

ON THE REMAINDER TERM OF THE PRIME NUMBER FORMULA VI.  
INEFFECTIVE MEAN VALUE THEOREMS

by  
J. PINTZ

In part V [6] we gave effective lower bounds for the mean value of  $|A_i(x)|$ , where

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A_1(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi(x) - \text{li } x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{p \leq x} 1 - \int_0^x \frac{dr}{\log r} \\ A_2(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi(x) - \text{li } x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{v \geq 1} \frac{1}{v} \pi(x^{1/v}) - \text{li } x \\ A_3(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \theta(x) - x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{p \leq x} \log p - x \\ A_4(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi(x) - x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{e \leq x} \Lambda(n) - x. \end{aligned}$$

With the notation

$$(1.2) \quad D_i(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{Y} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^Y |A_i(x)| dx$$

we proved that if  $\zeta(\beta_1 + i\gamma_1) = 0$  ( $\beta_1 \cong \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\gamma_1 > 0$ ) and  $Y > \max(c_0, e^{\gamma_1})$  then

$$(1.3) \quad D_i(Y) \cong \frac{1}{Y} \int_{Y \exp(-5\sqrt{\log Y})}^Y |A_i(x)| dx > Y^{c_1} \exp(-2\sqrt{\log Y} \log_2^2 Y)$$

where  $\log_2 Y = \log \log Y$  and  $c_0$  further  $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots$  are explicitly calculable positive constants.

Taking  $\beta_1 + i\gamma_1 = 1/2 + i \cdot 14.13\dots$ , the first zero of  $\zeta(s)$ , (1.3) implies for  $Y > c_1$

$$(1.4) \quad D_i(Y) \cong \frac{1}{Y} \int_{Y \exp(-5\sqrt{\log Y})}^Y |A_i(x)| dx > \sqrt{Y} \exp(-2\sqrt{\log Y} \log_2^2 Y)$$

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 10N04.  
Key words and phrases. Distribution of primes, global questions, oscillation of the remainder term of the prime number formula.

which improved the estimate  $D_4(Y) > \sqrt{Y} \exp(-2 \log Y \log_2^{-1} Y)$  of S. KNAPOWSKI [5].

In the present work we shall prove the ineffective improvement of (1.4) which is as follows.

THEOREM 1. For  $Y > Y_0$  (an ineffective constant) we have

$$(1.5) \quad D_1(Y) > \frac{1}{Y} \int_{Y \exp(-5\sqrt{\log Y})}^Y |A_1(x)| dx > 0.62 \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\log Y}$$

$$(1.6) \quad D_2(Y) > \frac{1}{Y} \int_{Y \exp(-5\sqrt{\log Y})}^Y |A_2(x)| dx > 9 \cdot 10^{-5} \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\log Y}$$

$$(1.7) \quad D_3(Y) > \frac{1}{Y} \int_{Y \exp(-5\sqrt{\log Y})}^Y |A_3(x)| dx > 0.62 \sqrt{Y}$$

$$(1.8) \quad D_4(Y) > \frac{1}{Y} \int_{Y \exp(-5\sqrt{\log Y})}^Y |A_4(x)| dx > 10^{-4} \sqrt{Y}.$$

Assuming the Riemann hypothesis, CRAMÉR [1] showed for  $Y > c_2$

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{1}{Y} \int_2^Y A_4^2(x) dx < c_3 Y,$$

which implies

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{1}{Y} \int_2^Y |A_4(x)| dx < \sqrt{c_3} \sqrt{Y}$$

and applying our Lemma 1 and 2 mentioned later, from this one gets also

$$(1.11) \quad D_3(Y) \leq c_4 \sqrt{Y}$$

$$(1.12) \quad D_2(Y) \leq c_5 \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\log Y}$$

$$(1.13) \quad D_1(Y) \leq c_6 \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\log Y}.$$

This shows that if the Riemann hypothesis is true then all the inequalities (1.5)–(1.8) are best possible apart from the values of the constants. Using some numerical computations Cramér's result shows that with the constant 0.16 instead of  $10^{-4}$  and  $9 \cdot 10^{-5}$  the inequalities (1.6) and (1.8) are already false for every  $Y > Y_0$  and analogously one cannot substitute 0.62 by 0.83 in (1.5) and (1.7) if the Riemann hypothesis is true.

On the other hand if the Riemann hypothesis is false then (1.5)–(1.8) are not optimal since in this case (1.3) gives a better lower bound. This means also that

in the course of proof of the Theorem 1 we can restrict ourselves for the case when the Riemann hypothesis is supposed to be true. In this case we can prove the following effective result which also furnishes a better localization than Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. If the Riemann hypothesis is true then for  $Y > c_7$

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{1}{Y} \int_{10^{-3}Y}^Y A_1(x) \log x dx < -0.62 \sqrt{Y}$$

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{1}{Y} \int_{Y/6}^Y |A_2(x)| dx > 9 \cdot 10^{-5} \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\log Y}$$

$$(1.16) \quad \frac{1}{Y} \int_{10^{-3}Y}^Y A_3(x) dx < -0.62 \sqrt{Y}.$$

$$(1.17) \quad \frac{1}{Y} \int_{Y/6}^Y |A_4(x)| dx > 10^{-4} \sqrt{Y}.$$

We want to note that analogously to (1.14) and (1.16) it would be possible to prove

$$(1.18) \quad \frac{1}{(5Y/6)} \int_{Y/6}^Y A_1(x) \log x dx < -0.68 \sqrt{Y}$$

$$(1.19) \quad \frac{1}{(5Y/6)} \int_{Y/6}^Y A_3(x) dx < -0.68 \sqrt{Y}$$

or

$$(1.20) \quad \frac{1}{(Y/10)} \int_{9Y/10}^Y A_1(x) \log x dx < -\frac{\sqrt{Y}}{9}$$

$$(1.21) \quad \frac{1}{(Y/10)} \int_{9Y/10}^Y A_3(x) dx < -\frac{\sqrt{Y}}{9}.$$

Theorem 2 and Cramér's results (1.10)–(1.13) together give that if the Riemann hypothesis is true then we know the exact order of magnitude of  $D_i(Y)$ ; we have namely the effective

THEOREM 3. If the Riemann hypothesis is true then for  $Y > c_8$

$$(1.22) \quad c_9 \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\log Y} < D_i(Y) < c_{10} \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\log Y} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

$$(1.23) \quad c_{11} \sqrt{Y} < D_i(Y) < c_{12} \sqrt{Y} \quad (i = 3, 4).$$

2. For the proof of Theorem 2 we shall need the following lemmata.

LEMMA 1.

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_1(x) = \Delta_2(x) - (1+o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta_3(x) = \Delta_4(x) - (1+o(1)) \sqrt{x}.$$

The proof follows from the prime number theorem.

LEMMA 2. *If the Riemann hypothesis is true then*

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_2(x) \log x = \Delta_4(x) + o(\sqrt{x}).$$

For the proof see INGHAM [3], p. 104.

LEMMA 3 (see Ingham [3], Theorem 28).

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta_0(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^x \Delta_4(t) dt = -\sum_{\varrho} \frac{x^{\varrho+1}}{\varrho(\varrho+1)} + O(x)$$

where (as in the following always)  $\varrho = \beta + i\gamma$  runs through the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ .

LEMMA 4 (see DE LA VALLÉE POUSSIN [7], p. 13).

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{\varrho} \frac{1}{|\varrho|^2} < 0.0464.$$

From Lemmata 3 and 4 we get immediately

LEMMA 5. *The Riemann hypothesis implies for  $x > c_{13}$*

$$(2.6) \quad |\Delta_0(x)| < 0.0464 x^{3/2}.$$

(2.1)–(2.3) and (2.6) together give

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{10^{-3}Y}^Y \Delta_1(x) \log x dx &= \int_{10^{-3}Y}^Y \Delta_3(x) dx + o(Y^{3/2}) = \\ &= \int_{10^{-3}Y}^Y \Delta_4(x) dx - \int_{10^{-3}Y}^Y \sqrt{x} dx + o(Y^{3/2}) < \\ &< Y^{3/2} \left\{ 0.0464 \left( 1 + 10^{-\frac{9}{2}} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \left( 1 - 10^{-\frac{9}{2}} \right) + o(1) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1.14) and (1.16).

3. In view of (2.3) (1.17) implies (1.15). (1.17) will be the immediate consequence of

THEOREM 4. *If the Riemann hypothesis is true then for  $Y > c_{14}$  there exist*

$$(3.1) \quad x', x'' \in [Y/6, Y]$$

with

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_0(x') < -8 \cdot 10^{-4} (x')^{3/2} < -5 \cdot 10^{-5} Y^{3/2}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_0(x'') > 8 \cdot 10^{-4} (x'')^{3/2} > 5 \cdot 10^{-5} Y^{3/2}.$$

By Lemma 3 we have

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\Delta_0(e^v)}{(e^v)^{3/2}} = -\sum_{\varrho} \frac{e^{i\gamma v}}{\varrho(\varrho+1)} + o(1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -G(v) + o(1).$$

So to prove Theorem 4 it will be sufficient to show that for every  $H$  there exist

$$(3.5) \quad v', v'' \in \left[ H - \frac{\log 6}{2}, H + \frac{\log 6}{2} \right]$$

with

$$(3.6) \quad G(v') > 8.1 \cdot 10^{-4}, \quad G(v'') < -8.1 \cdot 10^{-4}.$$

In the following let  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  denote the imaginary parts of the first two zeros  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$ , resp., of  $\zeta(s)$  in the upper half-plane for which we shall use

$$(3.7) \quad 14 < \gamma_1 < 14.14, \quad \gamma_2 > 21$$

(see e.g. GRAM [2]).

In the proof an idea of Ingham [4], the use of the Fejér-kernel will be of importance, which satisfies for every real  $u$  the relation

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{y}{2}}{\frac{y}{2}} \right) e^{iny} dy = \begin{cases} 1 - |u| & \text{for } |uy| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |u| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Using the properties of the Fejér-kernel we shall estimate the following weighted mean values of  $G(v)$ :

$$(3.9) \quad I_1(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-4\pi}^{4\pi} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{y}{2}}{\frac{y}{2}} \right)^2 G\left(\omega + \frac{y}{\gamma_2}\right) dy$$

$$(3.10) \quad I_2(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{y}{2}}{\frac{y}{2}} \right)^2 G\left(\omega + \frac{y}{\gamma_2}\right) dy.$$

If we can assure the existence of

$$(3.11) \quad \omega', \omega'' \in \left[ H - \frac{\log 6}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{\gamma_2}, H + \frac{\log 6}{2} - \frac{4\pi}{\gamma_2} \right]$$

with

$$(3.12) \quad I_1(\omega') > 8.1 \cdot 10^{-4}, \quad I_1(\omega'') < -8.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

then in view of (3.8) we get the existence of  $v', v''$  with (3.5)—(3.6) and so the theorem will be proved. Further to satisfy (3.12) it is enough to find  $\omega', \omega''$  with (3.11) for which

$$(3.13) \quad I_2(\omega') > 3.2 \cdot 10^{-3}, \quad I_2(\omega'') < -3.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

since by (2.5), (3.4) and (3.7)

$$(3.14) \quad |I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| < 0.0464 \frac{1}{2\pi} 2 \int_{4\pi}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos y}{(y^2/2)} dy < \frac{0.0464}{2\pi^2} < 2.39 \cdot 10^{-3}.$$

But (3.4), (3.8) and (3.10) imply

$$(3.15) \quad I_2(\omega) = \sum_{\varrho} \frac{e^{i\gamma\omega}}{\varrho(\varrho+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{y}{2}}{\frac{y}{2}} \right)^2 e^{iy\frac{\gamma}{2}} dy = \\ = \sum_{|\gamma| < \gamma_2} \frac{e^{i\gamma\omega}}{\varrho(\varrho+1)} \left( 1 - \frac{|\gamma|}{\gamma_2} \right) = 2 \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right) \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\gamma_1\omega}}{\varrho(\varrho+1)}$$

and this obviously assumes positive and negative values with absolute value

$$(3.16) \quad 2 \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right) \frac{1}{|\varrho_1||\varrho_1+1|} > 3.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

in every closed interval of length  $\frac{2\pi}{\gamma_1} < \log 6 - \frac{8\pi}{\gamma_2}$ . Q. E. D.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CRAMÉR, H., Ein Mittelwertsatz in der Primzahltheorie, *Math. Z.* **12** (1922), 147—153.
- [2] GRAM, J.-P., Sur les zéros de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  de Riemann, *Acta Math.* **27** (1903), 289—304.
- [3] INGHAM, A. E., *The Distribution of Prime Numbers*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. and Math. Phys. No. 30, Cambridge University Press, London and New York, 1932. *Zbl.* **6**, 397.
- [4] INGHAM, A. E., A note on the distribution of primes, *Acta Arith.* **1** (1936), 201—211. *Zbl.* **13**, 149.
- [5] KNAPOWSKI, S., Contributions to the theory of the distribution of prime numbers in arithmetical progressions I, *Acta Arith.* **6** (1960/1961), 415—434. *MR* **23** # A3119.
- [6] PINTZ, J., On the remainder term of the prime number formula V. Effective mean value theorems, *Studia Sci. Math., Hungar.* **15** (1980), 215—223.
- [7] VALLÉE POUSSIN, C.-J. DE LA., Sur la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  de Riemann et le nombre des nombres premiers inférieurs à une limite donnée, *Mém. Couronnés et Autres Mém. Publ. Acad. Roy. Sci. des Lettres Beaux-Arts Belg.* **59** (1899—1900).

(Received May 8, 1981)

MTA MATEMATIKAI KUTATÓ INTÉZETE  
 RÉALTANODA U. 13—15.  
 H—1053 BUDAPEST  
 HUNGARY

## ON THE EXPLICIT FORMULA OF RIEMANN — VON MANGOLDT

by

DIETER WOLKE

### 1. Introduction

The classical formula of Riemann — von Mangoldt, which connects primes and zeros of the zeta-function, reads in the most important case as follows

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi(x) = x - \sum_{\varrho, |\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^{\varrho}}{\varrho} + O\left(\frac{x}{T} \log^2 x\right),$$

where  $2 \leq T \leq x$ ,

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = \sum_{p^k \leq x} \log p,$$

and  $\varrho = \beta + i\gamma$  denotes the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function (see PRACHAR [4], p. 229). As the order of magnitude of the error term plays an important role in the prime number theorem on may ask whether (1.1) can be proved with a better error term estimation. Using mean value theorems for Dirichlet polynomials (see HUXLEY [2], §19) and zero density results, we will derive a slight improvement.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $x \geq 2$ ,  $\log^6 x \leq T \leq \log^{-2} x$ . Then there exists a*

$$\tau \in \left( \frac{T}{2}, \frac{3T}{2} \right)$$

with

$$\Psi(x) = x - \sum_{\varrho, |\gamma| \leq \tau} \frac{x^{\varrho}}{\varrho} + O\left(\frac{x}{T} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log T}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log B}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

where

$$B = \frac{x}{T} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In particular, for  $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x^c \leq T \leq x^{1-c}$ , we have the bound

$$O_c \left( \frac{x}{T} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

for the error term.

In the following all constants implied by the symbols  $O(\ )$  and  $\ll$  — are absolute.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 10H15.

*Key words and phrases*. Multiplicative theory, distribution of primes.