where
 $O = \sum n_{1}^{P}$; efflutive Avisor $O_{X} \stackrel{f}{=} 0 D_{C}(D)$ in this case the data
 ∂_{Y} the stabile pair is a curve C with $N = \Sigma_{1}^{P}$ pairs in it $n = P(F) = P(Q(D))$
 $= 1 - g(C) + N$
 $V = \sum_{n=1}^{P} (X_{n}(X_{n})) = \sum_{n=1}^{P} (U^{n}(Y_{n}(A_{n}))) = p^{n-1}$
 $PT_{n}(X_{n}(X_{n})) = \begin{cases} O_{X} \stackrel{f}{=} O_{R_{n}}(n-1) + n \\ Ried vertee computation : $Z_{LV_{n}}^{Dr}(X) = -M(g) \stackrel{f}{=} \frac{1}{n}$$

Theorem (Can's by PT, proved by Take, Bridgebad). $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{DT}}(X)' = \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{\text{DT}}(X)}{\mathcal{Z}^{\text{DT}}(X)} = \mathcal{Z}^{\text{PT}}(X)$ $O_X \xrightarrow{t} F$ is a surjection in a powerse havet Change & stability and work crossing in D⁴(Coh(US)). generative previous example: local curve in dyree 1 X = Tot (LieLz - Cz) LiObe=Ke H°(C,Li)=0 then only curve in closes [C] is CCX. $\forall hus PT_n(X, [C]) = \{ O_X \xrightarrow{f} O_C(D) \ D effective divisor of the degree degreee degree d$ $= Sym^{n+g-1}(c)$ $n \ge 1-g$ $So N_{n,p}^{p_{T}}(x) = (-1)^{n+q-1} e(Sym^{n+q-1}(c))$ $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e(s_{gm}^{k}(c)) t^{k} = (1-t)^{-e(c)} (p_{gm}^{m} st^{-})$ $Z_{[c]}^{*T}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-i)^{n+1-i} e(s_{i}n^{n+1-i}(c))(-s_{i})^{n}$ d= n+g-1 = (-g)¹⁻⁵ Ž c(sgnd(c)) gd $= (-\frac{1}{8})^{1-\frac{3}{2}} (1-\frac{1}{8})^{2-\frac{3}{2}} = (\frac{-\frac{1}{8}}{(1-\frac{1}{8})^{2}})^{1-\frac{3}{2}}$ $m_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{6w} = \left(2\sin\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2i-2} = n_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & h=g \\ 0 & h+g \end{cases}$