

Today: When does a basis of eigenvectors exist?  
(a sufficient condition) 9.2

- How to go between different bases  
(equivalence of matrices) → 11.1, 11.2, some 11.4

Recall: Last time we finished at the Fundam. Thm of Algebra:

every polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$  factors into a product of linear factors:

$$p(x) = a_n (x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_n) \quad (n = \deg(p)) \quad (\text{see 9.4})$$

↑ roots.      ↗

Comment: for  $n \geq 5$ , there is no formula for finding the roots! (Galois)

In practice, this means we cannot expect to find eigenvalues exactly. Numerically, can find eigenvalues approximately.

Def: If  $p(x) = a(x - \alpha)^k \cdot h(x)$   
the greatest such  $k$  is called the multiplicity of the root  $\alpha$ .

- If start with an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  
write its characteristic polynomial  $p_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$

If  $p_A(\lambda)$  has  $n$  distinct roots in  $\mathbb{C}$   
(meaning every root has multiplicity = 1)  
then  $A$  will have a basis of eigenvectors

If there are multiple roots, it is more complicated.  
- there might still be a basis of eigenvectors, or not. Not easy to distinguish these cases.

Recall why eigenvalues are roots of  $P_A(\lambda)$ :

because  $\ker(A - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$  when  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue

Def:  $\dim \ker(A - \lambda I)$  is called the geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

It is not 1 only if  $\lambda$  is also a multiple root of  $P_A(\lambda)$  and in this case, the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as a root of the char. poly.  $P_A(\lambda)$  is called algebraic multiplicity.

Caution: geom. multiplicity  $\leq$  alg. multiplicity but they don't have to be equal!

To find geom. mult., you have to: do row reductions for  $A - \lambda I$ , find its kernel.

There is a method for finding a particularly good

basis for this kernel, but it's not part of Math 223  
And then need more basis vectors for  $V$

Example: Recall from last time, the differentiation op.

$D: P_{\leq 2} \rightarrow P_{\leq 2}$ , had matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

it had eigenvalue 0 of algebraic multiplicity  
 $= 3$

what about geom. multiplicity:

$\ker(A - 0 \cdot I) = \ker(A)$  has dim 1, b/c  
 $\text{rk}(A) = 2$ .

Why geom. mult.  $\leq$  alg. mult.

and why do we have a basis when the eigenvalues are distinct?

Thm If  $\underbrace{v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_k^{(1)}}_{\text{eigenvectors}}$  are lin. indep. eigenvectors for eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ ,

---  
 $v_1^{(m)}, \dots, v_{k_m}^{(m)}$  are lin. indep. eigenvectors for the eigenvalue  $\lambda_m$ .

then  $\{v_i^{(1)}, \dots, v_{k_m}^{(m)}\}$  are lin. indep.  
 ↑  
 all of them

(eigenvectors corr. to different eigenvalues are lin. indep.)  
based on:

Lemma: If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are eigenvalues of  $A: V \rightarrow V$ ,  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$   
 let  $v_i$  be an eigenvector for  $\lambda_i$   
 then  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are lin. indep.

Pf: Induction on  $k$ .

Base:  $k=1$ :  $v_1$  - eigenvector for  $\lambda_1$   
 $v_1 \neq 0$  by def'n.  
 Nothing to prove.

Induction step: Suppose we know this statement for  $k=n$ . Want to prove it for  $k=n+1$ .

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}$  be eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}$ .

Suppose we have

$$(1) \quad \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n + \alpha_{n+1} v_{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{for some } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \in F.$$

Want to prove:  
 all  $\alpha_i = 0$

Apply  $A$ : Get.

$$A(\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} v_{n+1}) = 0$$

$$= \alpha_1 A \cdot v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} A v_{n+1}$$

$$= \alpha_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} \lambda_{n+1} v_{n+1} = 0. \quad (2)$$

↑  
 b/c eigenvectors.

↑  
 our field.

Subtract  $\lambda_{n+1} \cdot (1)$  from  $(2)$ :

Get: (the last term cancels!)

$$(\alpha_1 \lambda_1 v_1 - \alpha_1 \lambda_{n+1} v_1) + \dots + (\alpha_n \lambda_n v_n - \lambda_{n+1} \alpha_n v_n) = 0$$

$$\Downarrow \alpha_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{n+1}) v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}) v_n = 0.$$

By induction assumption,  $\{v_i\}$  are lin. indep.

And it was given that  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$

so  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_{n+1}$  when  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ .

Thus we have  $\alpha_{n+1} v_{n+1} = 0$ . So  $\alpha_{n+1} = 0$ .

(b/c  $v_{n+1} \neq 0$  by def. of an eigenvector)

---

Corollary: If the roots of the char. poly (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) are distinct, the lin. op. has a basis of eigenvectors

Pf: You have  $n$  eigenvalues, their eigenvectors  $\overset{\dim(V)}{\text{are lin. independent}}$ , since there's  $n$  of them, they form a basis.

---

The matrix of  $A$  in this basis is diagonal!

How to change bases:

## Changes of basis

- What happens to coordinates when you change the basis?
- What happens to matrices

Let  $v_1^{\text{old}}, \dots, v_n^{\text{old}}$  be a basis in  $V$

And we take another basis, call them  $v_1^{\text{new}}, \dots, v_n^{\text{new}}$

$$X \in V \quad X = x_1^{\text{old}} v_1^{\text{old}} + \dots + x_n^{\text{old}} v_n^{\text{old}} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}^{\text{old}} \quad \text{in the old basis.}$$

$$X = x_1^{\text{new}} v_1^{\text{new}} + \dots + x_n^{\text{new}} v_n^{\text{new}} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}^{\text{new}} \quad \text{in the new basis.}$$

Make the "transition matrix" or "change of basis" matrix

$$C = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} c_{11} & \\ \vdots & \\ c_{1n} & \end{array} \right]$$

$v_i^{\text{new}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ c_{ni} \end{bmatrix}$  ← coords in the old basis

columns are the coordinates of the new basis vectors w.r.t. the old basis.

We have:

$$X^{\text{old}} = C X^{\text{new}}$$

$$X^{\text{new}} = C^{-1} X^{\text{old}}$$

(proof next class)

← easier to see