

Equicontinuous Functions

Theorem (Arzelà–Ascoli⁽¹⁾) Let K be a compact metric space, with metric $d_K(p, p')$, and let $\mathcal{C}(K)$ denote the space of real (or complex) valued continuous functions on K . If $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{C}(K)$ obeying

- (H1) for each $p \in K$ there is a $\phi(p) > 0$ such that $|f_n(p)| \leq \phi(p)$ for all $p \in K$ (in which case the sequence is said to be pointwise bounded) and
 (H2) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $d_K(p, p') < \delta \implies |f_n(p) - f_n(p')| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (in which case the sequence is said to be equicontinuous)

then

- (a) there is an $M > 0$ such that $|f_n(p)| \leq M$ for all $p \in K$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (in which case the sequence is said to be uniformly bounded) and
 (b) the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains a uniformly convergent subsequence.

Remark.

(a) In hypothesis (H1), there is no requirement that $\phi(p)$ be a bounded function of p . For example, it is perfectly possible to have a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions on $[0, 1]$ with $f_n(0) = \phi(0) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|f_n(x)| \leq \phi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, 1]$. Such a sequence of functions would be pointwise bounded, but not uniformly bounded. Conclusion (a) asserts that, if hypothesis (H2) is also satisfied, then it is possible to choose $\phi(p)$ to be a bounded function.

(b) Any continuous function on any compact metric space, like each f_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is automatically uniformly continuous. This means that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $\delta > 0$ (which, however, may depend on both ε and n) such that $|f_n(p) - f_n(p')| < \varepsilon$ for all $p, p' \in K$ with $d_K(p, p') < \delta$. Hypothesis (H2) further requires the existence of a δ which works simultaneously for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, set, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n(x) = \sin(nx)$. Then each f_n is uniformly continuous on $K = [0, 2\pi]$ (given any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$, just because $|f'_n(x)| \leq n$), but the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not equicontinuous.

The proof is given in four steps, with the first two steps encapsulated in lemmas.

Lemma 1 *There is a countable subset $\{p_\ell \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset K$ that is dense in K .*

Proof: We have to find a countable subset $\{p_\ell \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset K$ with the property that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $p \in K$, there is some p_ℓ , $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, whose distance from p is less than ε . Since K is compact, it is totally bounded. This means that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, K can be covered by a finite union of balls of radius ε . In particular, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, K is covered by finitely many open balls of radius $\frac{1}{m}$. That is, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there are finitely many points $q_{m,1}, \dots, q_{m,n_m}$ in K (the centres of the open balls) such that each point of K is less than distance $\frac{1}{m}$ from at least one of $q_{m,1}, \dots, q_{m,n_m}$. Then

$$\{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots\} = \left\{ \overbrace{q_{1,1}, \dots, q_{1,n_1}}^{m=1}, \overbrace{q_{2,1}, \dots, q_{2,n_2}}^{m=2}, \overbrace{q_{3,1}, \dots, q_{3,n_3}}^{m=3}, \dots \right\}$$

does the job. To see this, pick any $p \in K$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. Then pick an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$. There is some $1 \leq j \leq n_m$, and correspondingly some $q_{m,j}$ in the sequence, such that $d_K(p, q_{m,j}) < \frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$. ■

⁽¹⁾ Cesare Arzelà (1847–1912) and Gulio Ascoli (1843–1896) were both Italian mathematicians

Lemma 2 Let $\{f_n\}$ be a pointwise bounded sequence of functions defined on the countable set $\{p_\ell \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that $\{f_{n_k}(p_\ell)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: I'll give the proof assuming that the functions are real valued. For the proof in the complex valued case, just replace \mathbb{R} with \mathbb{C} .

- First concentrate on p_1 . By hypothesis, there is an $M_1 > 0$ such that $|f_n(p_1)| \leq M_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the closed ball $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid |x| \leq M_1\}$ is compact, there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}(p_1)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges in \mathbb{R} . Rename n_j to $N_1(j)$. Think of N_1 as a function defined on \mathbb{N} . The statement that $\{f_{N_1(j)}(p_1)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n(p_1)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ means, firstly, that the range of the function N_1 is a subset of \mathbb{N} and, secondly, that N_1 is strictly increasing.
- Now concentrate on p_2 . By hypothesis, there is an $M_2 > 0$ such that $|f_{N_1(j)}(p_2)| \leq M_2$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the closed ball $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid |x| \leq M_2\}$ is compact, there is a subsequence $\{f_{N_1(j_k)}(p_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{f_{N_1(j)}(p_2)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges in \mathbb{R} . Rename $N_1(j_k)$ to $N_2(k)$. Again, think of N_2 as a function defined on \mathbb{N} . The statement that $\{f_{N_2(k)}(p_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_{N_1(j)}(p_2)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ means, firstly, that the range of the function N_2 is a subset of the range of N_1 and, secondly, that N_2 is strictly increasing.
- Continuing in this way we can construct, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, a subsequence $\{f_{N_\ell(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{f_n\}$ for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{N_\ell(n)}(p_\ell)$ exists. Furthermore, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{f_{N_\ell(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_{N_{\ell-1}(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. (For $\ell = 1$, set $N_{\ell-1}(n) = N_0(n) = n$.) That is, N_ℓ is a strictly increasing function whose range is contained in the range of $N_{\ell-1}$.

Here is a table giving one possible set of $N_\ell(n)$'s for small values of ℓ and n . The top row gives the indices for the original sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The second row gives the indices for the first subsequence $\{f_{N_1(j)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. And so on.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	...
	$N_1(1) = 2$		$N_1(2) = 4$		$N_1(3) = 6$	$N_1(4) = 7$		$N_1(5) = 9$		
			$N_2(1) = 4$			$N_2(2) = 7$		$N_2(3) = 9$		
			$N_3(1) = 4$					$N_3(2) = 9$		

I claim that $\{f_{N_n(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{N_n(n)}(p_\ell)$ converges for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

- To verify that $\{f_{N_n(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a legitimate subsequence of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, I just have to verify that $N_n(n)$ is strictly increasing in n . This is the case because, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $N_{n+1}(n+1) > N_{n+1}(n) \geq N_n(n)$. (The first inequality is true because N_{n+1} is a strictly increasing function. The second inequality is true because $\{f_{N_{n+1}(j)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_{N_n(j)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.)
- Fix any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. To verify that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{N_n(n)}(p_\ell)$ converges, we now show that $\{f_{N_n(n)}(p_\ell)\}_{n \geq \ell}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_{N_\ell(n)}(p_\ell)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which we already know converges. We already know that $N_n(n)$ is strictly increasing in n . So to show that $\{f_{N_n(n)}(p_\ell)\}_{n \geq \ell}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_{N_\ell(n)}(p_\ell)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we just have to show that, for each $n \geq \ell$, $N_n(n)$ lies in the range of N_ℓ . But, for any $n \geq \ell$, $N_n(n)$ lies in the range of N_n , which is a subset of the range of N_{n-1} , which is a subset of the range of N_{n-2} , \dots , which is a subset of the range of N_ℓ . ■

Proof of Arzelà–Ascoli (a): Let δ be the δ provided by (H2) for $\varepsilon = 1$. Since K is compact, it is totally bounded. Hence there are finitely many points p_1, \dots, p_r in K such that every $p \in K$ is (strictly) within a distance δ of at least one of p_1, \dots, p_r . Let $M = \max\{\phi(p_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\} + 1$, where $\phi(p)$ was provided by (H1). Let $p \in K$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let p be (strictly) within a distance δ of p_i . Then, by (H2),

$$|f_n(p)| \leq |f_n(p) - f_n(p_i)| + |f_n(p_i)| < \varepsilon + \phi(p_i) \leq M$$
■

Proof of Arzelà–Ascoli (b): Let $\{p_\ell \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the countable dense subset of K provided by Lemma 1 and let $\{f_{n_k}\}$ be the subsequence provided by Lemma 2. Rename f_{n_k} to g_k . We show that $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly. To do so, it suffices to prove that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$i, j \geq N \implies |g_i(p) - g_j(p)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } p \in K$$

So let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let δ be the δ provided by (H2) for $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Since K is compact, it is totally bounded. Hence there are finitely many points q_1, \dots, q_r in K such that every $p \in K$ is (strictly) within a distance $\frac{\delta}{2}$ of at least one of q_1, \dots, q_r . Since $\{p_\ell \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in K , there is, for each $1 \leq m \leq r$, a p_{ℓ_m} that is within a distance $\frac{\delta}{2}$ of q_m . So each $p \in K$ is within distance δ of at least one of $p_{\ell_1}, \dots, p_{\ell_r}$. For each $1 \leq m \leq r$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(p_{\ell_m})$ converges, by Lemma 2, so there is an $N_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$i, j \geq N_m \implies |g_i(p_{\ell_m}) - g_j(p_{\ell_m})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

Hence, for any $p \in K$ and any $i, j \geq N = \max_{1 \leq m \leq r} N_m$,

$$|g_i(p) - g_j(p)| \leq |g_i(p) - g_i(p_{\ell_m})| + |g_i(p_{\ell_m}) - g_j(p_{\ell_m})| + |g_j(p_{\ell_m}) - g_j(p)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon$$

as desired. Here the m used is one for which $d_K(p, p_{\ell_m}) < \delta$. Hypothesis (H2) was used to bound both $|g_i(p) - g_i(p_{\ell_m})|$ and $|g_j(p_{\ell_m}) - g_j(p)|$ by $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. ■

Corollary. Let $a < b$ and $c \in [a, b]$. Let $\{f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of differentiable functions obeying

$$\sup \{ |f_n(c)| \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup \{ |f'_n(x)| \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [a, b] \} < \infty$$

Then $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a uniformly convergent subsequence.

Proof: We apply the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem with $K = [a, b]$. Set

$$M = \sup \{ |f_n(c)| \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad \text{and} \quad M' = \sup \{ |f'_n(\xi)| \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in [a, b] \}$$

To verify hypothesis (H2), observe that, by the mean value theorem,

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| = |f'_n(\xi)| |x - y| \leq M' |x - y|$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in [a, b]$. So it suffices to take $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M'}$. To verify hypothesis (H1), observe that, again by the mean value theorem,

$$|f_n(x)| \leq |f_n(c)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(c)| \leq |f_n(c)| + |f'_n(\xi)| |x - c| \leq M + M' |x - c|$$

So it suffices to take $\phi(x) = M + M' |x - c|$. ■