

# Wedge Products of Alternating Forms

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $d < \infty$ . In these notes, we discuss how to define a wedge product on the space of all alternating forms on  $V \times \cdots \times V$ 's so as to make it isomorphic to the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(V^*)$ .

We start by reviewing the equivalence class definition of the exterior algebra over the dual space  $V^*$  of  $V$ . Define

- $C(V) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V^{*\otimes k}$  (with  $V^{*\otimes k}$  being the tensor product of  $k$  copies of  $V^*$  when  $k > 0$  and being  $\mathbb{R}$  when  $k = 0$ ) and
- let  $I(V^*)$  be the two-sided ideal in  $C(V^*)$  generated by  $\{ \bar{v}^* \otimes \bar{v}^* \mid \bar{v}^* \in V^* \}$  and
- let, for each  $k \geq 2$ ,  $I_k(V^*) = I(V^*) \cap V^{*\otimes k}$  and
- let  $\Lambda(V^*) = C(V^*)/I(V^*)$  and
- let  $\Lambda^0(V^*) = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Lambda^1(V^*) = V^*$  and, for each  $2 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $\Lambda^k(V^*) = V^{*\otimes k}/I_k(V^*)$ .

Then  $\Lambda(V^*)$  is a graded, unital, associative algebra with the operations

$$[c] + [d] = [c + d] \quad \alpha[c] = [\alpha c] \quad [c] \wedge [d] = [c \otimes d]$$

for all  $c, d \in C(V^*)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $[c]$  is the equivalence class of  $c$  under the equivalence relation  $c \sim d \iff c - d \in I(V)$ . We have that  $\Lambda(V^*) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^d \Lambda^k(V^*)$  and that, if  $\bar{e}^1, \dots, \bar{e}^d$  is a basis  $V^*$ , then for each  $k \geq 2$

$$\{ \bar{e}^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{e}^{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq d \}$$

is a basis for  $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ .

Now we start on the multilinear form definition. For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $\mathbb{A}^k(V)$  the vector space of all  $k$ -linear alternating forms on  $V \times \cdots \times V$  (with  $k$  factors). That is,  $L \in \mathbb{A}^k$  is a function  $L : V \times \cdots \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (with  $k$  factors) that is linear in each of its  $k$  arguments and obeys

$$L(\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_k) = (-1)^\pi L(\bar{v}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \bar{v}_{\pi(k)})$$

for each permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . Here  $(-1)^\pi$  is the sign of the permutation. We denote by  $S_k$  the set of all permutations of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . For  $L$  to be nonzero, it is necessary that  $k \leq d$ .

Of course  $\mathbb{A}^1(V)$  is just  $V^*$ , as is  $\Lambda^1(V^*)$ . Both have basis  $\{\bar{e}^1, \dots, \bar{e}^d\}$ . To distinguish between  $\bar{e}^j$ , viewed as an element of  $\Lambda^1(V^*)$ , and  $\bar{e}^j$ , viewed as an element of  $\mathbb{A}^1(V)$ , we rename the latter to  $E^j$ . That is, if  $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_d$  is the basis for  $V$  that is dual to the basis  $\bar{e}^1, \dots, \bar{e}^d$  for  $V^*$ , then  $E^j \in \mathbb{A}^1(V)$  is the linear functional

$$E^j \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \alpha^k \vec{e}_k \right) = \alpha_j$$

So  $\{E^1, \dots, E^d\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{A}^1(V)$ . To construct a basis for  $\mathbb{A}^k(V)$ , with  $2 \leq k \leq d$ , define, for each  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d$ , the alternating,  $k$ -linear form  $\varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \in \mathbb{A}^k(V)$  by

$$\varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_k}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k) = \det [E^{i_\ell}(\vec{v}_m)]_{1 \leq \ell, m \leq k} = \sum_{\pi \in S_k} (-1)^\pi \prod_{\ell=1}^k E^{i_\ell}(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell)}) \quad (1)$$

If any two  $i_j$ 's are equal, then  $\varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_k} = 0$ . If all of the  $i_j$ 's are distinct, then, for each  $\pi \in S_k$ ,  $\varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_k} = (-1)^\pi \varepsilon^{i_{\pi(1)}, \dots, i_{\pi(k)}}$  and, in particular,

$$\varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_k}(\vec{e}_{j_1}, \dots, \vec{e}_{j_k}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^\pi & \text{if } (j_1, \dots, j_k) = (i_{\pi(1)}, \dots, i_{\pi(k)}) \text{ for some permutation } \pi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\{ \varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d \}$$

is a basis for  $\mathbb{A}^k(V)$ .

At this stage  $\mathbb{A}(V) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^d \mathbb{A}^k(V)$  (with  $\mathbb{A}^0(V) = \mathbb{R}$ ) is just a vector space. We would like to endow it with a product  $\wedge$  in such a way that  $\mathbb{A}(V)$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda(V^*)$ . Let us call the isomorphism  $\mathcal{I} : \Lambda(V^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(V)$ . We would like it to preserve the grading. That is,  $\mathcal{I}$  should map  $\mathbb{A}^k(V)$  onto  $\Lambda^k(V^*)$  for each  $0 \leq k \leq d$ . It is natural to take the restrictions of  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $\Lambda^0(V^*) = \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{A}^0(V)$  and to  $\Lambda^1(V^*) = V^* = \mathbb{A}^1(V)$  to be identity maps. In particular, to take

$$\mathcal{I}(\vec{e}^k) = E^k \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq d$$

Once we have turned  $\mathbb{A}(V)$  into an algebra by defining  $\wedge$ , the requirements

- that  $\mathcal{I}$  be an algebra isomorphism that preserves the grading
- that  $\mathcal{I}(\alpha) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda^0(V^*) = \mathbb{R}$  and
- that  $\mathcal{I}(\vec{e}^k) = E^k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq d$

will force

$$\mathcal{I}(\vec{e}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}^{j_k}) = E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_k} \in \mathbb{A}^k(V) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq d$$

which completely determines  $\mathcal{I}$  by linearity.

Now let us consider how we could define  $E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_k} \in \mathbb{A}^k(V)$ , for each  $2 \leq k \leq d$ . Because  $\mathcal{I}$  is to be an isomorphism with  $\mathcal{I}(\vec{e}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}^{j_k}) = E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_k}$ , we must have, for each  $2 \leq k \leq d$ ,

- $E^{j_{\pi(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_{\pi(k)}} = (-1)^\pi E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_k} \in \mathbb{A}^k(V)$  for all  $\pi \in S_k$  and  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq d$  and
- $\{ E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_k} \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq d \}$  must be linearly independent.

These are the only requirements. That is, we may select any collection of alternating forms  $\{ E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \in \mathbb{A}^k(V) \mid 2 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq d \}$  that obey

$$(H1) \quad E^{j_{\pi(1)}, \dots, j_{\pi(k)}} = (-1)^\pi E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \text{ for all } \pi \in S_k \text{ and } 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq d \text{ and}$$

$$(H2) \quad \{ E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq d \} \text{ are linearly independent for each } 2 \leq k \leq d$$

and then define the wedge product by the distributive rule and

$$E^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \wedge E^{j_1, \dots, j_\ell} = E^{i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_\ell} \quad (2)$$

for all  $1 \leq k, \ell \leq d$  and  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_\ell \leq d$  (set the right hand side to zero when  $k + \ell > d$ ) and define  $\mathcal{I}$  by linearity and

$$\mathcal{I}(\vec{e}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}^{j_k}) = E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq k \leq d \text{ and } 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq d$$

Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is an isomorphism and

$$\mathcal{I}(\vec{e}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}^{j_k}) = E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} = E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_k} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq d \quad (3)$$

Now let's construct a bunch of families of  $E^{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ 's satisfying the requirements (H1) and (H2). Each  $E^{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  must, in particular, be a  $k$ -linear functional and hence a linear combination

$$E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^d \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{j_1, \dots, j_k} E^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{i_k}$$

Let's choose our  $E^{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  to be a linear combination of only those  $E^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{i_k}$ 's with  $i_p \in \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$  for every  $1 \leq p \leq k$ .

- Since  $\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{j_1, \dots, j_k} = E^{j_1, \dots, j_k}(\vec{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \vec{e}_{i_k})$  and  $E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \in \mathbb{A}^k(V)$  is to be alternating, every coefficient  $\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  with two or more of the  $i_p$ 's equal must vanish. Hence the sum over  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  is now restricted to permutations of  $j_1, \dots, j_k$  and

$$E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} = \sum_{\pi \in S_k} \alpha_{\pi}^{j_1, \dots, j_k} E^{j_{\pi(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{j_{\pi(k)}}$$

- Again since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\pi}^{j_1, \dots, j_k} &= E^{j_1, \dots, j_k}(\vec{e}_{j_{\pi(1)}}, \dots, \vec{e}_{j_{\pi(k)}}) = (-1)^\pi E^{j_1, \dots, j_k}(\vec{e}_{j_1}, \dots, \vec{e}_{j_k}) \\ &= (-1)^\pi \alpha_{\mathbb{1}}^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{1}$  is the identity permutation, we now have

$$E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} = \alpha_{\mathbb{1}}^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \sum_{\pi \in S_k} (-1)^\pi E^{j_{\pi(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{j_{\pi(k)}} = \alpha_{\mathbb{1}}^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \varepsilon^{j_1, \dots, j_k}$$

To satisfy (H1), it suffices to ensure that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{1}}^{j_{\pi(1)}, \dots, j_{\pi(k)}} = \alpha_{\mathbb{1}}^{j_1, \dots, j_k}$  for all  $2 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $\pi \in S_k$  and  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq d$ . As long as the  $\alpha_{\mathbb{1}}^{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ 's are nonzero, (H2) will also be satisfied. To simplify matters further, let's only consider  $\alpha_{\mathbb{1}}^{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ 's that depend only on  $k$ .

So select, for each  $2 \leq k \leq d$ , a nonzero constant  $a_k$  and define

$$E^{j_1, \dots, j_k} = a_k \varepsilon^{j_1, \dots, j_k} \quad (4)$$

for all  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq d$ . Let's determine the resulting wedge product  $A \wedge B$  of any  $A \in \mathbb{A}^\ell(V)$  and  $B \in \mathbb{A}^m(V)$ . First consider  $A = E^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{i_\ell}$  and  $B = E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_m}$ . By (2) and (3) and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} ((E^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{i_\ell}) \wedge (E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_m}))(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{\ell+m}) &= E^{i_1, \dots, i_\ell, j_1, \dots, j_m}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{\ell+m}) \\ &= a_{\ell+m} \varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_\ell, j_1, \dots, j_m}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{\ell+m}) \\ &= a_{\ell+m} \sum_{\pi' \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^{\pi'} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} E^{i_p}(\vec{v}_{\pi'(p)}) \prod_{p=1}^m E^{j_{\ell+p}}(\vec{v}_{\pi'(\ell+p)}) \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &= \frac{a_{\ell+m}}{\ell! m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_\ell} \sum_{\tau \in S_m} \sum_{\pi' \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^{\pi'} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} E^{i_p}(\vec{v}_{\pi'(p)}) \prod_{p=1}^m E^{j_{\ell+p}}(\vec{v}_{\pi'(\ell+p)}) \\ &= \frac{a_{\ell+m}}{\ell! m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_\ell} \sum_{\tau \in S_m} \sum_{\pi \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^\pi (-1)^\sigma (-1)^\tau \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} E^{i_p}(\vec{v}_{\pi(\sigma(p))}) \prod_{p=1}^m E^{j_{\ell+p}}(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+\tau(p))}) \\ &= \frac{a_{\ell+m}}{\ell! m!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^\pi \varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_\ell}(\vec{v}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell)}) \varepsilon^{j_1, \dots, j_m}(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+m)}) \\ &= \frac{a_{\ell+m}}{a_\ell a_m} \frac{1}{\ell! m!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^\pi E^{i_1, \dots, i_\ell}(\vec{v}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell)}) E^{j_1, \dots, j_m}(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+m)}) \\ &= \frac{a_{\ell+m}}{a_\ell a_m} \frac{1}{\ell! m!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^\pi (E^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{i_\ell})(\vec{v}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell)}) \\ &\quad (E^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{j_m})(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+m)}) \end{aligned}$$

In going from the fourth line to the fifth line we made the change of summation variable (in the  $\pi'$  sum, for each fixed  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ )  $\pi' = \pi \circ (\sigma, \tau)$ , where  $(\sigma, \tau) \in S_{k+\ell}$  acts by  $p \rightarrow \sigma(p)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \ell$  and  $\ell + p \rightarrow \ell + \tau(p)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq m$ . By distributivity, under the wedge product determined by (2) and (4), we have, for all  $A \in \mathbb{A}^\ell(V)$  and  $B \in \mathbb{A}^m(V)$

$$\begin{aligned} (A \wedge B)(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{\ell+m}) &= \frac{a_{\ell+m}}{a_\ell a_m} \frac{1}{\ell! m!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^\pi A(\vec{v}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell)}) B(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+m)}) \\ &= \frac{a_{\ell+m}}{a_\ell a_m} \sum_{(I, J) \in \Pi_{\ell, m}(\ell+m)} \text{sgn}(I, J) A(\vec{v}_I) B(\vec{v}_J) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Pi_{\ell, m}(\ell+m)$  is the set of all partitions of  $\{1, \dots, \ell+m\}$  into two disjoint subsets  $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_\ell\}$  and  $J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_m\}$  of size  $\ell$  and  $m$ , respectively, and  $\text{sgn}(I, J)$

is the sign of the permutation that reorders  $(i_1, \dots, i_\ell, j_1, \dots, j_m)$  to  $(1, \dots, \ell + m)$ . The shorthand notations  $\vec{v}_I = \vec{v}_{i_1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{i_\ell}$  and  $\vec{v}_J = \vec{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{j_m}$ .

There are two choices of the  $a_k$ 's that are in common use.

(C1) The first, which we shall use, is  $a_k = 1$ . For this choice (which is used in the introductory differential geometry texts by Warner, Lee, do Carmo and Spivak),

$$\begin{aligned} (A \wedge B)(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{\ell+m}) &= \frac{1}{\ell!} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^\pi A(\vec{v}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell)}) B(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+m)}) \\ &= \sum_{(I,J) \in \Pi_{\ell,m}(\ell+m)} \operatorname{sgn}(I, J) A(\vec{v}_I) B(\vec{v}_J) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (E^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{i_k})(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k) &= \varepsilon^{i_1, \dots, i_k}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k) = \sum_{\pi \in S_k} (-1)^\pi \prod_{\ell=1}^k E^{i_\ell}(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell)}) \\ &= \det [E^{i_\ell}(\vec{v}_m)]_{1 \leq \ell, m \leq k} \end{aligned}$$

This choice has the advantage that, in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the standard basis,

$$(E^1 \wedge \dots \wedge E^d)(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_d) = \det [v_m^\ell]_{1 \leq \ell, m \leq d}$$

(where  $v_m^\ell$  is the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\vec{v}_m$ ) is just the usual volume of the parallelepiped with edges  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_d$ .

(C2) The second is  $a_k = \frac{1}{k!}$ . For this choice (which is used in the text by Kobayashi and Nomizu),

$$(A \wedge B)(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{\ell+m}) = \frac{1}{(\ell+m)!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{\ell+m}} (-1)^\pi A(\vec{v}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell)}) B(\vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+1)}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\pi(\ell+m)})$$

and

$$(E^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{i_k})(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k) = \frac{1}{(\ell+m)!} \det [E^{i_\ell}(\vec{v}_m)]_{1 \leq \ell, m \leq k}$$

This choice has the advantage that  $E^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{i_k}$  is the unique multilinear form in the equivalence class  $[e^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{i_k}]$  that is alternating.