Two Extremal Set Results

Richard Anstee, UBC, Vancouver

January 29, 2019

Richard Anstee, UBC, Vancouver Two Extremal Set Results

臣

Definition
$$[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

Definition $2^{[m]} = \{A \mid A \subseteq [m]\}$
Definition $\binom{[m]}{k} = \{A \subseteq [m] \mid |A| = k\}$
Definition $A^c = [m] \setminus A$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ●

2

Theorem Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[m]}$. Assume for all pairs $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Then

 $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{n-1}.$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

3

Theorem Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[m]}$. Assume for all pairs $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Then

 $|\mathcal{F}| \le 2^{n-1}.$

Proof: We can partition $2^{[m]}$ into 2^{m-1} pairs of sets A, A^c . At most one of the two sets A, A^c can be in \mathcal{F} since $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$. Thus at most half the sets in $2^{[m]}$ can be in \mathcal{F} , proving the bound.

Definition Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[m]}$. We say \mathcal{F} is an antichain if for any pair $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ neither $A \subset B$ nor $B \subset A$.

Theorem Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[m]}$ and assume \mathcal{F} is an antichain. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{m}{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}.$$

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Definition A chain is a sequence $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_k$ of subsets of [m]. We say the chain is saturated if $|A_{i+1}| = |A_i| + 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1$. We say the chain is symmetric if $|A_i| = m - |A_{k-i+1}|$.

向下 イヨト イヨト

크

Definition A chain is a sequence $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_k$ of subsets of [m]. We say the chain is saturated if $|A_{i+1}| = |A_i| + 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1$. We say the chain is symmetric if $|A_i| = m - |A_{k-i+1}|$. **Proof:** of Sperner's Theorem. We wish to partition $2^{[m]}$ into $\binom{m}{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}$ saturated symmetric chains. To be an antichain, at most one element of \mathcal{F} can come from a chain. The chains are saturated and symmetric and hence have at least one set of size $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. This yields the bound. We now seek the partition.

• • = • • = •

Proof continued

We use induction on m to obtain the partition. Assume we have the appropriate partition for $2^{[m]}$ with symmetric saturated chains $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_k$ and we will obtain the appropriate partition for $2^{[m+1]}$.

We first make the observation that every set in $2^{[m+1]}$ either contains m + 1 or does not and hence we can obtain $2^{[m+1]}$ from $2^{[m]}$ as follows. For each set $A \in 2^{[m]}$, we form two sets $A, A \cup \{m+1\}$.

• • = • • = • •

Proof continued

We use induction on *m* to obtain the partition. Assume we have the appropriate partition for $2^{[m]}$ with symmetric saturated chains $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_k$ and we will obtain the appropriate partition for $2^{[m+1]}$.

We first make the observation that every set in $2^{[m+1]}$ either contains m + 1 or does not and hence we can obtain $2^{[m+1]}$ from $2^{[m]}$ as follows. For each set $A \in 2^{[m]}$, we form two sets $A, A \cup \{m+1\}$.

The chain $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_k$ yields the 2k sets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k and $A_1 \cup \{m+1\}, A_2 \cup \{m+1\}, \ldots, A_k \cup \{m+1\}$. We can readily partition these 2k sets into two chains, one of size k+1and one of size k-1 as follows: First chain is $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_k \subset A_k \cup \{m+1\}$ and second chain is $A_1 \cup \{m+1\} \subset A_2 \cup \{m+1\} \subset \cdots \subset A_{k-1} \cup \{m+1\}$ which we can verify are saturated chains and given that our original chain is symmetric, our new chain is symmetric with m replaced by m+1.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & A_k \cup \{m+1\} \\ & \swarrow \\ A_k & A_{k-1} \cup \{m+1\} \\ & | \\ A_{k-1} & A_{k-2} \cup \{m+1\} \\ & | \\ A_{k-1} & | \\ A_{k-2} \cup \{m+1\} \\ & | \\ A_1 & | \\ \end{array}$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Thanks for your attention

・ロト ・御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Ð,