

# A SPARSITY RESULT FOR THE DYNAMICAL MORDELL-LANG CONJECTURE IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

DRAGOS GHIOCA, ALINA OSTAFE, SINA SALEH,  
AND IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

ABSTRACT. We prove a quantitative partial result in support of the Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture (also known as the *DML conjecture*) in positive characteristic. More precisely, we show the following: given a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p$ , given a semiabelian variety  $X$  defined over a finite subfield of  $K$  and endowed with a regular self-map  $\Phi : X \rightarrow X$  defined over  $K$ , given a point  $\alpha \in X(K)$  and a subvariety  $V \subseteq X$ , then the set of all non-negative integers  $n$  such that  $\Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)$  is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions along with a subset  $S$  with the property that there exists a positive real number  $A$  (depending only on  $N$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $V$ ) such that for each positive integer  $M$ , we have

$$\#\{n \in S : n \leq M\} \leq A \cdot (1 + \log M)^{\dim V}.$$

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Notation.** Throughout this paper, we let  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  denote the set of nonnegative integers. As always in arithmetic dynamics, we denote by  $\Phi^n$  the  $n$ -th iterate of the self-map  $\Phi$  acting on some ambient variety  $X$ . For each point  $x$  of  $X$ , we denote its orbit under  $\Phi$  by

$$\mathcal{O}_\Phi(x) := \{\Phi^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Also, for us, an arithmetic progression is a set  $\{an + b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ; in particular, we allow the possibility that  $a = 0$ , in which case, the above set is a singleton.

**1.2. The Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture.** The Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture (see [GT09]) predicts that for an endomorphism  $\Phi$  of a quasiprojective variety  $X$  defined over a field  $K$  of characteristic 0, given a point  $\alpha \in X(K)$  and a subvariety  $V \subseteq X$ , the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B37, Secondary 11G25, 37P55.

*Key words and phrases.* linear recurrence sequences, Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture.

set

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{S}(\Phi, \alpha; V) := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\}$$

is a finite union of arithmetic progressions; for a comprehensive discussion of the Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture, we refer the reader to the book [BGT16].

When the field  $K$  has positive characteristic, then under the same setting as above, the return set  $\mathcal{S}$  from (1.1) is no longer a finite union of arithmetic progressions, as shown in [Ghi19, Examples 1.2 and 1.4]; instead, the following conjecture is expected to hold.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture in positive characteristic). *Let  $X$  be a quasiprojective variety defined over a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\alpha \in X(K)$ , let  $V \subseteq X$  be a subvariety defined over  $K$ , and let  $\Phi : X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism defined over  $K$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{S}(\Phi, \alpha; V)$  given by (1.1) is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions along with finitely many sets of the form*

$$(1.2) \quad \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j p^{a_j k_j} : k_j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, m \right\},$$

for some given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , some given  $c_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and some given  $a_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (note that in (1.2), the parameters  $c_j$  and  $a_j$  are fixed, while the unknowns  $k_j$  vary over all non-negative integers,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ).

In [CGSZ20], Conjecture 1.1 is proven for regular self-maps  $\Phi$  of tori assuming one of the following two hypotheses are met:

(A)  $\dim V \leq 2$ ;

or

(B)  $\Phi : \mathbb{G}_m^N \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^N$  is a group endomorphism and there exists no nontrivial connected algebraic subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathbb{G}_m^N$  such that an iterate of  $\Phi$  induces an endomorphism of  $G$  that equals a power of the usual Frobenius.

The proof from [CGSZ20] employs various techniques from Diophantine approximation (in characteristic 0), to combinatorics over finite fields, to specific tools akin to semiabelian varieties defined over finite fields; in particular, the deep results of Moosa & Scanlon [MS04] are essential in the proof. Actually, the Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture in positive characteristic turns out to be even more difficult than the classical Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture since even the case of group endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{G}_m^N$  leads to deep Diophantine questions in characteristic 0, as shown in [CGSZ20, Theorem 1.4]. More precisely, [CGSZ20, Theorem 1.4] shows that solving Conjecture 1.1 just

in the case of group endomorphisms of tori is *equivalent* with solving the following polynomial-exponential equation: given any linear recurrence sequence  $\{u_n\}$ , given a power  $q$  of the prime number  $p$ , and given positive integers  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i < \frac{q}{2},$$

then one needs to determine the set of all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  for which we can find  $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad u_n = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i q^{k_i}.$$

The equation (1.3) remains unsolved for general sequences  $\{u_n\}$  when  $m > 2$ ; for more details about these Diophantine problems, see [CZ13] and the references therein.

**1.3. Statement of our results.** Before stating our main result, we recall that a semiabelian variety is an extension of an abelian variety by an algebraic torus; for more details on semiabelian varieties, we refer the reader to [CGSZ20, Section 2.1] and the references therein.

We prove the following result towards Conjecture 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ , let  $X$  be a semiabelian variety defined over a finite subfield of  $K$ , let  $\Phi$  be a regular self-map of  $X$  defined over  $K$ . Let  $V \subseteq X$  be a subvariety defined over  $K$  and let  $\alpha \in X(K)$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{S}(\Phi, \alpha; V)$  defined by (1.1) is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions along with a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  for which there exists a constant  $A$  depending only on  $X$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $V$  such that for all  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$(1.4) \quad \#\{n \in S: n \leq M\} \leq A \cdot (1 + \log M)^{\dim V}.$$

Our result strengthens [BGT15, Corollary 1.5] for the case of regular self-maps of semiabelian varieties defined over finite fields since in [BGT15] it is shown that the set  $S$  (as in the conclusion of Theorem 1.2) is of Banach density zero; however, the methods from [BGT15] cannot be used to obtain a sparseness result as the one from (1.4).

We establish Theorem 1.2 by combining [CGSZ20, Theorem 3.2] with [Lau84, Théorème 6].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

**2.1. Dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture and linear recurrence sequences.** First, since  $X$  is defined over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $q$  elements

of characteristic  $p$ , we let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be the Frobenius endomorphism corresponding to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We let  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be the minimal polynomial with integer coefficients such that  $P(F) = 0$  in  $\text{End}(X)$ ; according to [CGSZ20, Section 2.1],  $P$  is a monic polynomial and it has simple roots  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ , each one of them of absolute value equal to  $q$  or  $\sqrt{q}$ .

Using [CGSZ20, Theorem 3.2], we obtain that the set  $\mathcal{S}(\Phi, \alpha; V)$  defined by (1.1) is a finite union of *generalized  $F$ -arithmetic sequences*, and furthermore, each such generalized  $F$ -arithmetic sequence is an intersection of finitely many  *$F$ -arithmetic sequences*; see [CGSZ20, Section 3] for exact definitions. Each one of these  $F$ -arithmetic sequences consists of all non-negative integers  $n$  belonging to a suitable arithmetic progression, for which there exist  $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad u_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{i,j} \lambda_j^{a_i k_i},$$

for some given linear recurrence sequence  $\{u_n\}$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ , some given  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , some given constants  $c_{i,j} \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  and some given  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying Part (1) of [CGSZ20, Theorem 3.2], we also see that  $m \leq \dim V$ . Furthermore, the linear recurrence sequence  $\{u_n\}$  (and the  $\lambda_i$ ) along with the constants  $c_{i,j}$  and  $a_i$  depend solely on  $X, \Phi, \alpha$  and  $V$ .

Moreover, at the expense of further refining to another arithmetic progression, we may assume from now on, that the linear recurrence sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is *non-degenerate*, i.e. the quotient of any two characteristic roots of this linear recurrence sequence is not a root of unity; furthermore, we may also assume that if one of the characteristic roots is a root of unity, then it actually equals 1. For more details regarding linear recurrence sequences, we refer the reader to [Sch03]. In addition, we know that the characteristic roots of  $\{u_n\}$  are all algebraic integers (see part (2) of [CGSZ20, Theorem 3.2]); the characteristic roots of  $\{u_n\}$  are either equal to 1 (when  $\Phi$  contains also a translation besides a group endomorphism) or equal to positive integer powers of the roots of the minimal polynomial of  $\Phi$  inside  $\text{End}(X)$ ; for more details, see [CGSZ20, Section 3]. So, the equation (2.1) becomes

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{r=1}^s Q_r(n) \mu_r^n = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{i,j} \lambda_j^{a_i k_i},$$

where  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s$  are the characteristic roots of the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  and  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

**2.2. Reduction to the case  $s = 1$ .** Now, if each polynomial  $Q_r$  from the equation (2.2) is constant, then the famous result of Laurent [Lau84] solving the classical Mordell-Lang conjecture (inside an algebraic torus) provides the desired conclusion that the set of all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  satisfying an equation of the form (2.2) must be a finite union of arithmetic progressions. So, from now on, we assume that not all of the polynomials  $Q_r$  are constant.

Without loss of generality, we assume  $Q_1$  is a non-constant polynomial. According to [Lau84, Section 8, p. 319] (see also [Sch03, Theorem 7.1]) all but finitely many solutions to the equation (2.2) are also solutions to a *subsum* corresponding to the equation (2.2) which contains the term  $Q_1(n)\mu_1^n$ . More precisely, there exists a subset  $1 \in \Sigma_1 \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$  and also, there exists a subset  $\Sigma_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{r \in \Sigma_1} Q_r(n)\mu_r^n = \sum_{(i,j) \in \Sigma_2} c_{i,j} \lambda_j^{a_i k_i}.$$

Moreover, letting  $\pi_1 : \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, \ell\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$  be the projection on the first coordinate, we have  $m_1 := \#(\pi_1(\Sigma_2))$ ; in particular,  $m_1 \leq m$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $\pi_1(\Sigma_2) = \{1, \dots, m_1\}$  (with the understanding that, a priori,  $m_1$  could be equal to 0, even though we show next that this is not the case).

Using [Lau84, Théorème 6], the equation (2.3) has finitely many solutions, unless the following subgroup  $G_\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{1+m_1}$  is nontrivial. As described in [Lau84, Section 8, p. 320], the subgroup  $G_\Sigma$  consists of all tuples  $(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{m_1})$  of integers with the property that

$$(2.4) \quad \mu_r^{f_0} = \lambda_j^{a_i f_i} \text{ for each } r \in \Sigma_1 \text{ and each } (i, j) \in \Sigma_2.$$

Since  $\mu_{r_2}/\mu_{r_1}$  is not a root of unity if  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , we conclude that if  $\Sigma_1$  contains at least two elements (we already have by our assumption that  $1 \in \Sigma_1$ ), then  $f_0 = 0$  in (2.4); furthermore, if  $f_0 = 0$ , then the equation (2.4) yields that each  $f_i = 0$  (since each  $\lambda_j$  has an absolute value greater than 1 and  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). So, if  $\Sigma_1$  has more than one element, then the subgroup  $G_\Sigma$  is trivial and thus, [Lau84, Théorème 6] yields that the equation (2.3) (and therefore, also the equation (2.2)) has finitely many solutions, as desired.

**2.3. Concluding the argument.** Therefore, from now on, we may assume that  $\Sigma_1$  has a single element, i.e.,  $\Sigma_1 = \{1\}$ . In particular, this also means that  $\Sigma_2$  cannot be the empty set since otherwise the equation (2.3) would simply read

$$Q_1(n)\mu_1^n = 0,$$

which would only have finitely many solutions  $n$  (since  $\mu_1 \neq 0$  and  $Q_1$  is non-constant). So, we see that indeed  $\Sigma_2$  is nonempty, which also means that  $1 \leq m_1 \leq m$ .

We have two cases: either  $\mu_1$  equals 1, or not.

**Case 1.**  $\mu_1 = 1$ .

Then the equation (2.3) reads:

$$(2.5) \quad Q_1(n) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \Sigma_2} c_{i,j} \lambda_j^{a_i k_i}.$$

Now, for the equation (2.5), the subgroup  $G_\Sigma$  defined above as in [Lau84, Section 8, p. 320] is the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z} \times \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{1+m_1}$  since each integer  $f_i$  from the equation (2.4) must equal 0 for  $i = 1, \dots, m_1$  (note that  $\mu_1 = 1$ , while each  $\lambda_j$  is not a root of unity). According to [Lau84, Théorème 6, part (b)], there exist positive constants  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  depending only on  $Q_1$ , the  $c_{i,j}$  and the  $a_i$  such that for any solution  $(n, k_1, \dots, k_{m_1})$  of the equation (2.5), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \max\{|k_1|, \dots, |k_{m_1}|\} \leq A_1 \log |n| + A_2.$$

So, for each non-negative integer  $n \leq M$  (for some given upper bound  $M$ ) for which there exist integers  $k_i$  satisfying the equation (2.5), we have that  $|k_i| \leq A_2 + A_1 \log M$ , which means that we have at most  $A_3 (1 + \log M)^{m_1}$  possible tuples  $(k_1, \dots, k_{m_1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1}$ , which may correspond to some  $n \in \{0, \dots, M\}$  solving the equation (2.5) (where, once again,  $A_3$  is a constant depending only on the initial data in our problem). Since  $Q_1$  is a polynomial of degree  $D \geq 1$ , we conclude that the number of solutions  $0 \leq n \leq M$  to the equation (2.5) is bounded above by  $D \cdot A_3 (1 + \log M)^{m_1}$ . Finally, recalling that  $m_1 \leq m \leq \dim V$ , we obtain the desired conclusion from inequality (1.4).

**Case 2.**  $\mu_1 \neq 1$ .

In this case, since we also know that any characteristic root  $\mu_r$  of the linear recurrence sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is either equal to 1, or not a root of unity, we conclude that  $\mu_1$  is not a root of unity.

The equation (2.3) reads now:

$$(2.7) \quad Q_1(n) \mu_1^n = \sum_{(i,j) \in \Sigma_2} c_{i,j} \lambda_j^{a_i k_i}.$$

We analyze again the subgroup  $G_\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{1+m_1}$  containing the tuples  $(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{m_1})$  of integers satisfying the equations (2.4), i.e.,

$$(2.8) \quad \mu_1^{f_0} = \lambda_j^{a_i f_i} \text{ for each } (i, j) \in \Sigma_2.$$

Because  $\mu_1$  is not a root of unity and also each  $\lambda_j$  is not a root of unity, while the  $a_i$  are positive integers, we conclude that a nontrivial tuple

$(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{m_1})$  satisfying the equations (2.8) must actually have each entry nonzero (i.e.,  $f_i \neq 0$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, m_1$ ). Therefore, each  $\lambda_j^{a_i}$  is multiplicatively dependent with respect to  $\mu_1$  and so, there exists an algebraic number  $\lambda$  (which is not a root of unity), there exists a nonzero integer  $b$  such that  $\mu_1 = \lambda^b$ , and whenever there is a pair  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_2$ , there exist roots of unity  $\zeta_{j,i}$  along with nonzero integers  $b_i$  such that

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda_j^{a_i} = \zeta_{j,i} \cdot \lambda^{b_i}.$$

We let  $E$  be a positive integer such that  $\zeta_{j,i}^E = 1$  for each  $(j, i) \in \Sigma_2$ ; then we let  $B_i := E \cdot b_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m_1$ . We now put each exponent  $k_i$  appearing in (2.7) in a prescribed residue class modulo  $E$  (just getting  $E^m$  possible choices) and use (2.9) along with the fact that  $\mu_1 = \lambda^b$ . Writing  $K_i := \lfloor k_i/E \rfloor$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m_1$ , we obtain that finding  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  which solves the equation (2.7) (and then, in turn, also (2.3) and (2.2)) reduces to finding  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  which solves at least one of the at most  $E^m$  distinct equations of the form:

$$(2.10) \quad Q_1(n)\lambda^{bn} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} d_i \lambda^{B_i K_i},$$

for some algebraic numbers  $d_1, \dots, d_{m_1}$ , depending only on  $E$ , the  $c_i$ , and the  $\zeta_{j,i}$ ,  $(i, j) \in \Sigma_2$ . So, dividing the equation (2.10) by  $\lambda^{bn}$  yields that

$$(2.11) \quad Q_1(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} d_i \lambda^{g_i},$$

for some integers  $g_i$ . Then once again applying [Lau84, Théorème 6, part (b)] (see also our inequality (2.6)) yields immediately that any solution  $(n, g_1, \dots, g_{m_1})$  to the equation (2.11) must satisfy the inequality:

$$\max\{|g_1|, \dots, |g_{m_1}|\} \leq A_4 \log |n| + A_5,$$

for some constants  $A_4$  and  $A_5$  depending only on the initial data in our problem  $(X, \Phi, \alpha, V)$ . Then once again (exactly as in **Case 1**), we conclude that there exists a constant  $A_6$  such that for any given upper bound  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have at most  $A_6 (1 + \log M)^{m_1}$  possible tuples  $(g_1, \dots, g_{m_1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1}$ , which may correspond to some  $n \in \{0, \dots, M\}$  solving the equation (2.11). Since  $Q_1$  is a polynomial of degree  $D \geq 1$ , we conclude that the number of solutions  $0 \leq n \leq M$  to the equation (2.11) is bounded above by  $D \cdot A_6 (1 + \log M)^{m_1}$ . Finally, recalling that  $m_1 \leq m \leq \dim V$ , we obtain the desired conclusion from inequality (1.4).

This concludes our proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 3. COMMENTS

*Remark 3.1.* If in the equation (2.2) there exists at least one characteristic root  $\mu_r$  of  $\{u_n\}$  which is multiplicatively independent with respect to each one of the  $\lambda_j$ , then there is never a subsum (2.3) containing  $\mu_r$  on its left-hand side for which the corresponding group  $G_\Sigma$  would be nontrivial. So, in this case, the equation (2.2) would have only finitely many solutions. Therefore, with the notation as in Theorem 1.2, arguing as in the proof of [CGSZ20, Theorem 1.3], one concludes that if  $\Phi$  is a group endomorphism of the semiabelian variety  $X$  with the property that each characteristic root of its minimal polynomial (in  $\text{End}(X)$ ) is multiplicatively independent with respect to each eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of the Frobenius endomorphism of  $X$ , then for each  $\alpha \in X(K)$ , the set  $\mathcal{S}(\Phi, \alpha; V)$  defined by (1.1) is a finite union of arithmetic progressions.

*Remark 3.2.* We notice that in (2.11), if we deal with a polynomial  $Q_1$  of degree 1, then the conclusion from inequality (1.4) is sharp. More precisely, as a specific example, the number of positive integers  $n \leq M$  which have precisely  $m$  nonzero digits (all equal to 1) in base- $p$  is of the order of  $(\log M)^m$ , which shows that Theorem 1.2 is tight if the Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture reduces to solving the equation (2.11) when  $Q_1(n) = n$ ,  $m_1 = m$ ,  $c_1 = \dots = c_m = 1$  and  $\lambda = p$ . As proven in [CGSZ20, Theorem 1.4], there are instances when the Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture reduces *precisely* to such equation.

Now, for higher degree polynomials  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  appearing in the equation (2.11), one expects a lower exponent than  $m$  appearing in the upper bounds from (1.4). One also notices that for any polynomial  $Q_1$ , arguments  $n$  with  $k$  nonzero digits in base- $p$  lead to sparse outputs. Hence, simple combinatorics allows us to obtain a lower bound on the best possible exponent in (1.4). However, finding a more precise exponent replacing  $m$  in (1.4) when  $\deg Q_1 > 1$  seems very difficult beyond some special cases; the authors hope to return to this problem in a sequel paper.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

D. G. and S. S. were partially supported by a Discovery Grant from NSERC, A. O. by ARC Grants DP180100201 and DP200100355, and I. S. by an ARC Grant DP200100355.

## REFERENCES

- [BGT15] J. P. Bell, D. Ghioca, and T. J. Tucker, *The Dynamical Mordell-Lang problem for Noetherian spaces*, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **53** (2015), 313–328. [3](#)



- [BGT16] J. P. Bell, D. Ghioca, and T. J. Tucker, *The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **210**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016, xiii+280 pp. [2](#)
- [CGSZ20] P. Corvaja, D. Ghioca, T. Scanlon, and U. Zannier, *The Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture for endomorphisms of semiabelian varieties defined over fields of positive characteristic*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, to appear. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [8](#)
- [CZ13] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, *Finiteness of odd perfect powers with four nonzero binary digits*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **63** (2013), 715–731. [3](#)
- [Ghi19] D. Ghioca, *The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture in positive characteristic*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), 1151–1167. [2](#)
- [GT09] D. Ghioca and T. J. Tucker, *Periodic points, linearizing maps, and the dynamical Mordell-Lang problem*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 1392–1403. [1](#)
- [Lau84] M. Laurent, *Équations diophantiennes exponentielles*, Invent. Math. **78** (1984), 299–327. [3](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [MS04] R. Moosa and T. Scanlon, *F-structures and integral points on semiabelian varieties over finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), 473–522. [2](#)
- [Sch03] W. Schmidt, *Linear recurrence sequences*, Diophantine Approximation (Cetraro, Italy, 2000), Lecture Notes in Math. 1819, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2003, pp. 171–247. [4](#), [5](#)

DRAGOS GHIOCA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* [dghioca@math.ubc.ca](mailto:dghioca@math.ubc.ca)

ALINA OSTAFE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* [alina.ostafe@unsw.edu.au](mailto:alina.ostafe@unsw.edu.au)

SINA SALEH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* [sinas@math.ubc.ca](mailto:sinas@math.ubc.ca)

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* [igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au](mailto:igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au)