

PUTNAM PRACTICE SET 29: SOLUTIONS

PROF. DRAGOS GHIOCA

Problem 1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with the property that whenever A , B , C and D are the vertices of a square in the cartesian plane, then $f(A) + f(B) + f(C) + f(D) = 0$. Find f .

Solution. We will show that f must be identically equal to 0. In order to do this, we take any point P in the plane and show that $f(P) = 0$. For our convenience, we fix our coordinate axes in the plane so that P is the origin $(0, 0)$ (any other choice for the coordinate axes would simply induce a shift in our coordinates but the rest of our argument would be unchanged). Then using the hypothesis regarding f , we have the following:

$$f(1, 1) + f(1, -1) + f(-1, -1) + f(-1, 1) = 0$$

$$f(1, 0) + f(0, 1) + f(-1, 0) + f(0, -1) = 0$$

$$f(1, 1) + f(1, 0) + f(0, 0) + f(0, 1) = 0$$

$$f(1, -1) + f(1, 0) + f(0, 0) + f(0, -1) = 0$$

$$f(-1, -1) + f(-1, 0) + f(0, 0) + f(0, -1) = 0$$

$$f(-1, 1) + f(-1, 0) + f(0, 0) + f(0, 1) = 0$$

Then adding the last four equations, subtracting the first equation and also subtract twice the second equation yields $f(0, 0) = 0$, as desired.

Problem 2. Functions f , g and h are differentiable on the interval $I = (-1/10, 1/10)$ and on this interval, they satisfy the following relations:

$$f' = 2f^2gh + \frac{1}{gh} \text{ and } f(0) = 1$$

$$g' = fg^2h + \frac{4}{fh} \text{ and } g(0) = 1$$

$$h' = 3fgh^2 + \frac{1}{fg} \text{ and } h(0) = 1.$$

Find an explicit formula for $f(x)$ on the interval I .

Solution. Multiplying the first equation by gh , the second equation by fh and the third equation by fg and then adding together the three new equations, we get

$$(fgh)' = 6(fgh)^2 + 6.$$

We solve for the new function $j := fgh$ which satisfies the differential equation $j' = 6j^2 + 6$ with initial data $j(0) = 1$ and obtain

$$\arctan(j(t)) = 6t + \frac{\pi}{4},$$

which means that $j(t) = \tan(6t + \pi/4)$. Substituting this information in the first equation after we divide that first equation by f , we get:

$$\frac{f'}{f} = 2fgh + \frac{1}{fgh} = 2 \tan(6t + \pi/4) + \cot(6t + \pi/4).$$

So, integrating this last equation and again using the initial data that $f(0) = 1$, we obtain:

$$\log(f(t)) = -\frac{\ln(\cos(6t + \pi/4))}{3} + \frac{\ln(\sin(6t + \pi/4))}{6} - \frac{\log(2)}{12}$$

and so,

$$f(t) = 2^{-\frac{1}{12}} \cdot \left(\frac{\sin(6t + \pi/4)}{\cos^2(6t + \pi/4)} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Also, note that on the interval $I = (-1/10, 1/10)$, the above function $f(t)$ is well-defined.

Problem 3. Is there a finite abelian group G with the property that the product of the orders of its elements equals 2^{2021} ?

Solution. No, as we will prove below. Using the structure theorem for finite abelian groups, we get that G is a direct product of cyclic groups and since we attempt constructing a group G for which the product of all the orders equals a power of 2, we conclude that G is isomorphic to:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (\mathbb{Z}/2^{a_i}\mathbb{Z})^{b_i},$$

where $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{\ell}$ (for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$) and also, $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Inside $\mathbb{Z}/2^a\mathbb{Z}$, we have precisely $2^j - 2^{j-1}$ elements of order 2^j for each $j = 1, \dots, a$, and also, we have the identity element which is of order 1. So, in G , for each $k = 1, \dots, a_{\ell}$, we have 2^{r_k} elements of order at most 2^k , where

$$r_k := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i \cdot \min\{a_i, k\}.$$

Therefore, in G we have precisely $2^{r_k} - 2^{r_{k-1}}$ elements of order exactly 2^k (where $r_0 := 0$), which means that our hypothesis leads to the following equation:

$$2021 = \sum_{k=1}^{a_{\ell}} k \cdot (2^{r_k} - 2^{r_{k-1}}).$$

We rewrite the above formula as

$$2022 = a_{\ell} \cdot 2^{a_{\ell}} - \sum_{k=1}^{a_{\ell}-1} 2^{r_k}.$$

Since $\{r_k\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence, it means that 2^{r_1} divides the right hand side of our last equation and so, it must also divide 2022, which means that $r_1 = 1$. Because

$$r_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i,$$

we conclude that $\ell = 1$ and $b_1 = 1$. So, G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2^{a_1}\mathbb{Z}$ and also, using the above formulas, we have:

$$r_k = k \text{ for } k = 2, \dots, a_\ell.$$

Therefore, our equation transforms into:

$$2022 = a_1 \cdot 2^{a_1} - \sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1} 2^k = a_1 2^{a_1} - 2^{a_1} + 2$$

and so,

$$2020 = (a_1 - 1) \cdot 2^{a_1},$$

which has no solution $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ because we would need $a_1 \leq 2$ since 2020 is not divisible by 8.

Problem 4. Let S be a set of rational numbers such that

- $0 \in S$;
- if $x \in S$, then $1 + x \in S$ and also $x - 1 \in S$; and
- if $x \in S \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then $\frac{1}{x(x-1)} \in S$.

Must S contain all rational numbers?

Solution. No, as we will show through the following construction which provides a set S satisfying the above three properties without consisting of the entire \mathbb{Q} . So, we let

$$S = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \left\{ n + \frac{2}{5} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We check that S meets the above three conditions and this will conclude our proof.

Clearly, $0 \in S$; also, since the set

$$\left\{ n + \frac{2}{5} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is invariant under subtracting or adding integers, then also the second condition above is met by our set S . As for the third condition, we simply need to ensure that no rational of the form $\frac{1}{x(x-1)}$ for $x \in S$ would be equal to $n + \frac{2}{5}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, letting $x = \frac{a}{b}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and $b > 0$) and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, we arrive at the equation

$$\frac{b^2}{a^2 - ab} = n + \frac{2}{5}$$

and since $\gcd(b, a - b) = \gcd(b, a) = 1$, we must have that

$$a^2 - ab = \pm 5.$$

Using that $b > 0$, we are left with the only possibilities being

$$(a, b) \in \{(5, 4), (5, 6), (1, 6), (-1, 4)\}.$$

However, each one of these four possibilities does not lead to a numerator for $\frac{b^2}{a^2 - ab}$ of the form $5n \pm 2$ (which can be checked either directly or by noting that no square of an integer is either 2 or -2 modulo 5). This concludes our proof.