

# Interchanging the Order of Summation

## Corollary (Interchanging the Order of Summation)

$$\text{If } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| < \infty \quad \text{then} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{jk}$$

**Remark.** The hypothesis  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| < \infty$  really means that

$$\text{for each } j \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| = M_j < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j < \infty$$

The two double sums in the conclusion really mean

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} \right] = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jk} \right] \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

That all of these limits exist is part of the conclusion of the corollary.

This result is a corollary of the following theorem, which has already been proven in class.

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a metric space,  $E \subset X$  and  $p \in E'$ , the set of limit points of  $E$ . Let  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and assume that

- (H1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = f(t)$  uniformly on  $E$  and
- (H2) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow p} f_n(t) = A_n$  exists

Then

- (a)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$  exists and
- (b)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow p} f(t) = A$ . That is,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow p} f_n(t)$ .

$$\begin{array}{c}
t \\
\downarrow \\
p
\end{array}
\left\{ \begin{array}{ccccccc}
\overbrace{f_1(t) \quad f_2(t) \quad f_3(t) \quad \cdots}^{n \rightarrow \infty} & \xrightarrow{\text{unif}} & f(t) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow (b) \\
A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \cdots & \xrightarrow{(a)} & A
\end{array} \right.$$

**Proof of the Corollary:** Set  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}, \dots\}$  and  $p = 0$ . Write  $\frac{1}{m} = t_m$  and define

$$f_n(t_m) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \quad f(t_m) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}$$

The infinite sum in the definition of  $f(t_m)$  converges by comparison with  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j$ .

*Verification of (H1):* For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} \right| \leq M_j$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . So the Weierstrass  $M$ -test implies that  $f_n$  converges to  $f$ , uniformly on  $E$ .

*Verification of (H2):* For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk}$  converges absolutely by the hypothesis that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| = M_j < \infty$ . So

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} = A_n$$

exists.

So the theorem now tells is that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(t_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}$$

exist and are equal. ■

**Example.** Here is an example which illustrates the need for the hypothesis that the double sum converges absolutely. We choose

$$a_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = j + 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } k = j - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This example is rigged to give the partial sums

$$S_{mn} = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n \\ 2 & \text{if } n > m \\ 0 & \text{if } n < m \end{cases}$$

Pictorially

$a_{jk}$	$k \rightarrow$					
$j$	1	1	0	0	0	...
↓	-1	0	1	0	0	...
	0	-1	0	1	0	...
	0	0	-1	0	1	
⋮	⋮		⋱	⋱	⋱	⋱

$S_{mn}$	$n \rightarrow$					
$m$	1	2	2	2	...	→
↓	0	1	2	2	...	→
	0	0	1	2	...	→
	0	0	0	1		
⋮	⋮	⋮	0			
↓	↓	↓	↓		↘	
	0	0	0	0	→	0
						1

For any fixed  $n$ ,  $S_{m,n} = 0$  for all  $m > n$  and so converges to 0 as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0$$

Similarly, for each fixed  $m$ ,  $S_{m,n} = 2$  for all  $n > m$  and so converges to 2 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,n} \right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2 = 2$$

And the sequence  $S_{m,m} = 1$  converges to 1 as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . So

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,m} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1$$