

Interchanging the Order of Summation

Corollary (Interchanging the Order of Summation)

$$\text{If } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| < \infty \quad \text{then} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{jk}$$

Remark. The hypothesis $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| < \infty$ really means that

$$\text{for each } j \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| = M_j < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j < \infty$$

The two double sums in the conclusion really mean

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} \right] = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jk} \right] \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

That all of these limits exist is part of the conclusion of the corollary.

This result is a corollary of the following theorem, which has already been proven in class.

Theorem. Let X be a metric space, $E \subset X$ and $p \in E'$, the set of limit points of E . Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and assume that

- (H1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = f(t)$ uniformly on E and
- (H2) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow p} f_n(t) = A_n$ exists

Then

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$ exists and
- (b) $\lim_{t \rightarrow p} f(t) = A$. That is, $\lim_{t \rightarrow p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow p} f_n(t)$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
t \\
\downarrow \\
p
\end{array}
\left\{ \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\overbrace{f_1(t) \quad f_2(t) \quad f_3(t) \quad \cdots}^{n \rightarrow \infty} & \xrightarrow{\text{unif}} & f(t) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow(b) \\
A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \cdots & \xrightarrow{(a)} & A
\end{array} \right.$$

Proof of the Corollary: Set $X = \mathbb{R}$, $E = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}, \dots\}$ and $p = 0$. Write $\frac{1}{m} = t_m$ and define

$$f_n(t_m) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \quad f(t_m) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}$$

The infinite sum in the definition of $f(t_m)$ converges by comparison with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j$.

Verification of (H1): For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \right| \leq M_j$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. So the Weierstrass M -test implies that f_n converges to f , uniformly on E .

Verification of (H2): For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk}$ converges absolutely by the hypothesis that

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| = M_j < \infty$. So

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} = A_n$$

exists.

So the theorem now tells is that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(t_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}$$

exist and are equal. ■

Example. Here is an example which illustrates the need for the hypothesis that the double sum converges absolutely. We choose

$$a_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = j + 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } k = j - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This example is rigged to give the partial sums

$$S_{mn} = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n \\ 2 & \text{if } n > m \\ 0 & \text{if } n < m \end{cases}$$

Pictorially

a_{jk}	$k \rightarrow$					
j	1	1	0	0	0	...
↓	-1	0	1	0	0	...
	0	-1	0	1	0	...
	0	0	-1	0	1	
⋮	⋮		⋱	⋱	⋱	⋱

S_{mn}	$n \rightarrow$						
m	1	2	2	2	...	→	2
↓	0	1	2	2	...	→	2
	0	0	1	2	...	→	2
	0	0	0	1			
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	0			↓
	↓	↓	↓	↓		↘	2
	0	0	0	0	→	0	1

For any fixed n , $S_{m,n} = 0$ for all $m > n$ and so converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0$$

Similarly, for each fixed m , $S_{m,n} = 2$ for all $n > m$ and so converges to 2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,n} \right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2 = 2$$

And the sequence $S_{m,m} = 1$ converges to 1 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. So

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,m} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1$$