

# Corrigendum to “Coincidences among skew Schur functions”, *Adv. Math.* 216 (2007) 118-152. <sup>\*</sup>

Farzin Barekat <sup>a</sup>, Victor Reiner <sup>b</sup>, and  
Stephanie van Willigenburg <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC  
V6T 1Z2, Canada*

<sup>b</sup>*School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*

---

## Abstract

We provide a rigorous proof that if  $D$  is a connected skew diagram, then the skew Schur function  $s_D$  is irreducible.

*Key words:* connected, irreducible, skew Schur function, MSC 05E05 20C30

---

We provide a proof for the second assertion of [2, Corollary 6.3], which is both of independent interest and an important reduction in determining when two skew Schur functions are equal. More precisely, we prove

**Theorem 1** *For  $D$  a connected skew diagram, the skew Schur function  $s_D$  is irreducible considered as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, \dots]$ .*

**PROOF.** We will induct on the number  $\ell := \ell(D)$  of nonempty rows in the connected skew diagram  $D$ . The base case  $\ell = 1$  is trivial, as then  $s_D = h_{|D|}$  where  $|D|$  is the number of cells of  $D$ .

---

<sup>\*</sup> The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0245379. The first and third authors were supported by the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

\* corresponding author

*Email addresses:* farzin.barekat@yahoo.com (Farzin Barekat),  
reiner@math.umn.edu (Victor Reiner), steph@math.ubc.ca (Stephanie van Willigenburg).

Thus, in the inductive step one may assume  $\ell \geq 2$ , and assume for the sake of contradiction that  $s_D$  is reducible. Express  $D = \lambda/\mu$  with  $|\lambda|$  minimal, so that, in particular,  $\ell(D) = \ell(\lambda) =: \ell$  and  $\mu_\ell = 0$ . Let  $L = \lambda_1 + \ell - 1$ , so that by [2, Proposition 6.2(i)] the  $\ell \times \ell$  Jacobi-Trudi matrix  $J$  for  $s_D$  expresses

$$s_D = s \cdot h_L + r, \tag{1}$$

in which both  $r, s$  involve only the variables  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{L-1}$ .

We claim that neither  $r$  nor  $s$  is the zero polynomial. For  $r$ , note that [2, Proposition 6.2(ii)] implies that  $r$  must contain the monomial  $h_{r_1} \cdots h_{r_\ell}$  with coefficient  $+1$  where  $r_1, \dots, r_\ell$  are the lengths of the rows of  $\lambda/\mu$ . For  $s$ , note that  $s$  is  $(-1)^{\ell-1}$  times the determinant of the  $(\ell-1) \times (\ell-1)$  complementary minor to  $h_L$  in  $J$ , and the complementary minor is the Jacobi-Trudi matrix for  $s_{\hat{\lambda}/\hat{\mu}} = s_{\hat{D}}$ , where  $\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = (\mu_1 + 1, \mu_2 + 2, \dots, \mu_\ell + 1)$ . Observe that  $\hat{D}$  is obtained from  $D$  by removing the northwesternmost ribbon from the northwest border of the connected skew diagram  $D$  (in english notation).

Thus, (1) shows that  $s_D$  is *linear* as a polynomial in  $h_L$ . Since we are assuming  $s_D$  is reducible, this means  $s_D$  must have at least one nontrivial irreducible factor, call it  $f$ , which is of degree zero in  $h_L$ . This factor  $f$  must therefore also divide  $r$ , and hence also divide  $s$ .

Denote by  $J_B^A$  the submatrix obtained from  $J$  by removing its rows indexed by the subset  $A$  and columns indexed by the subset  $B$ . Then the *Lewis Carroll* or *Dodgson condensation* or *Desnanot-Jacobi adjoint matrix* identity [1, Theorem 3.12] asserts that

$$\det J_{1,\ell}^{1,\ell} \cdot \det J = \det J_1^1 \cdot \det J_\ell^\ell - \det J_\ell^1 \cdot \det J_1^\ell. \tag{2}$$

Note that the left side of (2) is divisible by  $f$  since  $\det J = s_D$ , and the second term on the right side of (2) is also divisible by  $f$ , since  $\det J_\ell^\ell$  is the same as the minor determinant appearing in  $s$  in (1). Therefore, the first term on the right of (2) is divisible by  $f$ , implying that one of its factors  $\det J_1^1$  or  $\det J_\ell^\ell$  must be divisible by  $f$ . However, one can check that these last two determinants are the Jacobi-Trudi determinants for the skew diagrams  $E, F$  obtained from  $D$  by removing its first, last row, respectively. Since  $E, F$  are connected skew diagrams with fewer rows than  $D$ , both  $s_E, s_F$  are irreducible by the inductive hypothesis. Hence either  $f = s_E$  or  $f = s_F$ . But since  $f$  divides  $s$ , its degree satisfies

$$\deg(f) \leq \deg(s) = |D| - (\lambda_1 + \ell - 1)$$

and this last quantity is strictly less than both

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(s_E) &= |D| - (\lambda_1 - \mu_1), \text{ and} \\ \deg(s_F) &= |D| - (\lambda_\ell - \mu_\ell) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\ell \geq 2$ . This contradicts having either  $f = s_E$  or  $f = s_F$ , ending the proof.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Marc van Leeuwen for drawing their attention to the hole in the previous proof.

## References

- [1] D. Bressoud, *Proofs and Confirmations: The Story of the Alternating-Sign Matrix Conjecture*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 1999.
- [2] V. Reiner, K. Shaw, and S. van Willigenburg, Coincidences among skew Schur functions, *Adv. Math.* 216 (2007) 118–152.