

# Algebraic approximations to linear combinations of powers: an extension of results by Mahler and Corvaja-Zannier

Khoa D. Nguyen

Department of Mathematics  
University of British Columbia  
and Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences

June 2016

For  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\|x\| := \min\{|x - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Fix  $k \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ , study:

$$\|q_1 \alpha_1^n + \dots + q_k \alpha_k^n\|$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_k \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  having small logarithmic height compared to  $n$ . Special case: linear recurrence sequences.

For  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\|x\| := \min\{|x - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Fix  $k \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ , study:

$$\|q_1 \alpha_1^n + \dots + q_k \alpha_k^n\|$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_k \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$  having small logarithmic height compared to  $n$ . Special case: linear recurrence sequences.

- K. Mahler, *On the fractional parts of the powers of a rational number (II)*, *Mathematika* **4** (1957), 122–124.
- P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, *On the rational approximations to the powers of an algebraic number: Solution to two problems of Mahler and Mendès France*, *Acta Mathematica* **193** (2004), 175–191.
- A. Kulkarni, N. M. Mavraki, and K. N., *Algebraic approximations to linear combinations of powers: an extension of results by Mahler and Corvaja-Zannier*, arXiv:1511.08525.

# Waring's Problem

Lagrange proves that every natural number is the sum of four squares, then:

## Problem (Waring)

*Given  $n \geq 2$ , does there exist a (minimal) number  $g(n)$  such that every natural number is the sum of  $g(n)$   $n$ -th powers of natural numbers? Eg:  $g(2) = 4$ .*

Thanks to work of many mathematicians, we have:

## Theorem

*$g(n)$  always exists. In fact, if  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n \geq (3/4)^n$  then  $g(n) = 2^n + \lfloor (3/2)^n \rfloor - 2$ . If  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n < (3/4)^n$ , then  $g(n)$  has another explicit formula.*

# Waring's Problem

Lagrange proves that every natural number is the sum of four squares, then:

## Problem (Waring)

*Given  $n \geq 2$ , does there exist a (minimal) number  $g(n)$  such that every natural number is the sum of  $g(n)$   $n$ -th powers of natural numbers? Eg:  $g(2) = 4$ .*

Thanks to work of many mathematicians, we have:

## Theorem

*$g(n)$  always exists. In fact, if  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n \geq (3/4)^n$  then  $g(n) = 2^n + \lfloor (3/2)^n \rfloor - 2$ . If  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n < (3/4)^n$ , then  $g(n)$  has another explicit formula.*

## Conjecture

$\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n \geq (3/4)^n$  for every  $n \geq 2$ .

## Theorem (Mahler, 1957)

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $\alpha > 1$ . Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then there are only finitely many  $n$  such that  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$ .

## Corollary

Since  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n \geq \|(3/2)^n\|$ , there are at most finitely many  $n$  such that  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n < (3/4)^n$ .

## Conjecture

$\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n \geq (3/4)^n$  for every  $n \geq 2$ .

## Theorem (Mahler, 1957)

*Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $\alpha > 1$ . Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then there are only finitely many  $n$  such that  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$ .*

## Corollary

*Since  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n \geq \|(3/2)^n\|$ , there are at most finitely many  $n$  such that  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n < (3/4)^n$ .*



## Conjecture

$\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n \geq (3/4)^n$  for every  $n \geq 2$ .

## Theorem (Mahler, 1957)

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $\alpha > 1$ . Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then there are only finitely many  $n$  such that  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$ .

## Corollary

Since  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n \geq \|(3/2)^n\|$ , there are at most finitely many  $n$  such that  $\lceil (3/2)^n \rceil - (3/2)^n < (3/4)^n$ .

# Mahler's Question

Observe:  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)^n + \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\right)^n \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence if  $\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} < \theta < 1$ , then  $\left\| \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)^n \right\| < \theta^n$  for every  $n$ .

Question (Mahler, 1957)

*Characterize all the real algebraic numbers  $\alpha > 1$  such that for every  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  there are at most finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$ .*

# Mahler's Question

Observe:  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)^n + \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\right)^n \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence if  $\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} < \theta < 1$ , then  $\left\| \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)^n \right\| < \theta^n$  for every  $n$ .

## Question (Mahler, 1957)

*Characterize all the real algebraic numbers  $\alpha > 1$  such that for every  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  there are at most finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$ .*

# Corvaja-Zannier Theorem

In 2004, Corvaja and Zannier prove that all counter-examples arise “in the same manner as  $(\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ ”.

$\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$  is an example of Pisot numbers:

## Definition

A Pisot number is a real algebraic integer greater than 1 while all the other conjugates have modulus less than 1.

# Corvaja-Zannier Theorem

In 2004, Corvaja and Zannier prove that all counter-examples arise “in the same manner as  $(\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ ”.

$\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$  is an example of Pisot numbers:

## Definition

A Pisot number is a real algebraic integer greater than 1 while all the other conjugates have modulus less than 1.

# Corvaja-Zannier Theorem

If  $\alpha$  is Pisot, by taking the trace of  $\alpha^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have that there exists  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

Theorem (Corvaja-Zannier, 2004)

*Let  $\alpha > 1$  be a real algebraic number. Assume that for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$ . Then there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha^m$  is a Pisot number.*

# Corvaja-Zannier Theorem

If  $\alpha$  is Pisot, by taking the trace of  $\alpha^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have that there exists  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

## Theorem (Corvaja-Zannier, 2004)

*Let  $\alpha > 1$  be a real algebraic number. Assume that for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|\alpha^n\| < \theta^n$ . Then there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha^m$  is a Pisot number.*

# Linear Recurrence Sequences

A linear recurrence sequence  $a_n$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  has the form:

$$a_n = Q_1(n)\alpha_1^n + \dots + Q_k(n)\alpha_k^n$$

for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $Q_i(x) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$ , and  $\alpha_i \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . So  $\{\alpha^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is linear recurrence.

## Problem

**Characterize the  $Q_i$ 's and  $\alpha_i$ 's such that for every  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , there are only finitely many  $n$  satisfying  $\|a_n\| < \theta^n$ .**

We actually handle the more general sum

$$q_1\alpha_1^n + \dots + q_k\alpha_k^n$$

where  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k)$  varies with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the  $q_i$ 's are algebraic numbers having small logarithmic height compared to  $n$ .



# Linear Recurrence Sequences

A linear recurrence sequence  $a_n$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  has the form:

$$a_n = Q_1(n)\alpha_1^n + \dots + Q_k(n)\alpha_k^n$$

for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $Q_i(x) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$ , and  $\alpha_i \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . So  $\{\alpha^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is linear recurrence.

## Problem

**Characterize the  $Q_i$ 's and  $\alpha_i$ 's such that for every  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , there are only finitely many  $n$  satisfying  $\|a_n\| < \theta^n$ .**

We actually handle the more general sum

$$q_1\alpha_1^n + \dots + q_k\alpha_k^n$$

where  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k)$  varies with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the  $q_i$ 's are algebraic numbers having small logarithmic height compared to  $n$ .

# Linear Recurrence Sequences

A linear recurrence sequence  $a_n$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  has the form:

$$a_n = Q_1(n)\alpha_1^n + \dots + Q_k(n)\alpha_k^n$$

for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $Q_i(x) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$ , and  $\alpha_i \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . So  $\{\alpha^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is linear recurrence.

## Problem

**Characterize the  $Q_i$ 's and  $\alpha_i$ 's such that for every  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , there are only finitely many  $n$  satisfying  $\|a_n\| < \theta^n$ .**

We actually handle the more general sum

$$q_1\alpha_1^n + \dots + q_k\alpha_k^n$$

where  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k)$  varies with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the  $q_i$ 's are algebraic numbers having small logarithmic height compared to  $n$ .

# Pseudo-Pisot Triples

$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ : distinct non-zero algebraic numbers, let:

$$B = \{\beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\} : \beta = \sigma(\beta_i) \text{ for some } i \text{ and } \sigma \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}\}.$$

$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  is *pseudo-Pisot* if  $|\beta| < 1$  for every  $\beta \in B$  and:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i + \sum_{\beta \in B} \beta \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, if  $\beta_i$  is an algebraic integer for every  $i$ , we say that  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  is *Pisot*.

# Pseudo-Pisot Triples

$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ : distinct non-zero algebraic numbers, let:

$$B = \{\beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\} : \beta = \sigma(\beta_i) \text{ for some } i \text{ and } \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}\}.$$

$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  is *pseudo-Pisot* if  $|\beta| < 1$  for every  $\beta \in B$  and:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i + \sum_{\beta \in B} \beta \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, if  $\beta_i$  is an algebraic integer for every  $i$ , we say that  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  is *Pisot*.

# Pseudo-Pisot Triples

$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ : distinct non-zero algebraic numbers, let:

$$B = \{\beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\} : \beta = \sigma(\beta_i) \text{ for some } i \text{ and } \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}\}.$$

$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  is *pseudo-Pisot* if  $|\beta| < 1$  for every  $\beta \in B$  and:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i + \sum_{\beta \in B} \beta \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, if  $\beta_i$  is an algebraic integer for every  $i$ , we say that  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  is *Pisot*.

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ . **May assume  $|\alpha_j| \geq 1$  for every  $j$ , and assume  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is non-degenerate (i.e.  $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j}$  is not a root of unity).**

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a *sublinear function* which means

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = 0.$$

$\mu$ : the group of roots of unity. Let  $K$  be a number field, we prove:

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ . **May assume**  $|\alpha_j| \geq 1$  for every  $i$ , and **assume**  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is non-degenerate (i.e.  $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j}$  is not a root of unity).

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a *sublinear function* which means

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = 0.$$

$\mu$ : the group of roots of unity. Let  $K$  be a number field, we prove:

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ . **May assume**  $|\alpha_j| \geq 1$  for every  $i$ , and **assume**  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is non-degenerate (i.e.  $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j}$  is not a root of unity).

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a *sublinear function* which means

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = 0.$$

$\mu$ : the group of roots of unity. Let  $K$  be a number field, we prove:



## Theorem (Kulkarni-Mavraki-N., 2015)

Assume that for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathbb{N} \times (K^*)^n$  satisfying:

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n \right\| < \theta^n \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} h(q_i) < f(n)$$

is infinite. For all but finitely many  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ :

- (i) The tuple  $(q_1 \alpha_1^n, \dots, q_k \alpha_k^n)$  is pseudo-Pisot.
- (ii) Every  $\alpha_j$  is an algebraic integer.
- (iii) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ ,  $\sigma(q_i \alpha_i^n) = q_j \alpha_j^n$  iff  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \in \mu$ .
- (iv) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , if  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \notin \mu$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  then  $|\sigma(\alpha_i)| < 1$ .

## Theorem (Kulkarni-Mavraki-N., 2015)

Assume that for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathbb{N} \times (K^*)^n$  satisfying:

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n \right\| < \theta^n \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} h(q_i) < f(n)$$

is infinite. For all but finitely many  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ :

- (i) The tuple  $(q_1 \alpha_1^n, \dots, q_k \alpha_k^n)$  is pseudo-Pisot.
- (ii) Every  $\alpha_j$  is an algebraic integer.
- (iii) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ ,  $\sigma(q_i \alpha_i^n) = q_j \alpha_j^n$  iff  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \in \mu$ .
- (iv) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , if  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \notin \mu$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  then  $|\sigma(\alpha_i)| < 1$ .

## Theorem (Kulkarni-Mavraki-N., 2015)

Assume that for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathbb{N} \times (K^*)^n$  satisfying:

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n \right\| < \theta^n \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} h(q_i) < f(n)$$

is infinite. For all but finitely many  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ :

- (i) The tuple  $(q_1 \alpha_1^n, \dots, q_k \alpha_k^n)$  is pseudo-Pisot.
- (ii) Every  $\alpha_j$  is an algebraic integer.
- (iii) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ ,  $\sigma(q_i \alpha_i^n) = q_j \alpha_j^n$  iff  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \in \mu$ .
- (iv) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , if  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \notin \mu$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  then  $|\sigma(\alpha_i)| < 1$ .

## Theorem (Kulkarni-Mavraki-N., 2015)

Assume that for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathbb{N} \times (K^*)^n$  satisfying:

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n \right\| < \theta^n \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} h(q_i) < f(n)$$

is infinite. For all but finitely many  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ :

- (i) The tuple  $(q_1 \alpha_1^n, \dots, q_k \alpha_k^n)$  is pseudo-Pisot.
- (ii) Every  $\alpha_j$  is an algebraic integer.
- (iii) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ ,  $\sigma(q_i \alpha_i^n) = q_j \alpha_j^n$  iff  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \in \mu$ .
- (iv) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , if  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \notin \mu$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  then  $|\sigma(\alpha_i)| < 1$ .

## Theorem (Kulkarni-Mavraki-N., 2015)

Assume that for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathbb{N} \times (K^*)^n$  satisfying:

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n \right\| < \theta^n \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} h(q_i) < f(n)$$

is infinite. For all but finitely many  $(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ :

- (i) The tuple  $(q_1 \alpha_1^n, \dots, q_k \alpha_k^n)$  is pseudo-Pisot.
- (ii) Every  $\alpha_i$  is an algebraic integer.
- (iii) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ ,  $\sigma(q_i \alpha_i^n) = q_j \alpha_j^n$  iff  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \in \mu$ .
- (iv) For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , if  $\frac{\sigma(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_j} \notin \mu$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  then  $|\sigma(\alpha_i)| < 1$ .

# What it says in down-to-earth terms

When  $k = 1$  and  $q_1 = 1$ , (i)-(ii) recover Corvaja-Zannier result.

Plainly, the properties (i)-(iv) say that for all but finitely many

$(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ , the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n$  is a subsum of the larger

sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n + \sum_{\ell=1}^m r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$  satisfying:

- This larger sum is an integer.
- The  $r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$ 's are exactly the Galois conjugates of the  $q_i \alpha_i^n$ 's that do not appear in  $\{q_i \alpha_i^n : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ .
- For  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ ,  $|\beta_\ell| < 1$  and  $h(r_\ell) < f(n)$ .

# What it says in down-to-earth terms

When  $k = 1$  and  $q_1 = 1$ , (i)-(ii) recover Corvaja-Zannier result.

Plainly, the properties (i)-(iv) say that for all but finitely many

$(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ , the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n$  is a subsum of the larger

sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n + \sum_{\ell=1}^m r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$  satisfying:

- This larger sum is an integer.
- The  $r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$ 's are exactly the Galois conjugates of the  $q_i \alpha_i^n$ 's that do not appear in  $\{q_i \alpha_i^n : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ .
- For  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ ,  $|\beta_\ell| < 1$  and  $h(r_\ell) < f(n)$ .

# What it says in down-to-earth terms

When  $k = 1$  and  $q_1 = 1$ , (i)-(ii) recover Corvaja-Zannier result.

Plainly, the properties (i)-(iv) say that for all but finitely many

$(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ , the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n$  is a subsum of the larger

sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n + \sum_{\ell=1}^m r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$  satisfying:

- This larger sum is an integer.
- The  $r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$ 's are exactly the Galois conjugates of the  $q_i \alpha_i^n$ 's that do not appear in  $\{q_i \alpha_i^n : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ .
- For  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ ,  $|\beta_\ell| < 1$  and  $h(r_\ell) < f(n)$ .



# What it says in down-to-earth terms

When  $k = 1$  and  $q_1 = 1$ , (i)-(ii) recover Corvaja-Zannier result.

Plainly, the properties (i)-(iv) say that for all but finitely many

$(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ , the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n$  is a subsum of the larger

sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n + \sum_{\ell=1}^m r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$  satisfying:

- This larger sum is an integer.
- The  $r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$ 's are exactly the Galois conjugates of the  $q_i \alpha_i^n$ 's that do not appear in  $\{q_i \alpha_i^n : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ .
- For  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ ,  $|\beta_\ell| < 1$  and  $h(r_\ell) < f(n)$ .

# What it says in down-to-earth terms

When  $k = 1$  and  $q_1 = 1$ , (i)-(ii) recover Corvaja-Zannier result.

Plainly, the properties (i)-(iv) say that for all but finitely many

$(n, q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ , the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n$  is a subsum of the larger

sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \alpha_i^n + \sum_{\ell=1}^m r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$  satisfying:

- This larger sum is an integer.
- The  $r_\ell \beta_\ell^n$ 's are exactly the Galois conjugates of the  $q_i \alpha_i^n$ 's that do not appear in  $\{q_i \alpha_i^n : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ .
- For  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ ,  $|\beta_\ell| < 1$  and  $h(r_\ell) < f(n)$ .

# Effectiveness and Questions (if there's time)

Mahler uses Roth's theorem while Corvaja and Zannier use the Subspace Theorem.

We follow the method in Corvaja-Zannier together with several modifications.

Hence these results are not effective (i.e.: Mahler's proof does not give an effective upper bound for solutions of the inequality  $\|(3/2)^n\| < (3/4)^n$ ).

But there is an effective result.

# Effectiveness and Questions (if there's time)

Mahler uses Roth's theorem while Corvaja and Zannier use the Subspace Theorem.

We follow the method in Corvaja-Zannier together with several modifications.

Hence these results are not effective (i.e.: Mahler's proof does not give an effective upper bound for solutions of the inequality  $\|(3/2)^n\| < (3/4)^n$ ).

But there is an effective result.

# Effectiveness and Questions (if there's time)

Mahler uses Roth's theorem while Corvaja and Zannier use the Subspace Theorem.

We follow the method in Corvaja-Zannier together with several modifications.

Hence these results are not effective (i.e.: Mahler's proof does not give an effective upper bound for solutions of the inequality  $\|(3/2)^n\| < (3/4)^n$ ).

But there is an effective result.

# Effectiveness and Questions (if there's time)

Mahler uses Roth's theorem while Corvaja and Zannier use the Subspace Theorem.

We follow the method in Corvaja-Zannier together with several modifications.

Hence these results are not effective (i.e.: Mahler's proof does not give an effective upper bound for solutions of the inequality  $\|(3/2)^n\| < (3/4)^n$ ).

But there is an effective result.

## Theorem (Baker-Coates, 1974)

Let  $2 \leq b < a$  be relatively prime integers, then there exist effectively computable numbers  $N$  and  $\theta_0 > \frac{1}{b}$  such that

$$\|(a/b)^n\| \geq \theta_0^n$$

for every  $n \geq N$ .

But  $\theta_0$  is very close to  $1/b$ . They strongly suspect that “some fundamentally new ideas would be required to complete the solution to Waring’s Problem”.

There have been various improvements to Baker-Coates theorem. For Waring’s Problem, need  $\|(3/2)^n\| \geq (3/4)^n$ . Currently, here’s the best result obtained by Zudilin:

$$\|(3/2)^n\| \geq 0.5803^n$$

## Theorem (Baker-Coates, 1974)

Let  $2 \leq b < a$  be relatively prime integers, then there exist effectively computable numbers  $N$  and  $\theta_0 > \frac{1}{b}$  such that

$$\|(a/b)^n\| \geq \theta_0^n$$

for every  $n \geq N$ .

But  $\theta_0$  is very close to  $1/b$ . They strongly suspect that “some fundamentally new ideas would be required to complete the solution to Waring’s Problem”.

There have been various improvements to Baker-Coates theorem. For Waring’s Problem, need  $\|(3/2)^n\| \geq (3/4)^n$ . Currently, here’s the best result obtained by Zudilin:

$$\|(3/2)^n\| \geq 0.5803^n$$



## Theorem (Baker-Coates, 1974)

Let  $2 \leq b < a$  be relatively prime integers, then there exist effectively computable numbers  $N$  and  $\theta_0 > \frac{1}{b}$  such that

$$\|(a/b)^n\| \geq \theta_0^n$$

for every  $n \geq N$ .

But  $\theta_0$  is very close to  $1/b$ . They strongly suspect that “some fundamentally new ideas would be required to complete the solution to Waring’s Problem”.

There have been various improvements to Baker-Coates theorem. For Waring’s Problem, need  $\|(3/2)^n\| \geq (3/4)^n$ . Currently, here’s the best result obtained by Zudilin:

$$\|(3/2)^n\| \geq 0.5803^n$$

In view of our results for sums involving more than one term, we ask the following:

### Question

Let  $k \geq 2$  and let  $b, a_1, \dots, a_k$  be integers with  $2 \leq b < \min a_i$  and  $\gcd(b, a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$ . Are there effectively computable  $N$  and  $\theta_0$  with  $\theta_0 > \frac{1}{b}$  such that:

$$\|(a_1^n + \dots + a_k^n)/b^n\| \geq \theta_0^n$$

for every  $n \geq N$ .

THANK YOU!