Math 340 sec. 202: Solutions to Sample Midterm
1(a). The initial basic solution is not feasible, so we need a Phase I. Adding an artificial variable $a_{0}$ and temporary objective tableau $w=-a_{0}$, the initial tableau is

|  | $w$ | $z$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |  |  |  | $a_{0}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $w$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $z$ | 0 | 1 | -1 | -3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $s_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 |  |
| $s_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 |  |
| $s_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |

Now $a_{0}$ enters the basis and the most negative slack variable, $s_{1}$, leaves: the result is a bfs for the relaxed problem.

|  | $w$ | $z$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ | $a_{0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $w$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
|  | $a_{0}$ | 0 | 1 | -1 | -3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $a_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $s_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $s_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$x_{3}$ enters and $a_{0}$ leaves.

|  | $w$ | $z$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ | $a_{0}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 2$ | -1 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | $-1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 1 |  |
| $s_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $s_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 | $-1 / 2$ | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The temporary objective value is now 0 so the bfs is feasible. We delete the $w$ row and column and the $a_{0}$ column, making the $z$ row the objective again. $x_{2}$ enters and $s_{3}$ leaves the basis.

|  | $x_{1}$ |  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{3}$ | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $z$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 | $-1 / 4$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $3 / 2$ |
| $s_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 0 | $1 / 4$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This is optimal. The solution is $x_{1}=0, x_{2}=1 / 2, x_{3}=3 / 2$. Note: since the entry in the $z$ row and $x_{1}$ column is 0 , this is not the only optimal solution.
(b). This is slightly embarassing. When this question was originally used on a midterm, the method we were using was slightly different: there was no artificial variable $a_{0}$, and the "first" pivot was equivalent to our second pivot, resulting in a bfs with $z=-1$. So the answer then was that the optimal value of the objective is at least -1 . Our first pivot does not result in a bfs for the original problem, so we can't conclude anything yet about the optimal solution.
(c). If you changed the right side of the first constraint from -2 to -1 , or the right side of the second or third constraint to -2 , the result of our first pivot would be degenerate, i.e. there would be a 0 in the final column in the $s_{2}$ or $s_{3}$ row. (Note in the case of the third constraint that making this -2 would cause you to put a -1 in the $a_{0}$ column for this constraint)
2.(a). With $\mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}9 \\ t+18 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$, we would have $\beta=\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 3+t \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. This would be feasible, so this basis would give the optimal solution, if $t \geq-3$.
(b). With the new $\mathbf{c}^{T}=[1,8,1,3]$, we would have $\mathbf{c}_{B V}^{T}=[1,0,8], \pi^{T}=\mathbf{c}_{B V}^{T} \mathbf{B}^{-1}=[1,0,0]$, $\bar{c}_{3}=\pi^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -3 \\ 1\end{array}\right]-1=0$ and $\bar{c}_{4}=\pi^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \\ -3 \\ 2\end{array}\right]-3=-1$. It would not be optimal, and $x_{4}$ would enter the basis. The rest of the $x_{4}$ column of the tableau would have $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \\ -3 \\ 2\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}-14 \\ 15 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$, while $\beta=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 3 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ (either calculated as $\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$, or taken from (a) with $t=0$ ). The ratios are $3 / 15=1 / 5$ for $s_{2}$ and $1 / 2$ for $x_{2}$. The minimum ratio is $1 / 5$, so $s_{2}$ would leave the basis.
3. We detect that in Phase II by finding a tableau where a variable $x_{e}$ should enter the basis (it is not artificial, and has a negative entry in the $z$ row or is URS and has a nonzero entry in the $z$ row) but there is no variable to leave the basis (there are no ratios to calculate: if the entry for $x_{e}$ in the $z$ row is negative, there are no positive entries in the $x_{e}$ column in rows labelled by non-URS variables; or if $x_{e}$ is URS and its entry in the $z$ row is positive, there are no negative entries in the $x_{e}$ column in rows labelled by non-URS variables). Since we are in Phase II, we have a basic feasible solution. A negative entry in the $z$ row means that increasing the value of this $x_{e}$, keeping the other nonbasic variables at 0 , will increase the value of the objective $z$. The lack of positive entries in the column means that doing this will not decrease the value of any of the non-URS basic variables, and so will never cause the solution to become infeasible. Thus arbitrarily large increases in the $z$ value are possible. Similarly if $x_{e}$ is URS and its entry in the $z$ row is positive, decreasing the value of $x_{e}$ will increase $z$, and the lack of negative entries in the column means that doing this will not decrease the value of any non-URS basic variables.
(To reduce complications, I probably should have stated in this problem that you could assume there were no URS variables.)

