

Parametric programming for an objective coefficient

Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{maximize } & z = 20x_1 + 30x_2 + 14x_3 \\
 \text{subject to } & \frac{3}{2}x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 100 \\
 & x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq 100 \\
 & x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 120 \\
 & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Here is the optimal tableau:

z	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	rhs		
1	0	0	$7/2$	5	$25/2$	0	1750	=	z
0	1	0	$1/2$	1	$-1/2$	0	50	=	x_1
0	0	1	$1/4$	$-1/2$	$3/4$	0	25	=	x_2
0	0	0	$9/4$	$-1/2$	$-1/4$	1	45	=	s_3

Suppose we change the coefficient of x_1 in the objective: instead of 20 it will be $20 + \varepsilon$.

The new z is the old $z + \varepsilon x_1$. So the new z row is obtained by adding ε times the x_1 row (except for the entry in the x_1 column) to the z row:

z	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	rhs		
1	0	0	$7/2$	5	$25/2$	0	1750	=	z
			$+\varepsilon/2$	$+\varepsilon$	$-\varepsilon/2$		$+50\varepsilon$		
0	1	0	$1/2$	1	$-1/2$	0	50	=	x_1
0	0	1	$1/4$	$-1/2$	$3/4$	0	25	=	x_2
0	0	0	$9/4$	$-1/2$	$-1/4$	1	45	=	s_3

This tableau is optimal as long as the entries in the z row for nonbasic variables remain ≥ 0 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 7/2 + \varepsilon/2 \geq 0 & \quad \text{i.e. } \varepsilon \geq -7 \\
 5 + \varepsilon \geq 0 & \quad \text{i.e. } \varepsilon \geq -5 \\
 25/2 - \varepsilon/2 \geq 0 & \quad \text{i.e. } \varepsilon \leq 25
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the interval is $-5 \leq \varepsilon \leq 25$. If $\varepsilon > 25$, s_2 would enter the basis and x_2 would leave, resulting in the following tableau:

z	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	rhs
1	0	$-50/3$ $+2\varepsilon/3$	$-2/3$ $+2\varepsilon/3$	$40/3$ $+2\varepsilon/3$	0	0	$4000/3$ = z $+200\varepsilon/3$
0	1	$2/3$	$2/3$	$2/3$	0	0	$200/3$ = x_1
0	0	$4/3$	$1/3$	$-2/3$	1	0	$100/3$ = s_2
0	0	$1/3$	$7/3$	$-2/3$	0	1	$160/3$ = s_3

This is optimal if $\varepsilon \geq 25$.

Returning to the previous tableau, if $\varepsilon < -5$, s_1 would enter and x_1 would leave, resulting in the tableau:

z	x_1	x_2	x_3	s_1	s_2	s_3	rhs
1	$-5 - \varepsilon$	0	1	0	15	0	1500 = z
0	1	0	$1/2$	1	$-1/2$	0	50 = s_1
0	$1/2$	1	$1/2$	0	$1/2$	0	50 = x_2
0	$1/2$	0	$5/2$	0	$-1/2$	1	70 = s_3

If we graph the optimal value of the objective as a function of the objective coefficient c_1 of x_1 , it looks like this:

