

11/26/2019. Lecture 24

Diagonalization:  $n \times n$   $A$   $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  eigenvalues  
 $v_1, \dots, v_n$  corresponding eigenvectors  
linearly independent.  
eigenbasis.

$$Av_j = \lambda_j v_j.$$

$S = [v_1 | \dots | v_n]$  invertible.

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,  $A = SDS^{-1}$ .

Definition:  $A$  is diagonalizable if such  $S$  and  $D$  exist.

Note: If  $A$  is diagonalizable with  $A = SDS^{-1}$ , then necessarily the columns of  $S$  are eigenvectors of  $A$  and the elements on the diagonal of  $D$  are the corresp. eigenvalues.

Why is diagonalization useful?

Suppose  $A$  is  $n \times n$ ;  $A = SDS^{-1}$ .

(1). Determinant and trace.

Determinant: Recall that  $\det(BC) = \det(B) \det(C)$   
 $\Rightarrow \det(B^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(B)}$

$$\text{Thus, } \det(A) = \det(S) \det(D) \det(S^{-1}) = \det(D)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n.}$$

Trace: For  $n \times n$   $B$ ,  $\text{trace}(B) = \text{tr}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ii}$ .

Fact:  $\forall B, C$  ~~same size~~  $\text{tr}(BC) = \text{tr}(CB)$   $\forall B, C$  ~~same size~~  $n \times k$   $k \times n$ .

So:  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(SDS^{-1}) = \text{tr}(S^{-1}SD) = \text{tr}(D) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$

$$\boxed{\text{tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n}$$

(2) Power of diagonalizable matrices.

$$A = SDS^{-1}$$

$$A^2 = SDS^{-1}SDS^{-1} = SD^2S^{-1}$$

$$\boxed{A^k = SD^kS^{-1}} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Note that  $D^k = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^k & & \\ & \lambda_2^k & \\ & & \dots \\ & & & \lambda_n^k \end{bmatrix}$  diagonal as well.

$\Rightarrow$   $A^k = SD^kS^{-1}$  is the diagonalization of  $A^k$ .  
 (\*)  $\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \dots, \lambda_n^k$  are the eigenvalues of  $A^k$ .  
 $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are the corresp. eigenvectors. }  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

In fact (\*) holds  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$  as long as  $\lambda_j \neq 0$ .

### Hermitian matrices

Definition: A square matrix  $A$  is Hermitian if

$$A^* = A \quad (\text{i.e. } \bar{A}^T = A)$$

Examples: (all <sup>real</sup> symmetric matrices, i.e. A real  $A = A^T$ .)

e.g.  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

•  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1+i \\ 1-i & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

Remarks: • all diagonal entries of a Hermitian matrix are real.

• a Hermitian matrix that is real is also called symmetric.

Properties:

①.  $A$  is Hermitian iff  $\langle v, Aw \rangle = \langle Av, w \rangle \quad \forall v, w$ .

②. Eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices are real

Proof: Let  $A = A^*$  be Hermitian, and suppose  $Av = \lambda v$  for  $v \neq \vec{0}$ .

$$\text{Then, } \langle v, Av \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \lambda \langle v, v \rangle = \lambda \|v\|_2^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Av, v \rangle &= \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \|v\|_2^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda = \bar{\lambda}, \text{ i.e. } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

③. Eigenvectors of Hermitian matrices corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

$$\text{i.e. if } Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1 \quad \text{and } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \Rightarrow v_1 \perp v_2.$$
$$Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$$

Proof: See the typed notes.

Direct implication: If  $A$  is  $n \times n$  Hermitian with distinct eigenvalues, then the corresp eigenbasis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  can be chosen to be an ONB!

diagonalization:  $S = [v_1 \dots v_n]$  is unitary!

$$\text{and } A = SDS^{-1} = SDS^*$$

In this case, we say that  $A$  is unitarily decomposable.

④. In fact,  $\forall$  Hermitian matrix is unitarily decomposable, i.e.  $A = SDS^*$ , where  $S$  is unitary, even if  $A$  has repeated eigenvalues.

The operator norm of a Hermitian matrix:

Suppose  $A = UDU^*$  and  $U$  is unitary  
 $D$  diagonal.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \|A\|_{op} &= \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2 = \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \|UDU^*x\|_2 \\ &= \max_{\|y\|_2=1} \|UDy\|_2 = \max_{\|y\|_2=1} \|Dy\|_2 = \|D\|_{op} \\ &= \max \{ |\lambda_j| : j=1, \dots, n \} \end{aligned}$$

$\nearrow \|U^*x\|_2 = \|x\|_2$   
 $U^*$  is unitary

$$\|A\|_{op} = \max \{ |\lambda_j| : j=1, \dots, n \}.$$

Condition number of a Hermitian matrix: suppose  $A$  is invertible

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cond}(A) &= \|A\|_{op} \|A^{-1}\|_{op} = \max \{ |\lambda_j| : j=1, \dots, n \} \max \{ \frac{1}{|\lambda_j|} : j=1, \dots, n \} \\ &= \frac{\max \{ |\lambda_j| \}}{\min \{ |\lambda_j| \}} \end{aligned}$$

Example: if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & \\ & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$

Then  $\text{cond}(A) = \frac{5}{2}$ .

IV.3. Power method for computing eigenvalues:

Suppose  $A$  is  $n \times n$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and corresp eigenvectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  s.t

- (i).  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$
- (ii).  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is an eigen basis.

(iii).  $\|v_j\|_2 = 1 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n$   
 For simplicity, we also assume that  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , which is the case e.g. when  $A$  is Hermitian.

Goal: Find  $\lambda_1$  and  $v_1$  (the dominant eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvector).

Observe: Pick an arbitrary  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x_0 \neq \vec{0}$ .

Then,  $\exists c_1, \dots, c_n$  s.t.

$$x_0 = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_n v_n.$$

$$\text{Then, } Ax_0 = c_1 Av_1 + c_2 Av_2 + \dots + c_n Av_n$$

$$= c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n v_n$$

$$A^2 x_0 = c_1 \lambda_1^2 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^2 v_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^2 v_n.$$

$$\vdots$$

$$A^k x_0 = c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k v_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n.$$

$$= \lambda_1^k \left( c_1 v_1 + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k v_2 + \dots + c_n \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right)^k v_n \right).$$

$\downarrow$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$                        $\parallel$   
 $\epsilon_k$

Then:  $A^k x_0 = \lambda_1^k (c_1 v_1 + \epsilon_k)$

where  $\epsilon_k \rightarrow \vec{0}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\frac{A^k x_0}{\|A^k x_0\|} = \frac{\lambda_1^k}{|\lambda_1|^k} \frac{(c_1 v_1 + \epsilon_k)}{\|c_1 v_1 + \epsilon_k\|_2} = \pm \frac{c_1 v_1 + \epsilon_k}{\|c_1 v_1 + \epsilon_k\|_2} \rightarrow \pm v_1 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$\neq \pm 1$  since  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$

$\Rightarrow$  In other words,  $\frac{A^k x_0}{\|A^k x_0\|} \rightarrow \pm v_1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$   
 $\parallel$  eigenvector corresponding to top eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ .

So, we found  $v_1$ .  
 Next, to find  $\lambda_1$ , compute:  $\langle v_1, Av_1 \rangle = \lambda_1 \|v_1\|^2 = \lambda_1$ .