

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Coincidences among skew Schur functions” [Adv. Math. 216 (1) (2007) 118–152]

Farzin Barekat ^a, Victor Reiner ^b, Stephanie van Willigenburg ^{a,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada

^b School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

Received 27 October 2008; accepted 27 October 2008

Available online 21 January 2009

We provide a proof for the second assertion of [2, Corollary 6.3], which is both of independent interest and an important reduction in determining when two skew Schur functions are equal. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 1. *For D a connected skew diagram, the skew Schur function s_D is irreducible considered as an element of $\mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, \dots]$.*

Proof. We will induct on the number $\ell := \ell(D)$ of nonempty rows in the connected skew diagram D . The base case $\ell = 1$ is trivial, as then $s_D = h_{|D|}$ where $|D|$ is the number of cells of D .

Thus, in the inductive step one may assume $\ell \geq 2$, and assume for the sake of contradiction that s_D is reducible. Express $D = \lambda/\mu$ with $|\lambda|$ minimal, so that, in particular, $\ell(D) = \ell(\lambda) =: \ell$ and $\mu_\ell = 0$. Let $L = \lambda_1 + \ell - 1$, so that by [2, Proposition 6.2(i)] the $\ell \times \ell$ Jacobi–Trudi matrix J for s_D expresses

$$s_D = s \cdot h_L + r, \tag{1}$$

in which both r, s involve only the variables h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{L-1} .

We claim that neither r nor s is the zero polynomial. For r , note that [2, Proposition 6.2(ii)] implies that r must contain the monomial $h_{r_1} \cdots h_{r_\ell}$ with coefficient $+1$ where r_1, \dots, r_ℓ are the lengths of the rows of λ/μ . For s , note that s is $(-1)^{\ell-1}$ times the determinant of the $(\ell - 1) \times$

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.aim.2007.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2007.05.006).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: farzin_barekat@yahoo.com (F. Barekat), reiner@math.umn.edu (V. Reiner), steph@math.ubc.ca (S. van Willigenburg).

$(\ell - 1)$ complementary minor to h_L in J , and the complementary minor is the Jacobi–Trudi matrix for $s_{\hat{\lambda}/\hat{\mu}} = s_{\hat{D}}$, where $\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$, $\hat{\mu} = (\mu_1 + 1, \mu_2 + 2, \dots, \mu_\ell + 1)$. Observe that \hat{D} is obtained from D by removing the northwesternmost ribbon from the northwest border of the connected skew diagram D (in English notation).

Thus, (1) shows that s_D is linear as a polynomial in h_L . Since we are assuming s_D is reducible, this means s_D must have at least one nontrivial irreducible factor, call it f , which is of degree zero in h_L . This factor f must therefore also divide r , and hence also divide s .

Denote by J_B^A the submatrix obtained from J by removing its rows indexed by the subset A and columns indexed by the subset B . Then the Lewis Carroll or Dodgson condensation or Desnanot–Jacobi adjoint matrix identity [1, Theorem 3.12] asserts that

$$\det J_{1,\ell}^{1,\ell} \cdot \det J = \det J_1^1 \cdot \det J_\ell^\ell - \det J_\ell^1 \cdot \det J_1^\ell. \tag{2}$$

Note that the left side of (2) is divisible by f since $\det J = s_D$, and the second term on the right side of (2) is also divisible by f , since $\det J_\ell^1$ is the same as the minor determinant appearing in s in (1). Therefore, the first term on the right of (2) is divisible by f , implying that one of its factors $\det J_1^1$ or $\det J_\ell^\ell$ must be divisible by f . However, one can check that these last two determinants are the Jacobi–Trudi determinants for the skew diagrams E, F obtained from D by removing its first, last row, respectively. Since E, F are connected skew diagrams with fewer rows than D , both s_E, s_F are irreducible by the inductive hypothesis. Hence either $f = s_E$ or $f = s_F$. But since f divides s , its degree satisfies

$$\deg(f) \leq \deg(s) = |D| - (\lambda_1 + \ell - 1)$$

and this last quantity is strictly less than both

$$\deg(s_E) = |D| - (\lambda_1 - \mu_1), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\deg(s_F) = |D| - (\lambda_\ell - \mu_\ell)$$

since $\ell \geq 2$. This contradicts having either $f = s_E$ or $f = s_F$, ending the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Marc van Leeuwen for drawing their attention to the hole in the previous proof.

References

[1] D. Bressoud, Proofs and Confirmations: The Story of the Alternating-Sign Matrix Conjecture, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
 [2] V. Reiner, K. Shaw, S. van Willigenburg, Coincidences among skew Schur functions, Adv. Math. 216 (2007) 118–152.