## MATH 421/510, 2019WT2

## Homework set 7 – Solution

**Problem 1.** (i) That C is open follows from the openness of A, B.  $0 \in C$  since  $a_0 \in A, b_0 \in B$ .  $x_0 \in C$  iff a = b, which is a contradiction with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Finally, let  $x, y \in C$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . There are  $a_x, a_y \in A, b_x, b_y \in B$  such that  $x = a_x - b_x + x_0, y = a_y - b_y + x_0$ . But then

$$\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y = (\lambda a_x + (1-\lambda)a_y) - (\lambda b_x + (1-\lambda)b_y) + x_0 \in A - B + x_0,$$

showing that C is convex whenever A, B are convex.

(ii) Since C is open and  $0 \in C$ , there is r > 0 such that  $B_r(0) \in C$ . Moreover, for any  $x \in V$ ,  $x \in B_{2||x||}(0) = B_{\lambda r}(0) \subset \lambda C$  for  $\lambda = 2r^{-1}||x||$ . Hence  $p(x) \leq 2r^{-1}||x||$ . Moreover,  $x \in C$  implies  $1 \in \{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda C\}$ , namely  $p(x) \leq 1$ . Since C is open,  $C \subset \{x \in V : p(x) < 1\}$ .

(iii) First of all,  $p(\alpha x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \alpha x \in \lambda C\} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda \alpha^{-1}C\} = \inf\{\alpha \mu > 0 : x \in \mu C\} = \alpha p(x)$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $x, y \in V$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Hence there are  $\mu > 0, \nu > 0$  and  $x_0, y_0 \in C$  such that  $x = \mu x_0$  and  $y = \nu y_0$ . Therefore,

$$p(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) = (\lambda \mu + (1-\lambda)\nu) p\left(\frac{\lambda \mu x_0 + (1-\lambda)\nu y_0}{\lambda \mu + (1-\lambda)\nu}\right) \le \lambda \mu + (1-\lambda)\nu$$

because the argument of p belongs to C since C is convex. The claim follows by taking the infimum over  $\mu, \nu$ . (iv) Since  $x_0 \notin C$ , we have that  $p(x_0) \ge 1$ . Let f be as in the hint. Then

$$t \ge 0$$
:  $f(tx_0) = t \le tp(x_0) = p(tx_0)$   $t < 0$ :  $f(tx_0) = t < 0 \le p(tx_0)$ .

By Hahn-Banach, there is a real-linear functional  $\ell$  such that  $\ell(tx_0) = f(tx_0) = t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\ell(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ . Moreover,

$$|\ell(x)| = \max\{\ell(x), \ell(-x)\} = \max\{p(x), p(-x)\} \le 2r^{-1}||x||$$

so that  $\ell \in V^*$ . Finally, for any  $a \in A, b \in B$ ,

$$\ell(a) - \ell(b) = \ell(a - b + x_0) - \ell(x_0) < 0$$

since  $\ell(x_0) = 1$  and  $\ell(a - b + x_0) \le p(a - b + x_0) < 1$  since  $a - b + x_0 \in C$ . (v) By Urysohn's lemma, see HW 3, Problem 2(ii), there is r > 0 such that  $B_r(x) \subset V \setminus B$  for all  $x \in A$ . It remains to apply the result above to the open set  $A^r = \{x \in V : \operatorname{dist}(x, A) < r\}$  and B to obtain

$$\max\{\ell(a) : a \in A\} < \sup\{\ell(x) : x \in A^r\} \le \inf\{\ell(b) : b \in B\},\$$

as we had set to prove.

**Problem 2.** The identity map  $I : (V, \|\cdot\|_2) \to (V, \|\cdot\|_1)$  given by I(x) = x for all  $x \in V$  is bijective and bounded since  $\|I(x)\|_1 = \|x\|_1 \leq c\|x\|_2$ . By the open mapping theorem,  $I^{-1}$  is bounded, namely  $\|x\|_2 = \|I^{-1}(x)\|_2 \leq C\|x\|_1$ .

**Problem 3.** Since  $\operatorname{Ran}(T)$  is closed, it is complete. Assume by contradiction that there is a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in V such that

$$||x_n||_V = 1, \qquad ||Tx_n||_W + ||x_n|| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

We apply the open mapping theorem to the surjective  $T: V \to \operatorname{Ran}(T)$ , where V is equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_V$ . There is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_{\delta}^Y \subset T(B_1^X)$ , and hence  $B_{1/n}^Y \subset T(B_{1/(n\delta)}^X)$  by linearity. Since  $||Tx_n||_W < 1/n$ , it follows that there exists  $\tilde{x}_n \in V$  such that

$$\|\tilde{x}_n\|_V < \frac{1}{n\delta}, \qquad Tx_n = T\tilde{x}_n.$$

Let  $z_n = x_n - \tilde{x}_n \in \text{Ker}(T)$ . On the one hand,  $\|\tilde{x}_n\|_V \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  implies that  $\|z_n\|_V \to 1$ . On the other hand,  $||x_n|| < 1/n$  implies  $||z_n|| < 1/n + ||\tilde{x}_n|| \le 1/n + M ||\tilde{x}_n||_V$  (by the assumption that  $||\cdot||$  is weaker than  $\|\cdot\|_V$  which vanishes as  $n \to \infty$ . Since Ker(T) is a finite dimensional vector space, the two norms  $\|\cdot\|_V$ and  $\|\cdot\|$  are equivalent on Ker(T), and the two claims above are a contradiction.

**Problem 4.** (i) That  $V \neq \ell^1$  follows from the fact that  $(1/n^2)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is in  $\ell^1$  but not in V. Density follows by truncation. Let  $z \in \ell^1$ . We claim that the sequence  $(w^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in V given by

$$(w^n)_j = \begin{cases} z_j & \text{if } j \le n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

converges to z in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$  norm. Indeed, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} |z_j| < \epsilon$ , and hence

 $\begin{aligned} \|w^n - z\|_1 &\leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} |z_j| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > N. \\ \text{(ii) We consider the sequence } (w^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ in } V \text{ given by } (w^n)_j &= \delta_{n,j}. \text{ Then } \|w^n\|_1 = 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ \|w^n\|_1 &= 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ fo all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ fo$  $||Tw^n||_1 = n$ , showing that T is unbounded. It is however closed: Let  $(z^n, Tz^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\Gamma(T)$ that converges in  $V \times \ell^1$  to (z, w).  $z^n \to z$  reads  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |z_j^n - z_j| = 0$ , which implies that  $z_j^n \to z_j$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Similarly,  $Tz^n \to w$  implies that  $jz_j^n \to w_j$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Together, it follows that  $jz_j = w_j$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , namely w = Tz indeed.

(iii) The map  $S: \ell^1 \to V$  given by  $(Sz)_n = z_n/n$  is well-defined and bounded since  $||Sz||_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |z_n|/n < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |z_n| = ||z||_1$ . If  $z \in V$ , then by definition z = STz so that S is surjective. It follows that if S were open, then  $T = S^{-1}$  would be bounded, but we have just proved the contrary. Hence S is not open.

**Problem 5.** Let  $x \in V$ . There is a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in D such that  $x_n \to x$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||x_n - x_m|| < \epsilon/||T||$  for all  $n, m \ge N$  and hence  $||Tx_n - Tx_m|| \le \epsilon$ proving that  $(Tx_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in W. Let y be its limit, and we define  $y = \tilde{T}x$ . Clearly, Tx = Tx for  $x \in D$  by continuity. T is linear by the linearity of the limit. Moreover, the definition is independent of the sequence: if  $\tilde{x}_n \to x$ , the alternating sequence  $(x_1, \tilde{x}_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_2, \ldots)$  also converges to x, and the above argument yields a limiting  $\tilde{y}$ . But then  $y = \tilde{y}$  by uniqueness of the limit since  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\tilde{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are subsequences.  $Tx_n \to \tilde{T}x$  implies that  $||Tx|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||Tx_n|| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} ||T|| ||x_n|| = ||T|| ||x||$ , proving that  $\tilde{T}$  is bounded. Finally, if T' is another continuous extension of T to V, then  $T'x = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n$  for any  $x_n \to x$  by continuity, proving that  $T' = \tilde{T}$ , again by uniqueness of the limit.

**Problem 6.** (i) Assume by contradiction that for any nonzero  $v \in V$ , there is  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $\ell_i(v) \neq 0$ . Then the map  $L: V \to \mathbb{C}^n$  defined by  $L(v)_i = \ell_i(v)$  is injective so that  $\dim(V) = \dim(\operatorname{Ran}(L)) \leq 1$ n, which is a contradition with the assumption that V is infinite dimensional.

(ii) We denote the unit sphere S and the closed unit ball B. Let  $v \in V, ||v|| < 1$  and let  $N = N_v(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_n, \epsilon) = 0$  $\{x \in V : |\ell_j(x) - \ell_j(v)| < \epsilon \ \forall j = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a weakly open neighbourhood of v. Let now  $v_0$  be as in (i). Then for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\ell_j(v+tv_0) = \ell_j(v)$  so that  $v+tv_0 \in N$ . The function  $f(t) = ||v+tv_0||$  is continuous from  $[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  with f(0) = ||v|| < 1 and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = \infty$ . Hence there is  $t_0 \in (0,\infty)$  such that  $f(t_0) = 1$ , namely  $v + t_0 v_0 \in S \cap N$ . In other words,  $N \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , which implies that  $v \in \overline{S}$  and hence  $S \subset B \subset \overline{S}$ . Since  $\overline{S}$  is the smallest closed set containing S, the proof is complete if we show that B is weakly closed. But Hahn-Banach yields that  $v \in B$  if and only if  $\sup\{|\ell(v)| : \ell \in V^*, ||\ell|| \le 1\} \le 1$  so that

$$B = \bigcap_{\ell \in V^* : \|\ell\| \le 1} \{ v \in V : |\ell(v)| \le 1 \} = \left( \bigcup_{\ell \in V^* : \|\ell\| \le 1} \{ v \in V : |\ell(v)| > 1 \} \right)^c.$$

By definition of the weak topology, all sets on the right are weakly open, so that B is weakly closed indeed. (iii) If the open unit ball b is weakly open, then  $b^c$  is weakly closed, and so is  $S = B \cap b^c$ , which contradicts (ii).