

Forbidden Configurations

Steven Karp
(USRA with R.P. Anstee, UBC)

CUMC
July 9th, 2008

What is an Extremal Problem?

Here are some examples of extremal problems:

What is an Extremal Problem?

Here are some examples of extremal problems:

- ▶ **At most how many** queens can we place on a chessboard so that no two attack each other?

What is an Extremal Problem?

Here are some examples of extremal problems:

- ▶ At most how many queens can we place on a chessboard so that no two attack each other?

Answer: 8

What is an Extremal Problem?

Here are some examples of extremal problems:

- ▶ At most how many queens can we place on a chessboard so that no two attack each other?

Answer: 8

- ▶ At most how many 2×1 dominoes can we place on a chessboard which has two opposite corners removed?

What is an Extremal Problem?

Here are some examples of extremal problems:

- ▶ At most how many queens can we place on a chessboard so that no two attack each other?

Answer: 8

- ▶ At most how many 2×1 dominoes can we place on a chessboard which has two opposite corners removed?

Answer: 30

What is an Extremal Problem?

Here are some examples of extremal problems:

- ▶ **At most how many** queens can we place on a chessboard so that no two attack each other?

Answer: 8

- ▶ **At most how many** 2×1 dominoes can we place on a chessboard which has two opposite corners removed?

Answer: 30

- ▶ **At most how many** edges can a simple graph with p vertices have, if it has no triangles?

What is an Extremal Problem?

Here are some examples of extremal problems:

- ▶ **At most how many** queens can we place on a chessboard so that no two attack each other?

Answer: 8

- ▶ **At most how many** 2×1 dominoes can we place on a chessboard which has two opposite corners removed?

Answer: 30

- ▶ **At most how many** edges can a simple graph with p vertices have, if it has no triangles?

Answer: $\left\lfloor \frac{p^2}{4} \right\rfloor$ (Turán's Theorem)

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

e.g.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

e.g.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can think of an m -rowed simple matrix as the **incidence matrix** of a collection of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

$$\text{e.g. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\emptyset \quad \{1\} \quad \{1, 3, 4\} \quad \{3, 4\} \quad \{2, 4\} \quad \{2, 3, 4\}$$

We can think of an m -rowed simple matrix as the **incidence matrix** of a collection of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

$$\text{e.g. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\emptyset \quad \{1\} \quad \{1, 3, 4\} \quad \{3, 4\} \quad \{2, 4\} \quad \{2, 3, 4\}$$

We can think of an m -rowed simple matrix as the **incidence matrix** of a collection of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

An m -rowed simple matrix has at most 2^m columns.

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix (not necessarily simple). A simple matrix A **has the configuration F** if A has a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F .

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix (not necessarily simple). A simple matrix A **has the configuration F** if A has a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F .

$$\text{e.g. } F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix (not necessarily simple). A simple matrix A **has the configuration F** if A has a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F .

$$\text{e.g. } F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix (not necessarily simple). A simple matrix A **has the configuration F** if A has a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F .

$$\text{e.g. } F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 1 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix (not necessarily simple). A simple matrix A **has the configuration F** if A has a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F .

$$\text{e.g. } F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 1 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix (not necessarily simple). A simple matrix A **has the configuration F** if A has a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F .

$$\text{e.g. } F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 1 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Extremal Problem: If a simple matrix A has m rows and does not have the configuration F , at most how many columns can A have?

Answer: $\text{forb}(m, F)$

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix (not necessarily simple). A simple matrix A **has the configuration F** if A has a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F .

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix, and m a positive integer. Then $\text{forb}(m, F)$ is the greatest number of columns that an m -rowed simple matrix with no configuration F can have.

Definition. A **simple matrix** is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with no repeated columns.

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix (not necessarily simple). A simple matrix A **has the configuration F** if A has a submatrix which is a row and column permutation of F .

Definition. Suppose that F is a $\{0,1\}$ -matrix, and m a positive integer. Then **$\text{forb}(m, F)$** is the greatest number of columns that an m -rowed simple matrix with no configuration F can have.

Equivalently, $\text{forb}(m, F)$ is the least integer such that every simple matrix with m rows and more than $\text{forb}(m, F)$ columns has the configuration F .

Examples

$$\text{forb}(m, [1 \ 0]) = 1.$$

Examples

$$\text{forb}(m, [1 \ 0]) = 1.$$

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) = 2m + 2.$$

Examples

$$\text{forb}(m, [1 \ 0]) = 1.$$

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) = 2m + 2.$$

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = m + 1.$$

Some New Results for 2-Columned F

Others proved previously that

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = m + 1,$$

Some New Results for 2-Columned F

Others proved previously that

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = m + 1,$$

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \left\lfloor \frac{3}{2}m \right\rfloor + 1,$$

Some New Results for 2-Columned F

Others proved previously that

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = m + 1,$$

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}m \rfloor + 1,$$

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \binom{m}{2} + m + 2 \quad \forall m \geq 3.$$

Some New Results for 2-Columned F

Others proved previously that

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = m + 1,$$

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \left\lfloor \frac{3}{2}m \right\rfloor + 1,$$

$$\text{forb}(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \binom{m}{2} + m + 2 \quad \forall m \geq 3.$$

What happens if we keep adding $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ on top?

Theorem. For $m \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2$ and m .

Theorem. For $m \geq 4$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \binom{m}{3} + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, 3$ and m .

Theorem. For $m \geq 5$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \binom{m}{4} + \binom{m}{3} + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and m .

Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ \right\} k \right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} k\right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

I asked, "What if I flip some digits in the second column?"

Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

The bound and the construction remains the same!

New! Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right\} k \right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

The bound and the construction remains the same!

New! Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right\} k \right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

The bound and the construction remains the same!


New! Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \left. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} k\right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

If both columns have $k - 1$ ones, then strange things happen.

For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right\} k) = \text{KABOOM}$$


If both columns have $k - 1$ ones, then strange things happen.

For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{KABOOM}$$

Finding a good construction becomes a difficult Design Theory problem.

What if we flip the 1 at the bottom of the second column to a 0?

For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right\} k) = \text{KABOOM}$$

We get the same result as before.

New! Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\} k \right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

We get the same result as before.

New! Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \\ \right\} k \right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

We get the same result as before.

New! Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

We get the same result as before.

New! Theorem. For $m \geq k - 1 \geq 3$,

$$\text{forb}\left(m, \left. \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\} k \right) = \binom{m}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{m}{2} + m + 2.$$

A construction: the m -rowed matrix with all columns of sum
 $0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ and m .

Sketch of Induction Proof:

For a given F , let A be a simple $m \times \text{forb}(m, F)$ matrix which does not have the configuration F .

Sketch of Induction Proof:

For a given F , let A be a simple $m \times \text{forb}(m, F)$ matrix which does not have the configuration F .

We permute the columns of A so that it looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 00 \cdots 00 & 11 \cdots 11 \\ C & D & D & E \end{bmatrix},$$

where D is the matrix of columns repeated under the first row.

Sketch of Induction Proof:

For a given F , let A be a simple $m \times \text{forb}(m, F)$ matrix which does not have the configuration F .

We permute the columns of A so that it looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 00 \cdots 00 & 11 \cdots 11 \\ C & D & D & E \end{bmatrix},$$

where D is the matrix of columns repeated under the first row.

Then C, D, E concatenated together is simple and $(m - 1)$ -rowed, and does not have the configuration F .

Sketch of Induction Proof:

For a given F , let A be a simple $m \times \text{forb}(m, F)$ matrix which does not have the configuration F .

We permute the columns of A so that it looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 00 \cdots 00 & 11 \cdots 11 \\ C & D & D & E \end{bmatrix},$$

where D is the matrix of columns repeated under the first row.

Then C, D, E concatenated together is simple and $(m - 1)$ -rowed, and does not have the configuration F .

$$\therefore \# \text{col's}(A) = \# \text{col's}(C, D, E) + \# \text{col's}(D)$$

$$\text{forb}(m, F) \leq \text{forb}(m - 1, F) + \# \text{col's}(D)$$

Sketch of Induction Proof:

For a given F , let A be a simple $m \times \text{forb}(m, F)$ matrix which does not have the configuration F .

We permute the columns of A so that it looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 00 \cdots 00 & 11 \cdots 11 \\ C & D & D & E \end{bmatrix},$$

where D is the matrix of columns repeated under the first row.

Then C, D, E concatenated together is simple and $(m - 1)$ -rowed, and does not have the configuration F .

$$\therefore \# \text{col's}(A) = \# \text{col's}(C, D, E) + \# \text{col's}(D)$$

$$\text{forb}(m, F) \leq \text{forb}(m - 1, F) + \# \text{col's}(D)$$

If we can get a good upper bound on $\# \text{col's}(D)$, then we can prove an upper bound on $\text{forb}(m, F)$ by induction.

Thank You!

Thanks for your attention!