#### Stability Region of the Improved Euler – RK2:

Consider the model problem  $y' = \lambda y$ , y(0) = 1,  $y = e^{\lambda x}$ .

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \frac{h}{2} \left[ \lambda Y_n + \lambda \left\{ Y_n + h \lambda Y_n \right\} \right]$$
  
$$Y_{n+1} = \left[ 1 + h\lambda + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{2} \right] Y_n \approx e^{h\lambda} Y_n$$

(Note: With Taylor Series up to  $O((h\lambda)^n)$  – Be careful if you try to infer the error by looking at  $G(z) = 1 + z + z^2/2$  since we would be ignoring the time stepping part.)

The growth factor is  $G(\lambda h) = 1 + h\lambda + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{2}$ 

For stability we require  $|G(\lambda h)| < 1$ 

Stability region by a conformal map:



Stability Region of the Trapezoidal Scheme:  $Y_{k+1} = Y_k + \frac{h}{2} \left[ f(x_n, Y_n) + f(x_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}) \right]$ 

Consider the model problem  $y' = \lambda y \quad y(0) = y_0$ .

$$Y_{k+1} = Y_k + \frac{h}{2} [\lambda Y_k + \lambda Y_{k+1}]$$
  

$$\therefore \quad \left(1 - \frac{h\lambda}{2}\right) Y_{k+1} = \left(1 + \frac{h\lambda}{2}\right) Y_k$$
  

$$Y_{k+1} = \frac{(1 + h\lambda/2)}{(1 - h\lambda/2)} Y_k = G(h\lambda) Y_k \text{ where } G(h\lambda) = \frac{1 + h\lambda/2}{1 - h\lambda/2}$$

• Note:  $e^z \simeq G(z) = \frac{1+z/2}{1-z/2}$  is the (1,1) Padé Approximation of  $e^z$ .

$$e^{z} = \frac{1 + a_{1}z + \ldots + a_{n}z^{m}}{1 + b_{1}z + \ldots + b_{n}z^{n}}$$
 is the  $(m, n)$  Padé approximant.

**Stability**: For stability we require that  $|G(h\lambda)| < 1$ .



• The Trapezium Rule is A-stable but it is more expensive to compute with it since it is implicit.

### Alternatively using a conformal map:



 $G = 0 \Rightarrow z = -2$ O:

$$A: \quad G=1 \Rightarrow z=0$$

- $B: \quad G = i \Rightarrow z = 0$  $G = i \Rightarrow z = 2\left(\frac{i-1}{i+1}\right)$  $C: \quad G \to -1_+ \Rightarrow z \to i\infty$
- $\overline{C}: \qquad G \to -1_- \Rightarrow z \to -i\infty$
- $G = -i \Rightarrow z = -2i$ D:

# General Implicit Method – $\theta$ method

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + h \left[ (1 - \theta) f(x_n, Y_n) + \theta f(x_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}) \right]$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \theta=0: & \mbox{Forward Euler} / \mbox{Explicit Euler} & G=1+z & (1,0) \mbox{ Padé approx. to } e^{z/2} \\ \theta=1/2: & \mbox{Trapezoidal Rule} & G=\frac{1+z/2}{1-z/2} & (1,1) \mbox{ Padé approx. to } e^{z/2} \\ \theta=1: & \mbox{Backward Euler} / \mbox{Implicit Euler} & G=\frac{1}{1-z} & (0,1) \mbox{ Padé approx. to } e^{z/2} \end{array}$$



**Truncation Error**: Let  $y'_n = f(x_n, y_n)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(h) &= \frac{y_{n+1} - y_n}{h} - \left[ (1 - \theta) f(x_n, y_n) + \theta f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{y_n + hy'_n + \frac{h^2}{2} y''_n + \frac{h^3}{3!} y'''_n + \dots - y_n}{h} - \left[ (1 - \theta) f_n + \theta \left[ f_n + h(f_x + ff_y) \Big|_{x_n} + O(h^2) \right] \right] \\ &= (y'_n - f_n) + \frac{h}{2} (y''_n - 2\theta (f_x + ff_y) \Big|_{x_n}) + O(h^2) \\ &= \begin{cases} O(h) & \theta \neq 1/2 \\ O(h^2) & \theta = 1/2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

#### Note:

- An explicit method cannot be A-stable.
- The order of an A-stable implicit method cannot exceed 2.
- The second order A-stable implicit method with the smallest error constant is the trapezoidal rule.
- Looks like the TR is a winner but there is an important class of problems for which TR gives poor results stiff systems.

# Stiff Systems:

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -100 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} x = e^{-t}x_0 \\ y = e^{-100t}y_0 \end{array}$$

 $y_0 x_0$   $e^{-t}$   $e^{-100t}$ 

• FE: If we were to use the FE method in the useful regime we would requre  $-2 < h\lambda_k < 0$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_1 = -1 & \Rightarrow & h < 2 \\ \lambda_2 = -100 & \Rightarrow & h < 1/50 \end{array}$$

We do not particularly care about y since it decays to zero very rapidly but we are more interested in x which persists much longer. But to compute the system stably we woud need very small time-steps – bad news; it will take forever.

• What about using the **Trapezoidal Rule** so we don't have to worry about the timestep?

Say  $Re(\lambda) \to -\infty$  and let us look at  $G(z) = \frac{1+z/2}{1-z/2}$  in the case  $Re(\lambda) \to -\infty$ .

Let  $z = \alpha + i\beta$  and let  $\beta$  be fixed.

$$|G(z)| = \frac{|1+z/2|}{|1-z/2|} = \frac{\sqrt{(1+\alpha/2)^2 + (\beta/2)^2}}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha/2)^2 + (\beta/2)^2}} \xrightarrow{\alpha \to -\infty} 1$$

solution will oscillate but will not decay!

But  $e^z$ , which G(z) is supposed to approximate, is such that  $e^z \to 0$  as  $Re(z) \to -\infty$ .

**L-Stability**: A numerical method for which  $G(z) \to 0$  as  $Re(z) \to -\infty$  is said to be L-stable or has strong decay.

•Example of an L-stable method: - the Backward Euler Scheme: (BE)

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + hf(x_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$$

For model problem:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} Y_{n+1} &=& Y_n + h\lambda Y_{n+1} \\ Y_{n+1} &=& \displaystyle \frac{1}{(1-h\lambda)}Y_n = G(h\lambda)Y_n \\ G(z) = \displaystyle \frac{1}{1-z} & z = 1 - \displaystyle \frac{1}{G} \\ G(z) \to 0 & \mathrm{as} & \operatorname{Re}(z) \to -\infty \end{array}$$

so BE is L-stable.