

Recall last time:

- linear combinations
- basis: a set of vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  in  $V$  of  $V$  both spanning:  $L(v_1, \dots, v_n) = V$  and linearly independent.

- Theorem: Every basis has the same number of elements (when a finite basis exists).

Today:  
• examples  
• prove this theorem (will need some lemmas).

Examples: ① Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  ( $\dim V = n$ ) over  $\mathbb{F}_p \leftarrow$  field of  $p$  elements.

How many elements does  $V$  have?

Warm-up:  $\dim V = 1$  ;

Then  $\#V = p$   
↑  
"cardinality"

If  $\dim V = 0$  then  $\#V = 1$   
↓  
 $V = \{0\}$  over any field.

Over any field, a 1-dim. vector space is  $F \cdot v_1$

it looks like  $\mathbb{F}$  itself

(think of  $F$  as a vector space over itself, ~~the~~ dimension is 1)

basis: any  $x \in F$  gives a basis:  
 $x \neq 0$

$$L(x) = \{ y \cdot x \mid y \in F \} = F$$

$\dim V = 2$ ,  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Standard basis:  
(canonical)

$V = \mathbb{F}_p^n$   
basis

$$e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$\dots$$
$$e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$$

elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$   
as a set

Why do they form a basis?

• check: 1) lin. indep.

2) spanning. ← *exer.*

1) Suppose  $\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n = 0$ . want to show:  
 $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ .

$$\lambda_1 (1, 0, \dots, 0) + \dots + \lambda_n (0, \dots, 1)$$

$$= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \leftarrow \text{if this is } \bar{0}, \text{ then all } \lambda_i = 0.$$

Main point: ~~with~~  $\mathbb{F}^n$  comes with a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$

point for the future: any  $n$ -dim. vector space  
over  $F$

is "isomorphic" to  $F^n$ .  
(the same as)

So:  $\# V$  if  $\dim V = n$ , over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

e.g.  $\dim V = 2$ . We have  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  - basis of  $V$   
over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Every  $v \in V$  has the form

$$v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2$$

← will soon prove  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$   
are unique

↑  
Options  
for each

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

$$\# V = p^2$$

$\#V = p^n$  ←  $n$  coefficients,  $\uparrow$  up to  $n$  coefficients  
 $\dim V = n$  (as expected: think of  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  clearly has cardinality  $p^n$ ).

Example The space of all solutions to  $f'' + f = 0$  (subspace of all smooth real fns)

•  $\sin x, \cos x$  satisfy it.

• Any solution is  $c_1 \sin x + c_2 \cos x$   $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

2-dimensional

(have not proved: there is no other solution lin. indep. from  $\sin x, \cos x$ )

Proofs:

easy lemmas: • Call a set of linearly indep. vectors  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  maximal if you cannot add any vector to it and keep it linearly independent.

Lemma: A maximal linearly indep. set is a basis.

Pf: We want to prove that maximal  $\Rightarrow$  spanning

Suppose it is not spanning.

Then exists  $\vec{v}$  s.t.  $\vec{v} \neq \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$  for any choice  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$

Then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, v\}$  is still lin. indep.

So  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  was not maximal.

Theorem: Suppose  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  is a lin. indep. set in  $V$   
and  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s\} \subset V$  s.t.

$$L(v_1, \dots, v_r, w_1, \dots, w_s) = V.$$

Then there exists a subset of  $\{w_1, \dots, w_s\}$  s.t.  
together with  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  it forms a basis.

Proof: intuition:  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  - lin. indep.  
either it is spanning, then we are done:  
take  $\emptyset \subset \{w_1, \dots, w_s\}$

if not spanning, we know that once all the  $w$ 's  
are thrown in, it becomes a spanning set.

but maybe it has become lin. dependent.

So maybe we should not have put in all  $w$ 's.

Then we try to put the  $w$ 's in one-by-one:

find the  $w_i$  s.t.  $w_i \notin L(v_1, \dots, v_r)$  (b/c all  $v$ 's  
do not span?  
but with the  $w$ 's,  
they do.)

Put it in.

Because  $w_i \notin L(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ , the set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_i\}$  is  
linearly independent.

Either  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_i\}$  spans  $V$ , we are done  
or it doesn't.

Then repeat the same argument.

In the book, this proof is done by induction on  $s$ :  
the number of  $w$ 's.

(please read 3.4 - induction optional).