## Time reversibility

Let's assume that X(t) is irreducible and has a stationary distribution  $\overline{\pi}$ . Assume also that  $X(0) \sim \overline{\pi}$ , so that for all t,  $X(t) \sim \overline{\pi}$ .

The reversed process. Now fix a large t, and considere the reverse chain  $\overline{X}(s) := X(t-s)$  for  $0 \le s \le t$ . We first claim that conditional on  $\overline{X}(0)$ , the true  $\overline{X}$  spends in state i is  $\exp(\gamma_i)$ , just like X. Indeed,

$$P(\overline{X}(s)=i \text{ for } se[0,r] | \overline{X}(0)=i)$$

$$= P(X(t-s)=i \text{ for } se[0,r] | X(t)=i)$$

$$= P(X(s)=i \text{ for } se[t-r,t] | X(t)=i)$$

$$= \frac{P(X(s)=i \text{ for } se[t-r,t])}{P(X(t)=i)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X(s)=i \text{ for } se[t-r,t] | X(t-r)=i) P(X(t-r)=i)}{P(X(t)=i)}$$

$$= \frac{P(T_i \ge r) \pi_i}{\pi_i} = e^{-\gamma_i S}$$

Therefore, the time  $\overline{X}$  spends in state  $\overline{i}$  is also Exp(Vi). Moreover, note that the embedded chain of  $\overline{X}$  is the timereversal of the embedded chain of X. Thus, by the results for discret-time MC, the embedded chain of  $\overline{X}$  has transitions  $q_{\overline{i}} = \underbrace{\int}_{\overline{U_i}} \underbrace{P_i}_{\overline{U_i}}$ , where  $\overline{U_i}$  is the stationary distribution

of the embedded chain for X, which we showed in the  
last lecture satisfies 
$$T_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{v_i} \cdot \frac{1}{z}$$
 anounalizing constant.

=> The embedded chain of 
$$\overline{X}$$
 has transitions  
 $q_{ij} = \frac{\pi_i \gamma_j \overline{z}}{\pi_i \gamma_i \overline{z}} = \frac{\pi_i \gamma_j \overline{p_{ji}}}{\pi_i \gamma_i}$ .

Therefore, 
$$\overline{X}$$
 is the same as  $X$  if  $Pij = 9ij$ , i.e.,  
 $Pij = \overline{Ti} \frac{Yi}{J} \frac{Yi}{J} \frac{Pji}{I} \stackrel{(=)}{=} \overline{Ti} \frac{Yi}{J} \frac{Pji}{J} \stackrel{(=)}{=} \overline{Ti} \frac{Yi}{J} \frac{Pji}{J} \stackrel{(=)}{=} \overline{Ti} \frac{Yi}{J} \frac{Pji}{J} \stackrel{(=)}{=} \overline{Ti} \frac{Yi}{J} \frac{Pji}{J} \stackrel{(=)}{=} \overline{Ti} \frac{Pji}{J} \frac{Pji}{J} \frac{Pji}{J} \frac{Pji}{J} \stackrel{(=)}{=} \overline{Ti} \frac{Pji}{J} \frac{Pji}$ 

Defruition: The CTHC is true-reversible of it has a stationary distribution  $\pi$  and  $\pi_i q_{ij} = \pi_j q_{ji}$  for all states i and j. Live in the discrete case, The left-hand side  $\pi_i q_{ij} = \pi_i \mathcal{V}_i \rho_{ij}$ can be interpreted as the frequency at which the chain jumps from i to j, and the RHS is  $\pi_j q_{ji} = \pi_j \mathcal{V}_j \rho_{ji}$  the frequency at which if jumps from j to i.

## Example: Birth and death processes:

<u>Proposition</u>: Any birth and death process with a stationary distribution is time-reversible. <u>Proof idea</u>: Between two transitions  $i \rightarrow ith -i$   $i \rightarrow ith$ , the process has to go from  $ith \rightarrow i$  exactly once. Hence, in the long run, it will jump as many trues from i to its as from its to i. Moreover, for [i-j]>1, both sides will be D.  $\frac{ho}{\lambda} \frac{H/H/s}{gueue}: s \text{ servers, new customers are present, frey leave}$   $\lambda \text{ Poisson process, When n customers are present, frey leave}$   $at \text{ rate} \qquad \mathcal{M}_n = \begin{cases} n\mu & \text{if } n \in S \\ s\mu & \text{if } n \in S \\ s\mu & \text{if } n \geq S \\ \text{the clients form a Poisson process with rate } \lambda.$   $\frac{Prod}{r}:$   $Stationary \text{ distribution}: \quad \pi_i = \frac{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{i-1}}{r_i} \pi_0$   $r_i = \begin{cases} \lambda_i^i & \text{if } s \\ \mu^i, \text{i!} \\ s\mu^i, \text{s! } s^{2-S} & \text{if } \geq S \\ S & \text{if } s^i \\ s\mu^i & \text{s!} \end{cases}$   $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{s_i^i}{(s_i^i)} \frac{s_i^i}{s!}$   $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{s_i^i}{(s_i^i)} \frac{s_i^i}{s!}$ 

converges L=> X<SM.

let X(t) be the number of customers present at true t.



Y(t) = # of people who have left by time t.

By the proposition, X(t) is true-reversible. Therefore, the reverse process  $\overline{X}(s)$  has the same rates  $v_i$  and transition probabilities  $p_{ij}$ . By definitive of X(t), the trues X increases by 1 form a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ . Therefore, the times when  $\overline{X}(s)$  increases by 1 also form a Poisson (N) process. But those are exactly the trues when X(t)decreases by 1, i.e. a client leaves.