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Abstract. This paper establishes certain existence and classification results for solutions to SU(n) Toda systems with three singular sources at 0, 1, and ∞. First, we determine the necessary conditions for such an SU(n) Toda system to be related to an nth order hypergeometric equation. Then, we construct solutions for SU(n) Toda systems that satisfy the necessary conditions and also the interlacing conditions from Beukers and Heckman [BH89]. Finally, for SU(3) Toda systems satisfying the necessary conditions, we classify, under a natural reality assumption, that all the solutions are related to hypergeometric equations. This proof uses the Pohozaev identity.

1. Introduction

To a simple Lie group, there is associated a Toda system on the plane. The SU(2) Toda system is just the classical Liouville equation [Lio53]. The Toda systems and the Liouville equation arise in many physical and geometric problems. For example, in the Chern-Simons theory, the Liouville equation is related to the abelian gauge field theory, while the Toda systems are related to nonabelian gauges (see [Yan01, Tar08]). On the geometric side, the Liouville equation is related to conformal metrics on the Riemann sphere $S^2$ with constant Gaussian curvature. The Toda systems are related to holomorphic curves in projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n$ and the Plücker formulas [GH78], and the periodic Toda systems are related to harmonic maps [Gue97].

Singular sources can be introduced to the Toda systems, which correspond to conical singularities in conformal geometry and to vortices in gauge theory. The question of conformal metrics with conical singularities has been widely studied using various viewpoints. The works [Tro91, LT92, CL95] studied the solutions using the variational method by the Moser-Trudinger inequality. In the case of three singularities on $S^2$, which can always be taken as 0, 1, and ∞ by a Möbius transform, the works [Ere04, FKK+11] studied the existence and uniqueness of the conformal metrics with constant Gaussian curvature by analyzing the monodromy of the corresponding 2nd order hypergeometric equation. In this paper, we aim to generalize such a relationship to certain SU(n) Toda systems with three singularities and nth order hypergeometric equations.

We identify the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ by $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto z = x_1 + ix_2$. Let $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the complex projective line. The SU(n) Toda system with singular sources at $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ is the following system of semilinear elliptic PDEs on
the plane in \((n-1)\) unknowns

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u_i + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} e^{u_j} &= 4\pi (\gamma_{0,i}\delta_0 + \gamma_{1,i}\delta_1), \\
u_i(z) &= -2\gamma_{\infty,i} \log |z| + O(1) \text{ near } \infty,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(\delta_0\) and \(\delta_1\) are the Dirac measure at 0 and 1, and the matrix \(A = (a_{ij})\) is the Cartan matrix of \(SU(n)\) of dimension \((n-1)\):

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & 0 & & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

One of our interests is to determine the range of the \(\gamma_{\infty,i}\) in terms of the \(\gamma_{0,i}\) and \(\gamma_{1,i}\).

When \(n = 2\), system (1.1) becomes

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u + 8e^u &= 4\pi (\gamma_0\delta_0 + \gamma_1\delta_1), \\
u(z) &= -2\gamma_{\infty} \log |z| + O(1) \text{ near } \infty,
\end{aligned}
\]

Geometrically the metric \(4e^u|dz|^2\) has constant Gaussian curvature 1 and conical singularities at 0, 1, and \(\infty\) with positive angles \(2\pi(\gamma_0 + 1)\), \(2\pi(\gamma_1 + 1)\), and \(2\pi(\gamma_{\infty} - 1)\). The basic idea is that (1.3) is related to a second order Fuchsian ODE with regular singularities only at 0, 1 and \(\infty\). It is known that such ODEs can be completely classified by the Gauss hypergeometric equations. In \cite{Ere04} and \cite{FKK+11}, they apply the monodromy theory of Gauss hypergeometric equations to completely solve the problem of the range of \(\gamma_{\infty}\).

System (1.1) has the following version which is easier to study for many purposes. Set

\[
U_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a^{ij} u_j, \quad (a^{ij}) = A^{-1}.
\]

Then system (1.1) is equivalent to

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta U_i + 4e^{u_i} &= 4\pi (\gamma_0^i\delta_0 + \gamma_1^i\delta_1), \\
U_i(z) &= -2\gamma_{\infty}^i \log |z| + O(1) \text{ near } \infty,
\end{aligned}
\]

where

\[
\gamma_{P}^i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a^{ij} \gamma_{P,j}, \quad P \in V = \{0, 1, \infty\}.
\]

For later use, we also define

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{P,i} &= \gamma_{P,i} + 1 > 0, \quad P = 0 \text{ or } 1, \\
\mu_{\infty,i} &= \gamma_{\infty,i} - 1 > 0.
\end{aligned}
\]
To any solution $U_i$ of (1.5), there are associated the so-called $W$-invariants $W_j$, $2 \leq j \leq n$, such that $f = e^{-U_1}$ satisfies an $n$th order complex ODE

$$y^{(n)} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} W_j y^{(n-j)} = 0.$$

This fact was proved in [LWY12] and [Nie16], and we will recall it in (2.3). The $W$-invariants $W_j$ are polynomials in the $\frac{\partial^k U_i}{\partial z^k}$ for $k \geq 1$ and are meromorphic functions in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ with poles only at $0, 1$ and $\infty$ (see Proposition 2.5).

When $n \geq 3$, it is known that Fuchsian ODEs with three singular points can not be classified by just the local exponents at the singular points. But there is a class of the so-called hypergeometric equations which can be uniquely determined by its local exponents, that is, the monodromy transformations at $0, 1,$ and $\infty$ satisfy the local rigidity property.

With $\theta = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, the $n$th order hypergeometric equation associated to parameters $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ is

$$D(\alpha; \beta)y = ((\theta + \beta_1 - 1) \cdots (\theta + \beta_n - 1) - z(\theta + \alpha_1) \cdots (\theta + \alpha_n))y = 0.$$

The local exponents of the hypergeometric equation are easily computed as

$$1 - \beta_1, \ldots, 1 - \beta_n \quad \text{at } z = 0,$n

$$\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \quad \text{at } z = \infty,$$

$$0, 1, \ldots, n - 2, \gamma = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j - n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - 1. \quad \text{at } z = 1,$$

We refer to [BHS89] for the basic theory of hypergeometric equations.

We remark that the ODE (1.9) associated to the Toda system needs a shift to become hypergeometric, because we at least need to ensure the appearance of $0$ as a local exponent at $z = 1$ in view of (1.13). Let $y(z)$ be a solution of (1.9), and with a constant $\tau$, let

$$\tilde{y}(z) = (z - 1)^\tau y(z).$$

Then $\tilde{y}(z)$ also satisfies an $n$th order ODE.

Our first result gives the necessary conditions for the solutions of the $SU(n)$ Toda system (1.5) to be related to an $n$th order hypergeometric equation.

**Theorem 1.14.** Assume that the $U_i$ are a set of solutions of (1.5). If for some constant $\tau$,

$$g(z) = (z - 1)^\tau e^{-U_1}$$

satisfies a hypergeometric equation, then the $\gamma_{1,i}$ and the corresponding $\tau$ belong to one of the following $n$ cases

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma_{1,1} &\neq 0, \quad \text{other } \gamma_{1,j} = 0, \quad \tau = \gamma_1^1 - \mu_{1,1}; \\
\gamma_{1,i} + \gamma_{1,i+1} &= -1, \ 1 \leq i \leq n - 2, \quad \text{other } \gamma_{1,j} = 0, \quad \tau = \gamma_1^i; \\
\gamma_{1,n-1} &\neq 0, \quad \text{other } \gamma_{1,j} = 0. \quad \tau = \gamma_1^{n-1}.
\end{align*}$$

Let us now recall the concept of monodromy for a complex ODE in the unknown $y = y(z)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$

$$y^{(n)} + a_1(z)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_n(z)y = 0,$$
whose coefficients $a_i(z)$ are meromorphic functions with poles at 0 and 1. Fix a base point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}$, e.g. $z_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, and let $V$ be the $n$-dimensional vector space of local solutions of equation (1.19) around $z_0$. Let $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V, z_0) = \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}, z_0)$ be the fundamental group, and denote by

$$\phi : G \to GL(V)$$

the monodromy representation which is defined through analytic continuation of solutions. The most useful result in [BH89] to us is the following.

**Definition 1.21.** [Beu14] We say that two sets of $n$ real numbers $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n\}$ interlace modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ if the set $\{\alpha_1 - [\alpha_1], \ldots, \alpha_n - [\alpha_n]\}$ and $\{\beta_1 - [\beta_1], \ldots, \beta_n - [\beta_n]\}$ interlace on $[0,1)$, that is, the two sets are disjoint and their elements occur alternately in increasing order.

**Theorem 1.22.** [BH89] If the $\alpha_j$ and the $\beta_k$ are real and $\alpha_j - \beta_k \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$, then there is a positive-definite Hermitian form on $V$ invariant under the monodromy representation (1.20) of the hypergeometric equation (1.10) if and only if the $\alpha_j$ and the $\beta_k$ interlace mod $\mathbb{Z}$.

Using this theorem, we have the following existence result.

**Theorem 1.23.** Assume that the Toda system (1.1) satisfies one of the necessary conditions in Theorem 1.14 with $\tau$ determined correspondingly. Define

$$\gamma_{\infty,i} = -\tau - \gamma_{0,i} \pm \gamma_1 \pm \gamma_2 \pm \cdots \pm \gamma_{n-1},$$

$$\gamma_{0,i} = 1 + \gamma_0 \pm \gamma_1 \pm \gamma_2 \pm \cdots \pm \gamma_{n-1},$$

where $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_k$ interlace mod $\mathbb{Z}$.

If the $\alpha_j$ and the $\beta_k$ interlace mod $\mathbb{Z}$, then the Toda system (1.1) has a solution.

We note that the interlacing conditions for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ actually give a set of inequalities on the $\gamma_{\infty,i}$ in terms of the $\gamma_{0,i}$ and $\gamma_{1,1}$.

Next we study under the necessary conditions in Theorem 1.14 whether all solutions of the Toda system are related to a hypergeometric equation. We are able to prove that this is the case for $SU(3)$ Toda systems under a natural reality assumption.

**Theorem 1.25.** For $SU(3)$ Toda systems and under the assumption that the coefficient $F$ in the Fuchsian ODE (4.4) is real, the necessary conditions in Theorem 1.14 are also sufficient, that is, the corresponding $g(z)$ in (1.15) satisfies a hypergeometric equation.
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2. **Fuchsian equations and hypergeometric equations**

Our method of studying the solutions to the Toda system (1.5) is through a related complex ODE whose coefficients are the so-called $W$-invariants. This method was initiated in [LWY12] with some simplification from [Nie16]. In Proposition 2.5...
we show that the $W$-invariants take simple forms by our assumptions on the singular sources. As a simple consequence, the complex ODE is a Fuchsian equation with regular singularities at 0, 1 and $\infty$. In Theorem 2.13, we compute the exponents of the equation at the singular points in terms of the strength of the singularities. At the end of this section, we prove the necessary conditions in Theorem 1.14 for the Fuchsian ODE of our Toda system to become a hypergeometric equation after a shift.

By $\Delta = 4\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}} = 4\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ (this 4 is the origin of the slightly unconventional 4 at the left hand side of (1.1)), the first equation in (1.5) is the same as

$$U_{i,\bar{z}z} + \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}U_j\right) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}.$$  

It is this form that is usually called the Toda field theory [LS92].

For a set $(U_1, \cdots, U_{n-1})$ of solutions to the Toda system (1.5), consider the following linear ordinary differential operator

$$L = (\partial_z - U_{n-1,z})(\partial_z + U_{n-1,z} - U_{n-2,z})\cdots(\partial_z + U_{2,z} - U_{1,z})(\partial_z + U_{1,z})$$

$$= \partial_z^n + \sum_{j=2}^{n} W_j \partial_z^{n-j}.$$

Let $f = e^{-U_1}$. Clearly by the last factor $(\partial_z + U_{1,z})$, we have

$$Lf = \left(\partial_z^n + \sum_{j=2}^{n} W_j \partial_z^{n-j}\right)f = 0.$$

The $W_j$ are polynomials of the derivatives of the $U_i$ with respect to $z$. By [Nie14], they have the crucial property that

$$W_j = 0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n,$$

if the $U_i$ are solutions of the Toda system (1.5). The $W_j$ are called characteristic integrals of the Toda system in [Nie14], and they are also called $W$-symmetries or $W$-invariants in [LWY12] in view of their relationship to the $W$-algebras [BFO90].

For a differential monomial in the $U_i$, we call by its degree the sum of the orders of differentiation multiplied by the algebraic degrees of the corresponding factors. For example, the $W$-invariant for the Liouville equation $U_{z\bar{z}} + e^{2U} = 0$ is $U_{zz} - U_z^2$, and it has a homogeneous degree 2. From Eq. (2.2), we see that $W_j$ has a homogeneous degree $j$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$.

**Proposition 2.5.** The $W$-invariants $W_j$ of the Toda system (1.5) have the following form

$$W_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j} A_{j,k} \frac{1}{(z-1)^k}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $A_{j,k}$ are constants.

**Proof.** This proof is an adaption of the proof in [LWY12] of the corresponding assertion in their Eq. (5.9). First, by a standard integration argument applied to (1.5) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{nu} \, dx = \pi(\gamma_0^i + \gamma_1^i + \gamma_{\infty}^i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$
Following [LWy12, Eq. (5.10)], introduce
\[(2.8) \quad V_i = U_i - 2\gamma_0^i \log |z| - 2\gamma_1^i \log |z - 1|, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.\]
Then system (2.5) becomes
\[
\Delta V_i = -4|z|^{2\gamma_0,i} |z - 1|^{2\gamma_1,i} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} V_j \right),
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |z|^{2\gamma_0,i} |z - 1|^{2\gamma_1,i} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} V_j \right) dx < \infty,
\]
where the integrals are finite in view of (2.7). As \(\gamma_{P,i} > -1\) for \(P = 0, 1\), applying Brezis-Merle’s argument in [BM91], we have that
\[(2.9) \quad V_i \in C^{0,\alpha} \text{ on } \mathbb{C} \text{ for some } \alpha \in (0,1)\]
and that they are upper bounded over \(\mathbb{C}\). Therefore we can express \(V_i\) by the integral representation formula, and we have from [LWy12, Eq. (5.11)]
\[
\partial_z^k V_i(z) = O(1 + |z|^{2(\gamma_{0,i}+1)-k}) \text{ near } 0,
\]
\[
\partial_z^k V_i(z) = O(1 + |z - 1|^{2(\gamma_{1,i}+1)-k}) \text{ near } 1,
\]
\[
\partial_z^k V_i(z) = O(|z|^{-k}) \text{ near } \infty, \quad \forall k \geq 1.
\]
Therefore from (2.8), we have
\[
\partial_z^k U_i(z) = O(|z|^{-k}) \text{ near } 0,
\]
\[
\partial_z^k U_i(z) = O(|z - 1|^{-k}) \text{ near } 1,
\]
\[
\partial_z^k U_i(z) = O(|z|^{-k}) \text{ near } \infty, \quad \forall k \geq 1.
\]
By \(W_{i,z} = 0\) from (2.4) and that \(W_j\) has degree \(j\) for \(j \geq 2\), we see from the above estimates that \(z^j(z - 1)^j W_j\) has removable singularities at 0 and 1 and hence is an entire function. The order of \(z^j(z - 1)^j W_j\) at \(\infty\) is \(O(|z|^j)\), and so it is a polynomial of degree \(j\). As such, we have
\[
z^j(z - 1)^j W_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j} A_{j,k} z^k (z - 1)^{j-k},
\]
where the coefficients \(A_{j,k}\) can be successively computed by expanding \((z - 1)^{-k}\). This proves (2.6).

Theorem 2.13. The ODE (2.3) is Fuchsian with regular singularities at 0, 1, and \(\infty\). Its exponents are expressed in terms of the \(\gamma_{P,i}\) as in (2.17), (2.18) and (2.20).

Proof. By the forms of the \(W_j\) in (2.6), the ODE (2.3) has three regular singularities at 0, 1 and \(\infty\) and is thus Fuchsian. Now we compute its exponents.

By (2.8), we have
\[(2.14) \quad U_{i,z} = V_{i,z} + \frac{\gamma_0^i}{z} + \frac{\gamma_1^i}{z - 1}.
\]
In the expansion (2.2) to compute the ODE, the \(W_j\) are polynomials of the \(\partial_z^k U_i\) for \(k \geq 1\), and therefore they are polynomials involving \(V_{i,z}, \frac{\gamma_0^i}{z}, \frac{\gamma_1^i}{z - 1}\) and their derivatives.
At point \( z = 0 \), we claim that \( \lim_{z \to 0} z^j W_j \) only depend on the terms of \( W_j \) containing the \( \frac{2i}{z} \) and their derivatives. Indeed, if any of the \( V_{i,z} \) or \( \frac{2i}{z} \) or their derivatives is involved, the pole order of the corresponding term is strictly less than \( j \) in view of the estimate (2.11) where \( \gamma_{0,i} > -1 \) and the obvious reason. (Such an argument was also used in [Nle16] for computing the exponents of the Cauchy-Euler equation with singularities only at 0 and \( \infty \).)

Therefore when computing the exponents at 0, we can replace the \( U_{i,z} \) in (2.2) by \( \frac{2i}{z} \), and the exponents of (2.3) are the exponents of the following ODE

\[
(\partial_z - \gamma_0^{n-1} - \frac{2i}{z}) \cdots (\partial_z - \gamma_0^1 - \frac{2i}{z}) (\partial_z + \frac{\gamma_0^1}{z}) h = 0
\]

for an unknown function \( h(z) \). This is then

\[
\frac{1}{z} \left( \theta - \gamma_0^{n-1} - \frac{2i}{z} \right) \cdots \frac{1}{z} \left( \theta + \gamma_0^1 - \frac{2i}{z} \right) h = 0.
\]

Using \( (\theta + a) \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{\theta + (a - 1)} \), we see that this is

\[
\frac{1}{z} \left( \theta - \gamma_0^{n-1} - (n-1) \right) \cdots \left( \theta + \gamma_0^1 - (n-1) \right) h = 0.
\]

Then the exponents are

\[
-\gamma_0^1, -\gamma_0^2 + 1, \cdots, -\gamma_0^{n-1} + \gamma_0^1 - (n-2), \gamma_0^{n-1} + (n-1).
\]

The successive differences are seen to be \( \mu_{0,i} \) from (1.7). Therefore the exponents of (2.3) at 0 are, in an increasing order,

\[
-\gamma_0^1, -\gamma_0^1 + \mu_{0,1}, \cdots, -\gamma_0^1 + \mu_{0,1} + \cdots + \mu_{0,n-1}.
\]

Similarly, the exponents at \( z = 1 \) are, in an increasing order,

\[
-\gamma_1^1, -\gamma_1^1 + \mu_{1,1}, \cdots, -\gamma_1^1 + \mu_{1,1} + \cdots + \mu_{1,n-1}.
\]

The exponents at \( z = \infty \) are computed by applying the transformation \( w = \frac{1}{z} \) and the relation \( \partial_z = -w^2 \partial_w \). Let

\[
\check{U}_i(w) = U_i(\frac{1}{w}) = U_i(z), \quad \text{and} \quad \check{V}_i(w) = \check{U}_i(w) - 2\gamma^1_1 \log |w|.
\]

Then

\[
U_{i,z} = -w^2 \check{U}_{i,w} = -w^2 \left( \check{V}_{i,w} + \frac{\gamma_1^1}{w} \right).
\]

When computing the exponents, by similar reason as above, we can disregard the terms \( \check{V}_{i,w} \). Therefore the exponents of equation (2.2) at \( z = \infty \) are the exponents at \( w = 0 \) of the equation

\[
(-1)^n w^2 \left( \partial_w - \gamma_\infty^2 \right) \cdots w^2 \left( \partial_w + \gamma_\infty^1 - \gamma_\infty^1 \right) w^2 \left( \partial_w + \gamma_\infty^1 \right) h = 0.
\]

This is the same as

\[
(-1)^n w^n \left( w \partial_w - \gamma_\infty^2 + (n-1) \right) \cdots \left( w \partial_w + \gamma_\infty^1 - \gamma_\infty^1 + 1 \right) \left( w \partial_w + \gamma_\infty^1 \right) h = 0.
\]

Therefore the exponents are

\[
-\gamma_\infty^1, -\gamma_\infty^2 + 1, \cdots, -\gamma_\infty^{n-1} + \gamma_\infty^{n-1} - (n-2), \gamma_\infty^{n-1} - (n-1),
\]

which are again seen to be, in an increasing order,

\[
-\gamma_\infty^1, -\gamma_\infty^1 + \mu_{\infty,1}, \cdots, -\gamma_\infty^1 + \mu_{\infty,1} + \cdots + \mu_{\infty,n-1}.
\]
using the notation from (1.8).

**Remark 2.21.** Clearly the Fuchsian property and the local exponents in Theorem 2.13 continue to hold for SU(n) Toda systems with more singular points.

**Remark 2.22.** The exponents of a Fuchsian equation satisfy the following Fuchs relation. Let \( \rho_1(P), \ldots, \rho_n(P) \) be the exponents at a singular point \( P \), then
\[
(2.23) \quad \sum_{P_{\text{singular}}} \left( \rho_1(P) + \cdots + \rho_n(P) - \frac{n}{2} \right) = - \frac{n}{2}.
\]

It is interesting to check this in our case. Indeed, by (2.16) and (2.19), we see that
\[
\rho_1(P) + \cdots + \rho_n(P) = \frac{n}{2}, \quad P = 0 \text{ or } 1,
\]
\[
\rho_1(\infty) + \cdots + \rho_n(\infty) = - \frac{n}{2},
\]

To be able to relate ODE (2.3) with hypergeometric equations, we would like to shift the local exponents at 1 and thus we consider \( g(z) \) from (1.15) with some constant \( \tau \).

**Proposition 2.24.**

1. The \( g(z) \) in (1.15) satisfies the following ODE
\[
(2.25) \quad \left( \partial_z - U_{n-1,z} - \frac{\tau}{z-1} \right) \cdots \left( \partial_z + U_{2,z} - U_{1,z} - \frac{\tau}{z-1} \right) \left( \partial_z + U_{1,z} - \frac{\tau}{z-1} \right) g = 0.
\]

2. The above ODE after expansion is the Fuchsian equation
\[
(2.26) \quad (\partial_z^n + R_1(z)\partial_z^{n-1} + \cdots + R_n(z))g = 0,
\]
where
\[
(2.27) \quad R_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{B_{j,k}}{z^j - k(z-1)^k},
\]
with the \( B_{j,k} \) constants.

3. The local exponents of this ODE at 1 and \( \infty \) are the shifts by \( \tau \) and \( -\tau \) of the exponents of (2.2) as in (2.30) and (2.31).

**Proof.** From the Leibniz rule, we know that as composition of operators,
\[
(2.28) \quad \partial_z \circ (z-1)^{-\tau} = (z-1)^{-\tau} \left( \partial_z - \frac{\tau}{z-1} \right).
\]
Therefore by (1.15) and the ODE (2.2) satisfied by \( f \), we have
\[
0 = (\partial_z - U_{n-1,z}) \cdots (\partial_z + U_{2,z} - U_{1,z})(\partial_z + U_{1,z})((z-1)^{-\tau}g)
\]
\[
= (z-1)^{-\tau} \left( \partial_z - U_{n-1,z} - \frac{\tau}{z-1} \right) \cdots \left( \partial_z + U_{2,z} - U_{1,z} - \frac{\tau}{z-1} \right) \left( \partial_z + U_{1,z} - \frac{\tau}{z-1} \right) g,
\]
which implies Eq. (2.25).

Equation (2.26) is similarly obtained from (2.3) and (2.6) using the iteration of (2.28) as
\[
(2.29) \quad \partial_z^k \circ (z-1)^{-\tau} = (z-1)^{-\tau} \left( \partial_z - \frac{\tau}{z-1} \right)^k,
\]
which implies (2.27) (see (4.28) for an example).

Clearly, the local exponents of (2.25) at \( z = 1 \) are added by \( \tau \), while those at \( z = \infty \) by \(-\tau\). More specifically, its local exponents at 1 and \( \infty \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau - \gamma_1^1, \, & \tau - \gamma_1^1 + \mu_{1,1}, \cdots , \tau - \gamma_1^1 + \mu_{1,1} + \cdots + \mu_{1,n-1}, \\
\tau - \gamma_1^\infty, \, & -\tau - \gamma_1^1 + \mu_{\infty,1}, \cdots , -\tau - \gamma_1^1 + \mu_{\infty,1} + \cdots + \mu_{\infty,n-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

\( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.14.** We call the exponents in (2.30) by

\[
\rho_i = \tau - \gamma_1^1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \mu_{1,j}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n - 1.
\]

Since 0 is an exponent of a hypergeometric equation at \( z = 1 \), we see that \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \). Then the \( \rho_i \) are in an strictly increasing order. Comparing these with the exponents in (1.13), we see that the \( \gamma \) other than the integers 0, 1, \ldots, \( n - 2 \) can be one of \( \rho_i \).

If \( \gamma = \rho_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \), then we need to take \( \tau = \gamma_1^1 \) so that \( \rho_0 = 0 \). Moreover, we have \( \mu_{1,1} + \cdots + \mu_{1,j} = j \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq i - 1 \). This implies that \( \gamma_1^1 = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq i - 1 \). If \( i < n - 1 \), we furthermore have that \( \mu_{1} + \cdots + \mu_{j+1} = j \) for \( j \geq i \). This implies that \( \gamma_1^1 + \gamma_1^{i+1} = -1 \) and \( \gamma_{1,j} = 0 \) for \( j > i + 1 \). Therefore we have shown cases in (1.17) and (1.18).

If \( \gamma = \rho_0 \), then we have \( \rho_j = j - 1 \) for \( j \geq 1 \). Therefore we need to take \( \tau = \gamma_1^1 - \mu_{1,1} \), and all the \( \gamma_j = 0 \) for \( j \geq 2 \). This is case (1.16).

\( \square \)

3. Existence of solutions

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.23 which asserts the existence of solutions for certain Toda systems (1.1) with three singularities at 0, 1 and \( \infty \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.23.** We will construct the \( U_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \) as real-valued and single-valued functions on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \), prove that they satisfy the equation (2.1), and show that they have the right strength of singularities at 0, 1 and \( \infty \) as in (1.5).

With the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) defined as in (1.24), we consider the hypergeometric equation (1.10).

By a result from [BH89] stated here as Theorem 1.22 and our interlacing assumption, there exists a positive-definite Hermitian form \( \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot) \) on the vector space \( V \) of local solutions around \( z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \) that is invariant under the monodromy representation (1.20). Note that \( \mathcal{H} \) can be replaced by \( \lambda \mathcal{H} \) for \( \lambda > 0 \).

We choose a basis \((\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n)\) of such local solutions. For \( \eta \in \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V, z_0) \), define its associated matrix \( M(\eta) \) under (1.20) with respect to the above basis by

\[
\phi(\eta)(\sigma_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(\eta)_{ij} \sigma_i, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n.
\]

Let \( H \) be the Hermitian matrix representing \( \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot) \) with \( H_{ij} = \mathcal{H}(\sigma_i, \sigma_j) \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \). By the invariance of \( \mathcal{H} \),

\[
H_{ij} = \mathcal{H}(\sigma_i, \sigma_j) = \mathcal{H}(\phi(\eta)(\sigma_i), \phi(\eta)(\sigma_j)) = \mathcal{H}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} M(\eta)_{ki} \sigma_k, \sum_{l=1}^{n} M(\eta)_{lj} \sigma_l\right) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} M(\eta)_{kl} H_{kl} M(\eta)_{lj},
\]
\[ M(\eta)^t \overline{M(\eta)} = H, \quad \forall \eta \in \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V, z_0). \]

Therefore the positive-definite Hermitian matrix \( H^{-1} \) satisfies
\[
(3.2) \quad \overline{M(\eta)} H^{-1} M(\eta)^t = H^{-1}, \quad \forall \eta \in \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V, z_0).
\]

We write \( H^{-1} = (H^{ij}) \).

The local solutions \( \sigma_i \) can be extended to be functions on the universal covering of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V \). With \( \sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)^t \), we consider the function
\[
\sigma^* H^{-1} \sigma = \sum_{i,j=1}^n H^{ij} \overline{\sigma_i} \sigma_j,
\]
where \( \sigma^* = \overline{\sigma} \) is the conjugate transpose. Then this function is invariant under the deck transformation of \( \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V, z_0) \) on the universal cover of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V \), since by (3.1) and (3.2)
\[
\phi(\eta) \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n H^{ij} \overline{\sigma_i} \sigma_j \right) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n H^{ij} \overline{M(\eta)}_{ki} M(\eta)_{lj} \overline{\sigma_k} \sigma_l = \sum_{k,l=1}^n H^{kl} \overline{\sigma_k} \sigma_l.
\]

Therefore it descends to a function on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \).

Now define
\[
U_1(z) = -\log(|z - 1|^{-2\tau(\sigma^* H^{-1} \sigma)}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}.
\]

We note that the logarithm is taken for positive numbers and we take the real-valued branch.

Define the vector of functions on the universal covering of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus V \)
\[
(3.3) \quad \nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) := (z - 1)^{-\tau} \sigma.
\]

It is clear from the above that \( \nu^* H^{-1} \nu \) is single-valued on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \), and
\[
(3.4) \quad U_1 = -\log(\nu^* H^{-1} \nu).
\]

To be able to write out the other \( U_i \) in terms of \( U_1 \), we denote by \( W = W(\nu) \) the Wronskian matrix of \( \nu \) with respect to \( z \), that is,
\[
(3.5) \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & \nu' & \cdots & \nu^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now consider the Hermitian matrix
\[
(3.6) \quad R := W^* H^{-1} W,
\]
where \( W^* = \overline{W}^t \). Then (3.4) says that \( e^{-U_1} = R_{1,1} \).

In general, for a matrix \( \tilde{M} \) of dimension \( n \) and for \( 1 \leq m \leq n \), let \( M_m = M_{m,m} \) denote the leading principal minor of \( \tilde{M} \) of dimension \( m \), where \( \{1, \ldots, m\} \)

Because \( H^{-1} \) is positive-definite, so is \( R \). Therefore, \( R_m > 0 \). It is standard (see, e.g., [LS92], [Nie12], [LWY12]) that
\[
(3.7) \quad R_m (\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} R_m) - (\partial_{\bar{z}} R_m) (\partial_z R_m) = R_{m-1} R_{m+1}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n - 1,
\]
where we define \( R_0 = 1 \). By induction, we see that the \( R_m \) are single-valued on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \).

Therefore defining
\[
(3.8) \quad U_m = -\log R_m, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n - 1,
\]
we see that the $U_m$ satisfy (2.1) for $1 \leq m \leq n - 2$ with the Cartan matrix from (1.2). It is also clear that all solutions to (2.1) with the given $U_1$ in (3.4) are determined in this way.

Now we show that (2.1) for $m = n - 1$ is satisfied if we replace $H$ by $\lambda H$ with a suitable scalar $\lambda > 0$. For this, we will show that $R_n = 1$ for a suitable $\lambda$.

First, we show that det $W(\sigma) = c(z - 1)^{n\tau}$, where $c \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. The hypergeometric equation (1.10) has the following expansion

$$
(\theta + \beta_1 - 1) \cdots (\theta + \beta_n - 1) - z(\theta + \alpha_1) \cdots (\theta + \alpha_n)
$$

$$
= (\theta^n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i - n\right)\theta^{n-1} + \cdots) - z\left(\theta^n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i\right)\theta^{n-1} + \cdots\right)
$$

$$
= (1-z)\left(\theta^n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i + n\right)\theta^{n-1} + \cdots\right)
$$

$$
\equiv (1-z)\left(\theta^n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i + n\right)\theta^{n-1} + \cdots\right)
$$

$$
\equiv (1-z)\left(\theta^n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i + n\right)\theta^{n-1} + \cdots\right)
$$

where dots stand for linear differential operators of order $\leq n - 2$. Here equality $(\ast)$ uses the definition (1.24) of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and the fact that

$$
n\gamma_P^1 = (n-1)\gamma_{P,1} + \cdots + \gamma_{P,n-1}, \quad P \in V
$$

from (1.6) and the inverse of (1.2). Equality $(\ast\ast)$ uses the identity

$$
\theta^n = z^n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} z^{n-1} \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial z^{n-1}} + \cdots,
$$

which can be proved easily by induction. Therefore $\text{det } W(\sigma) = c(z - 1)^{n\tau}$ by Abel’s theorem.

By (3.3), $\text{det } W(\nu) = (z - 1)^{-n\tau} \text{det } W(\sigma) = c$ is a nonzero constant. We can chose the multiple of $H$ so that

$$
R_n = \text{det } R = (\text{det } \lambda H)^{-1})|\text{det } W(\nu)|^2 = 1.
$$

Now we show that the solutions $U_m$ in (3.8) have the right strength of singularities at the three singular points. By (1.11) and (1.24), the exponents of the hypergeometric equation for $\sigma$ at $z = 0$ are, in a strictly increasing order, given in (2.17) or equivalently (2.16). Under the interlacing condition, they are distinct mod $\mathbb{Z}$. By the standard theory of ODEs (see [Inc44]) and (3.3), there exists a basis of formal functions near 0

$$
\zeta^0_i = (z^{-\gamma_0^0} s_1^0, z^{-\gamma_0^0 + 1} s_2^0, \ldots, z^{-\gamma_0^0 + n - 1} s_n^0, \zeta_0^{n-1} + n-2 s_n^{n-2}, \ldots, \zeta_0^{n-1} + n+1 c_0^0),
$$

where the $\zeta_i^0$ are analytic functions at 0 and $\zeta_i^0(0) \neq 0$, such that

$$
\nu = A^0 \zeta^0_i,
$$

for some invertible constant matrix $A^0$. 

A standard calculation shows that the Wronskian of a sequence of power functions is
\[
\det W(z^{\kappa_1}, \ldots, z^{\kappa_m}) = z^{\sum_{i=1}^m \kappa_i - \frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \prod_{i<j} (\kappa_j - \kappa_i),
\]
where the \(\kappa_i\) are the exponents. Therefore for \(1 \leq m \leq n - 1\) and from (3.6),
\[
R_m = (W(z^0)^* (A^0)^* H^{-1} A^0 W(z^0))_m = ((A^0)^* H^{-1} A^0)_m |W(z^0)_m|^2 (1 + o(1))
\]
\[
= |z|^{-2\gamma_0^m} (c_m + o(1)), \quad \text{as } z \to 0, \quad \text{where } c_m > 0.
\]
In view of (3.8), \(U_m\) has the required strength of singularity at \(z = 0\) in (1.5).

Corresponding to \(z = \infty\), we use \(w = \frac{1}{z}\) as the local coordinate and consider \(w = 0\). Then as above, (1.12), (1.24), (2.19) and (3.3) give \(\nu = A^\infty \zeta^\infty\) for some invertible matrix \(A^\infty\) and
\[
\zeta^\infty = (w^{-\gamma_1^\infty} \zeta_1^\infty, w^{-\gamma_2^\infty} \zeta_2^\infty, \ldots, w^{-\gamma_n^\infty} \zeta_n^\infty),
\]
where the \(\zeta_i^\infty\) are analytic functions in \(w\) at \(w = 0\) with nonzero constant terms. The same arguments as above apply and give
\[
e^{-U_m} = |w|^{-2\gamma_0^\infty} (c_m^\infty + o(1)) = |z|^{2\gamma_0^m} (c_m + o(1)), \quad \text{as } w \to 0 \quad \text{or } z \to \infty,
\]
which shows the required singularity at \(\infty\) in (1.5).

At last, we consider the point \(z = 1\). First we show that under the interlacing condition in Theorem 1.23 the \(\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - 1\) in (1.13) is not an integer. Indeed, mod \(\mathbb{Z}\), we see \(0 < \gamma - |\gamma| < 1\) by the interlacing condition. Therefore by the standard ODE theory, there exists a local formal solution \((z - 1)^\gamma \zeta_h^1\) around \(z = 1\) with \(\zeta_h^1\) analytic with nonzero constant term.

By [BH89] Proposition 2.8, we see that the hypergeometric equation (1.10) has \(n - 1\) analytic solutions near \(z = 1\) of the form
\[
(z - 1)^{j - 1} \zeta_h^1(z) = (z - 1)^{j - 1} + O((z - 1)^{n-1}), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n - 1,
\]
corresponding to the exponents \(0, 1, \ldots, n - 2\) in (1.13). Therefore despite that the exponents of (1.10) at 1 are not distinct mod \(\mathbb{Z}\), we still have a basis of formal solutions with the corresponding exponents.

By (3.3) and the proof of the necessary conditions in Theorem 1.14 we see that around \(z = 1\), \(\nu = A^1 \zeta^1\) with \(A^1\) invertible and
\[
\zeta^1 = ((z - 1)^{-\gamma_1^1} \zeta_1^1, (z - 1)^{-\gamma_2^1+1} \zeta_2^1, \ldots, (z - 1)^{-\gamma_1^1+\gamma_2^1+1} \zeta_2^1, \ldots, (z - 1)^{-\gamma_1^1+\gamma_2^1+\gamma_3^1+n-2} \zeta_3^1, \ldots, (z - 1)^{-\gamma_1^1+\gamma_2^1+\gamma_3^1+n-2} \zeta_3^1)\)
\]
where \(\zeta_i^1\) are analytic and \(\zeta_i^1(1) \neq 0\). Then a similar analysis as above applies. □

4. Sufficiency for SU(3) Toda systems by Pohozaev identity

We note again that for a Fuchsian equation of order \(n \geq 3\) with singularities at 0, 1 and \(\infty\), having just the right exponents at \(z = 1\) as in (1.13) doesn’t guarantee that it is actually a hypergeometric equation. Indeed, a general equation as (1.19) is hypergeometric if it is Fuchsian with regular singularities at 0, 1, and \(\infty\), and furthermore all the coefficients \(a_j(z)\) have simple poles at \(z = 1\) [BH89]. It would be ideal if the necessary conditions in Theorem 1.14 are also sufficient for equation (2.25) to be hypergeometric if the \(U_j\) satisfy a Toda system. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.25 for the sufficiency for SU(3) Toda system by a Pohozaev identity under some mild reality assumption. We would also like to call attention to the
relationship of our current results, in terms of estimates and integrals, with those of [KMPS99] where higher dimensional equations related to the scalar curvature is studied.

For simplicity and concreteness, we write the Toda system (1.5) for SU(3) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta u + 4e^{2u-v} &= 4\pi(\gamma_0^u\delta_0 + \gamma_1^v\delta_1), \\
\Delta v + 4e^{2u-v} &= 4\pi(\gamma_0^u\delta_0 + \gamma_1^v\delta_1), \\
u(z) &= -2\gamma_\infty^u \log |z| + O(1) \text{ near } \infty, \\
v(z) &= -2\gamma_\infty^v \log |z| + O(1) \text{ near } \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

(4.1)

where \(u = U_1, v = U_2, \gamma_\infty^u = \gamma_1^u,\) and \(\gamma_\infty^v = \gamma_1^v.\) We recall that

\[
\gamma_{1,1} = 2\gamma_1^u - \gamma_1^v > -1, \quad \gamma_{1,2} = 2\gamma_1^v - \gamma_1^u > -1.
\]

We have from (2.7) that

\[
4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2u-v} = 4\pi(\gamma_0^u + \gamma_1^u + \gamma_\infty^u),
\]

(4.2)

\[
4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2v-u} = 4\pi(\gamma_0^v + \gamma_1^v + \gamma_\infty^v).
\]

Our goal is to use the Pohozaev identity to study the ODE operator (2.2) for \(f = e^{-u};\)

\[
(\partial_z - v_z)(\partial_z + v_z - u_z)(\partial_z + u_z) = \partial_z^3 + W_2\partial_z + W_3
\]

(4.3)

\[
= \partial_z^3 + \left( \frac{A}{z^2} + \frac{B}{z(z-1)} + \frac{C}{(z-1)^2} \right) \partial_z + \left( \frac{D}{z^3} + \frac{E}{z^2(z-1)} + \frac{F}{z(z-1)^2} + \frac{G}{(z-1)^3} \right),
\]

where we have used Proposition 2.5 and we have used shorthands for the coefficients \(A_{j,k}.\) We first want to determine these seven coefficients in terms of the six strength of singularities in (4.1) as much as possible. The following formulas are equivalent to the exponent information in (2.17), (2.18), and (2.20).

**Proposition 4.5.** We have the following formulas

\[
C = -\gamma_1^u - \gamma_1^v - (\gamma_1^u)^2 - (\gamma_1^v)^2 + \gamma_\infty^u \gamma_\infty^v,
\]

\[
G = 2\gamma_1^u + 2(\gamma_1^u)^2 - \gamma_1^u \gamma_1^v + (\gamma_1^u)^2 \gamma_\infty^v - \gamma_\infty^u (\gamma_1^v)^2,
\]

(4.4)

and similar formulas for \(A\) and \(D\) with the \(\gamma_1's\) replaced by the \(\gamma_0's.\) Furthermore, we have that

\[
A + B + C + 2 = \rho_1\rho_2 + \rho_1\rho_3 + \rho_2\rho_3,
\]

\[
D + E + F + G = \rho_1\rho_2\rho_3,
\]

(4.5)

where

\[
\rho_1 = -\gamma_\infty^u, \quad \rho_2 = \gamma_\infty^u - \gamma_\infty^v - 1, \quad \rho_3 = \gamma_\infty^v - 2.
\]

**Proof.** The coefficients \(C\) and \(G\) are for the highest order poles at \(z = 1\) in \(W_2\) and \(W_3\) in (4.4), and they are equivalent to the information about the exponents at 1 in (2.18). In fact, the \(C\) and \(G\) are computed by expanding

\[
\left( \partial_z - \frac{\gamma_1^u}{z-1} \right) \left( \partial_z + \frac{\gamma_1^v - \gamma_\infty^v}{z-1} \right) \left( \partial_z + \frac{\gamma_\infty^v}{z-1} \right).
\]

Similarly we determine \(A\) and \(D\) at \(z = 0.\)
Now we consider the exponents at $\infty$. By $(2.19)$, they are exactly the $\rho_i$ in $(4.10)$. By the standard way of computing the exponents at $\infty$ (see [Inc44]), these exponents are the roots of the equation

$$-s(s+1)(s+2) - (A+B+C)s + D + E + F + G = 0,$$

which is

$$-(s^3 + 3s^2 + (A + B + C + 2)s - (D + E + F + G)) = 0.$$ 

Therefore the standard relationship between roots and coefficients gives our result.

Now we introduce the Pohozaev identity in our situation. We denote the standard dot product by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and use the standard notation that $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\nabla u = (\partial_{x_1} u, \partial_{x_2} u)$.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ be a domain with $C^1$ boundary. We have the following Pohozaev identity

$$8 \int_{\Omega} e^{2u-v} + e^{2v-u} = \int_{\partial \Omega} 2\langle x, \nabla u \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla u \rangle - \langle x, \nu \rangle |\nabla u|^2$$

$$+ 2\langle x, \nabla v \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle - \langle x, \nu \rangle |\nabla v|^2$$

$$- \langle x, \nabla v \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla u \rangle - \langle x, \nabla u \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle$$

$$+ \langle x, \nu \rangle \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + 4 \langle x, \nu \rangle (e^{2u-v} + e^{2v-u}),$$

where $\nu$ stands for the outward unit normal vector of $\partial \Omega$.

**Proof.** The proof is by standard manipulations. For concreteness, we provide some details. Multiply the first equation in (4.1) by $\langle x, 2\nabla u - \nabla v \rangle$ and the second by $\langle x, 2\nabla v - \nabla u \rangle$ and add them, then we get in $\Omega$

$$0 = \Delta u(x, 2\nabla u - \nabla v) + \Delta v(x, 2\nabla v - \nabla u) + 4(x, \nabla e^{2u-v} + \nabla e^{2v-u})$$

$$= \text{div}((x, 2\nabla u - \nabla v)\nabla u) + \text{div}((x, 2\nabla v - \nabla u)\nabla v)$$

$$- \text{div}((|\nabla u|^2 - \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + |\nabla v|^2)x)$$

$$+ 4 \text{div}(e^{2u-v} + e^{2v-u})x - 8(e^{2u-v} + e^{2v-u}).$$

Then we apply the divergence theorem. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.13.** We have

$$8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2u-v} + e^{2v-u} = 8\pi((\gamma_0^u)^2 + (\gamma_0^v)^2 - \gamma_0^u \gamma_0^v)$$

$$- ((\gamma_0^u)^2 + (\gamma_0^v)^2 - \gamma_0^u \gamma_0^v)$$

$$- ((\gamma_1^u)^2 + (\gamma_1^v)^2 - \gamma_1^u \gamma_1^v)) - I_e,$$

where $e = (1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (corresponding to $1 \in \mathbb{C}$) and

$$I_e = \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{S_r(1)} 2\langle e, \nabla u \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla u \rangle - \langle e, \nu \rangle |\nabla u|^2$$

$$+ 2\langle e, \nabla v \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle - \langle e, \nu \rangle |\nabla v|^2$$

$$- \langle e, \nabla v \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla u \rangle - \langle e, \nabla u \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle$$

$$+ \langle e, \nu \rangle \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + 4 \langle e, \nu \rangle (e^{2u-v} + e^{2v-u}) ds.$$
We first consider the integral
\[ I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2u-v} + e^{2v-u} = I_\infty - I_0 - I_1, \]
where \( I_\infty, I_0 \) and \( I_1 \) are respectively limits of integrals over \( S_R(0), S_r(0) \) and \( S_r(1) \). We first note that the integral on the LHS is convergent for all \( (2.11) \) we have
\[ u = 2\gamma_0^u \log r + O(1), \]
(4.16)
\[ \nabla u = \frac{2\gamma_0^u}{r} v + o(r^{-1}), \quad \text{as } r \to 0, \]
and similarly for \( v \). Therefore we have
\[ I_0 = \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{0}^{2\pi} r^2((2\gamma_0^u)^2 + (2\gamma_0^v)^2 - (2\gamma_0^u)(2\gamma_0^v)r^{-2}
+ o(r^{-2}) + O(r^{2\kappa^0}) + O(r^{2\kappa^0_1})\, d\theta
= 8\pi((\gamma_0^u)^2 + (\gamma_0^v)^2 - \gamma_0^u\gamma_0^v), \]
by (4.2).

We apply similar estimates to \( S_R(0) \) and \( S_r(1) \) where we split \( x = (x - e) + e \). Therefore we are done with one new integral \( I_e \) as above.

To be able to evaluate \( I_e \), we need finer asymptotics (at 1) about the solutions than (4.16). We thank Wen Yang for his help with the following lemma and proposition.

Lemma 4.17. Let \( \kappa > -1 \). For \( z \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1) \subset \mathbb{C} \),
\[ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)} \log |z - w||w - 1|^{2\kappa} \, dA = a|z - 1|^{2\kappa+2} + b, \]
for some constants \( a \) and \( b \), where \( dA = \frac{i}{2} dw \wedge d\bar{w} \) is the area element.

Proof. We first note that the integral on the LHS is convergent for all \( z \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1) \), and we denote the corresponding function by \( f(z) \). Let \( r = |z - 1| \). We then note that \( f(z) = f(r) \) is a radial function about 1 by the symmetry of \( |w - 1|^{2\kappa} \) and the invariance of the Lebesgue measure under rotation. By standard potential analysis, we see that
\[ f(x) = f(r) = ar^{2\kappa+2} + b, \]
where \( a \) can be computed as \(-\frac{1}{(2\kappa+2)^2}\). \( \square \)

Proposition 4.18. For solutions \( u \) and \( v \) of (4.1), we have, with \( r = |z - 1| \), that
\[ u(z) = 2\gamma_1^u \log r + p(r) + c(x_1 - 1) + \hat{c}x_2 + o(r), \]
(4.19)
\[ v(z) = 2\gamma_1^v \log r + q(r) + d(x_1 - 1) + \hat{d}x_2 + o(r), \quad \text{as } z \to 1, \]
where \( p \) and \( q \) are functions of \( r \) and we have
\[ p(r) = O(1), \quad p'(r) = o(r^{-1}), \]
(4.20)
\[ q(r) = O(1), \quad q'(r) = o(r^{-1}), \quad \text{as } r \to 0. \]
Proof. Let
\[ U = u - 2\gamma_1^2 \log |z - 1|, \]
\[ V = v - 2\gamma_1^2 \log |z - 1|. \]

Then \( U \) and \( V \) satisfy
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta U &= -4|z - 1|^{2\gamma_1} e^{2U-V}, \\
\Delta V &= -4|z - 1|^{2\gamma_2} e^{2V-U}, \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1).
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( \beta = 2(\min(\gamma_1, \gamma_1) + 1) > 0 \). By [BM91, CL91], we have the following integral representation
\[
\begin{align*}
U(z) &= -\frac{4}{2\pi} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)} \log |z - w||w - 1|^{2\gamma_1} e^{2U(w) - V(w)} \, dA + C^1, \\
V(z) &= -\frac{4}{2\pi} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)} \log |z - w||w - 1|^{2\gamma_2} e^{2V(w) - U(w)} \, dA + C^1,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( C^1 \) stands for \( C^1 \) functions at point \( z = 1 \). Furthermore, by the above works (cf. (2.10)) we know that
\[ U, V \in C^{0,\alpha}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)) \]
for some positive constant \( 0 < \alpha < \beta \). Write
\[ U(z) = U(1) + O(r^{\alpha}), \]
\[ V(z) = V(1) + O(r^{\alpha}). \]

Plugging these in (4.21) and using the above lemma, we get
\[
\begin{align*}
U(z) &= -\frac{4}{2\pi} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)} \log |z - w||w - 1|^{2\gamma_1} e^{2U(w) - V(w)(1 + O(r^{\alpha}))} \, dA + C^1 \\
&= U(1) + a_1 r^{2\gamma_1,1+2} + O(r^{\min(\alpha+\beta,1)}).
\end{align*}
\]
Similarly, we have
\[ V(z) = V(1) + b_1 r^{2\gamma_2,2+2} + O(r^{\min(\alpha+\beta,1)}). \]

Plugging these back in (4.21), we get
\[
\begin{align*}
U(z) &= -\frac{4}{2\pi} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)} \log |z - w||w - 1|^{2\gamma_1} e^{2U(w) - V(w)(1 + 2a_1 r^{2\gamma_1,1+2} - b_1 r^{2\gamma_2,2+2} + O(r^{\alpha+\beta}))} \, dA + C^1 \\
&= U(1) + a_1 r^{2\gamma_1,1+2} + a_{2,1} r^{2(2\gamma_1,1+2)} + a_{2,2} r^{2\gamma_1,1+2\gamma_2,2+4} + O(r^{\min(\alpha+\beta,1)}),
\end{align*}
\]
and similarly for \( V(z) \).

Continuing this way and expanding the exponential function to higher powers as needed, we see that all the powers with exponents less than 1 are radial because \( \alpha + n\beta \) is eventually bigger than 1. We take the functions \( p(r) \) and \( q(r) \) to be the corresponding sums of such powers. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.22.** The \( I_c \) in (4.15) evaluates to
\[
I_c = 4\pi(c\gamma_1,1 + d\gamma_1,2),
\]
where \( c \) and \( d \) are from (4.19).
Proof. Let $\nu$ be the outward unit normal of $S_r(1)$, and let $\hat{e} = (0, 1)$. Using polar coordinates with $x_1 = 1 + r \cos \theta$ and $x_2 = \sin \theta$, we have $\langle e, \nu \rangle = \cos \theta$ and $\langle \hat{e}, \nu \rangle = \sin \theta$.

We first show that the last integral in (4.15) converges to zero:

$$\int_{S_r(1)} \langle e, \nu \rangle e^{2u-u} \, ds = \int_0^{2\pi} r(\cos \theta) \exp(2\gamma_{1,1} \log r + (2p - q) + O(r)) \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} r(\cos \theta)r^{2\gamma_{1,1}}e^{2p-q}e^{O(r)} \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} r(\cos \theta)r^{2\gamma_{1,1}}e^{2p-q(1 + O(r))} \, d\theta$$

$$= 0 + \int_0^{2\pi} O(r^{2+2\gamma_{1,1}}) \, d\theta \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

since $\gamma_{1,1} > -1$. Similarly the other integral for $e^{2u-u}$ also goes to zero.

From (4.19), we see that as $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$\nabla u = \frac{2\gamma_1^u}{r} \nu + p'(r)\nu + ce + \hat{c} \hat{e} + o(1),$$

$$\nabla v = \frac{2\gamma_1^u}{r} \nu + q'(r)\nu + dc + \hat{d} \hat{e} + o(1).$$

Now we compute the integrals of the first two terms in (4.15) and the others are similar. For the first term, by (4.20) we have

$$\int_{S_r(1)} 2\langle e, \nabla u \rangle \langle \nu, \nabla u \rangle \, ds$$

$$= 2 \int_0^{2\pi} r \left( \frac{2\gamma_1^u}{r} \cos \theta + p'(r) \cos \theta + c + o(1) \right) \left( \frac{2\gamma_1^u}{r} + p'(r) + c \cos \theta + \hat{c} \sin \theta + o(1) \right) \, d\theta$$

$$= 2 \int_0^{2\pi} r \left( P_1(r) \cos \theta + P_2(r) \cos \theta \sin \theta + P_3(r) \sin \theta + \frac{2c\gamma_{1,1}^u}{r} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{2c\gamma_{1,1}^u}{r} + o(r^{-1}) \right) \, d\theta$$

$$\rightarrow 2\pi \cdot 2 \cdot (3c\gamma_{1,1}^u), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the $P_i(r)$ stand for the function of $r$ after expansion. For the second term, we have

$$- \int_{S_r(1)} \langle e, \nu \rangle |\nabla u|^2 \, ds$$

$$= - \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \theta \left( \left( \frac{2\gamma_1^u}{r} + p'(r) \right)^2 + c^2 + \hat{c}^2 + 2 \left( \frac{2\gamma_1^u}{r} + p'(r) \right)(c \cos \theta + \hat{c} \sin \theta) + o(r^{-1}) \right) \, d\theta$$

$$= - \int_0^{2\pi} \left( P(r) \cos \theta + Q(r) \cos \theta \sin \theta + \frac{4c\gamma_{1,1}^u}{r} \cos^2 \theta + o(r^{-1}) \right) \, d\theta$$

$$\rightarrow -2\pi(2c\gamma_{1,1}^u), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Repeating this for the other terms, we see that

$$I_\nu = 2\pi(6c\gamma_{1,1}^u - 2c\gamma_{1,1}^u) + 6d\gamma_{1,1}^u - 2d\gamma_{1,1}^u - (2d\gamma_{1,1}^u + c\gamma_{1,1}^u) - (2c\gamma_{1,1}^u + d\gamma_{1,1}^u) + (d\gamma_{1,1}^u + c\gamma_{1,1}^u))$$

$$= 4\pi(c(2\gamma_{1,1}^u - \gamma_{1,1}^u) + d(2\gamma_{1,1}^u - \gamma_{1,1}^u)) = 4\pi(c\gamma_{1,1} + \gamma_{1,1}).$$

□
Furthermore, using the asymptotic expansion (4.19), we can determine $F$ in (4.4) and thus determine all the coefficients in view of Proposition 4.5.

**Proposition 4.25.** The real and imaginary parts of the coefficient $F$ in (4.4) are

\[
\Re F = \frac{1}{2} (2c\gamma_1^u - c\gamma_1^v - c(\gamma_1^v)^2 - 2d\gamma_1^u\gamma_1^v + d(\gamma_1^u)^2 + 2c\gamma_1^u\gamma_1^v)
\]

(4.26)

\[
\Im F = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{c}(\gamma_1^v + 1)\gamma_{1,1} - d\gamma_1^u\gamma_{1,2}),
\]

Proof. By direct computation of (4.4), we have

\[
W_3 = u_{zzz} - 2u_{zz}u_z + u_{zz}u_z - u_zv_z^2 + u_z^2v_z.
\]

This is equal to $D + \frac{E}{z(z-1)} + \frac{F}{(z-1)^2} + \frac{G}{(z-1)^3}$, whose Laurent expansion at $z = 1$ is

\[
\frac{G}{(z-1)^3} + \frac{F}{(z-1)^2} - \frac{F + E}{z - 1} + (F - 2E + D) + O(|z - 1|).
\]

Therefore $F$ is the coefficient of $(z - 1)^{-2}$ in (4.27).

Using the asymptotic expansion (4.19), we see

\[
u_z = \frac{\gamma_1^u}{z - 1} + \frac{c}{2} - \frac{\bar{c}}{2}i + \partial_z p(r) + o(1),
\]

\[
u_{zzz} = -\frac{\gamma_1^u}{(z - 1)^2} + \partial_z^2 p(r) + o(r^{-1}),
\]

\[
u_{zzzz} = 2\frac{\gamma_1^u}{(z - 1)^3} + \partial_z^3 p(r) + o(r^{-2}),
\]

\[
v_2 = \frac{\gamma_1^u}{z - 1} + \frac{d}{2} - \frac{\bar{d}}{2}i + \partial_z q(r) + o(1).
\]

In computing the term containing $(z - 1)^{-2}$, we now argue that the error terms and the derivatives of $p$ or $q$ can be neglected. The $o(1)$, $o(r^{-1})$ and $o(r^{-2})$ can be omitted by the reason of pole orders, since they are little $o$ of a term whose pole order is one less than the corresponding highest pole order. The derivatives of $p$ and $q$ can be omitted since $W_3$ is meromorphic but $p$ and $q$ are functions of $r = |z - 1|$. Therefore, direct computation gives $F$ as in (4.26), noting that the second line is a simplification of the first in view of (4.2). (We remark that $G$ can be computed as the coefficient of $(z - 1)^{-3}$ in (4.27) and this recovers (4.7).) □

Now we prove Theorem 1.25

**Proof.** We first compute equation (2.25) in the form (2.26) in the current case using (2.29), and the result is

\[
\left(\partial_z - \frac{\tau}{z - 1}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{A}{z^2} + \frac{B}{z(z - 1)} + \frac{C}{(z - 1)^2}\right)
\left(\partial_z - \frac{\tau}{z - 1}\right)
\]

\[
+ \left(\frac{D}{z^3} + \frac{E}{z^2(z - 1)} + \frac{F}{(z - 1)^2} + \frac{G}{(z - 1)^3}\right)
\]

\[
= \partial_z^3 - \frac{3\tau}{z - 1}\partial_z^2 + \left(\frac{A}{z^2} + \frac{B}{z(z - 1)} + \frac{C + 3\tau^2 + 3\tau}{(z - 1)^2}\right)\partial_z
\]
\[(4.28) \quad + \left( \frac{D}{z^3} + \frac{E - Ax^2}{2(z - 1)} + \frac{F - Bx^2}{z(z - 1)^2} + \frac{G - Cx^3 - 3x^2 - 2x}{(z - 1)^3} \right) \]
\[= \partial_z^3 - \frac{3\tau}{z(z - 1)} \partial_z^2 + \left( \frac{A' + B'}{z^2} + \frac{C'}{z(z - 1)} \right) \partial_z \]
\[+ \left( \frac{D'}{z^3} + \frac{E'}{z^2(z - 1)} + \frac{F'}{z(z - 1)^2} + \frac{G'}{(z - 1)^3} \right), \]

where the new coefficients are denoted with primes. To prove that this equation is hypergeometric, we need to show that the coefficients have simple poles at \( z = 1 \), that is, \( C' = G' = F' = 0 \).

The necessary conditions in Theorem 1.14 (2) in the \( SU(3) \) cases are
\[(4.29) \quad 0) \quad \gamma_{1,2} = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad 1) \quad \gamma_{1,1} + \gamma_{1,2} = -1, \quad \text{or} \quad 2) \quad \gamma_{1,1} = 0 \]

Let us study cases 1) and 2) first. By (1.17) and (1.18), we see \( \tau = \gamma_1^v \). Then it can be computed that \( C' = 0 \) and \( G' = 0 \) in both cases. We remark that this can be seen using just the exponents of (4.28) at \( z = 1 \), which by the assumption are 0, 1 and one more exponent. By the standard way of computing the exponent (see [Inc44]), we see that they are solutions of
\[s(s - 1)(s - 2) - 3\tau s(s - 1) + C's + G' = 0, \]
which implies that \( G' = 0 \) and \( C' = 0 \).

Now we prove that \( F'' = F - B\tau = 0 \). A straight computation from (4.8), (4.6), and (4.10) shows that
\[B = -A - C - 2 + \rho_1 \rho_2 + \rho_1 \rho_3 + \rho_2 \rho_3 \]
\[= \gamma_0^v + \gamma_0^u + (\gamma_0^v)^2 + (\gamma_0^u)^2 - \gamma_0^v \gamma_0^u \]
\[+ \gamma_1^v + \gamma_1^u + (\gamma_1^v)^2 + (\gamma_1^u)^2 - \gamma_1^v \gamma_1^u \]
\[+ \gamma_\infty^v + \gamma_\infty^u - (\gamma_\infty^v)^2 - (\gamma_\infty^u)^2 + \gamma_\infty^v \gamma_\infty^u. \]

Combining (4.23), (4.14), and (4.3), we see that
\[-\frac{1}{2}(c\gamma_{1,1} + d\gamma_{1,2}) = -\frac{1}{8\pi} I \]
\[= -((\gamma_\infty^v)^2 + (\gamma_\infty^v)^2 - \gamma_\infty^v \gamma_\infty^u) + (\gamma_0^v)^2 + (\gamma_0^u)^2 - \gamma_0^v \gamma_0^u \]
\[+ (\gamma_1^v)^2 + (\gamma_1^u)^2 - \gamma_1^v \gamma_1^u + \gamma_\infty^v \gamma_\infty^u + \gamma_0^v \gamma_0^u + \gamma_0^v \gamma_1^v + \gamma_\infty^v \gamma_1^v \]
\[= B. \]

Now we work under the assumption that \( F \) is real. In case 1) that \( \gamma_{1,1} + \gamma_{1,2} = -1 \), which is equivalent to \( \gamma_1^v + \gamma_1^u = -1 \), we see from (4.26) and (4.30) that
\[F = Re F = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1^v (c\gamma_{1,1} + d\gamma_{1,2}) = B\tau. \]

In case 2) that \( \gamma_{1,1} = 0 \), similarly we have
\[F = Re F = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1^v (d\gamma_{1,2}) = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1^v (c\gamma_{1,1} + d\gamma_{1,2}) = B\tau. \]

Finally we consider case 0) that \( \gamma_{1,2} = 0 \), which means that \( \gamma_1^v = 2\gamma_1^u \). By (1.16), we have \( \tau = \gamma_1^v - \mu_1 = \gamma_1^v - (2\gamma_1^u - \gamma_1^u) - 1 = -\gamma_1^u + 1 \). Again \( C' \) and \( G' \) are easily seen to be 0. From (4.26) and (4.30), we see that
\[F = Re F = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_1^v + 1)(c\gamma_{1,1}) = \frac{1}{2} (c\gamma_{1,1} + d\gamma_{1,2})(\gamma_1^v + 1) = B\tau \]
for the above $\tau$. Therefore all three cases are proved to be sufficient. \hfill $\square$

Finally, we show that our reality assumption is satisfied for solutions with symmetry.

**Proposition 4.31.** If the solution satisfies $u(x_1, x_2) = u(x_1, -x_2)$, then the coefficients $E$ and $F$ in (4.4) are real.

**Proof.** The symmetry condition for $u$ implies that $f = e^{-u}$ is also symmetric, that is, $f(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, -x_2)$. Therefore,

$$\partial_{x_2} f \bigg|_{(x_1, 0)} = 0, \quad \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1}^2 f \bigg|_{(x_1, 0)} = 0, \quad \partial_{x_2}^3 f \bigg|_{(x_1, 0)} = 0.$$  

We consider the restriction of the ODE operator (4.4) to real independent variable, that is, $x_2 = 0$ and $z = x_1$. Thus in view of $\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_{x_2})$, we see that

$$\left( \frac{1}{8}(\partial_{x_1}^3 - 3\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A}{x_1^3} + \frac{B}{x_1(x_1 - 1)} + \frac{C}{(x_1 - 1)^2} \right) \partial_{x_1} 
+ \left( \frac{D}{x_1^3} + \frac{E}{x_1(x_1 - 1)} + \frac{F}{x_1(x_1 - 1)^2} + \frac{G}{(x_1 - 1)^3} \right) \right) f \bigg|_{(x_1, 0)} = 0.$$  

The function $f$ is real-valued, and by Proposition 4.5, $A, B, C, D$ and $G$ are real. Suppose $E = E_1 + iE_2$ and $F = F_1 + iF_2$ (actually $F_2 = -E_2$ by (4.9)), then the imaginary part of the above equation gives

$$\left( \frac{E_2}{x_1^3(x_1 - 1)} + \frac{F_2}{x_1(x_1 - 1)^2} \right) f(x_1, 0) = 0, \quad \forall x_1 \neq 0, 1.$$  

Therefore $E_2 = F_2 = 0$, and $E$ and $F$ are real. \hfill $\square$
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