

Math 535, Lecture 18, 17/2/2023

Last time: $T \cong \mathfrak{t} / \Lambda$ torus

then

lie alg.
($\cong \mathbb{R}^n$)

lattice = $\ker(\exp_T)$

$$\hat{T} \cong \Lambda^* = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$$

"weight lattice"

So if $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex representation, have

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda^*} V_{\alpha}$$

"weight space decomposition"

"weight spaces"

$$V_{\alpha} = \{v \in V \mid \forall H \in \mathfrak{t} : \pi(\exp tH) \cdot v = e(\alpha(H)) v\}$$

"weights of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ " = $\{\alpha \mid V_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}\}$

$$e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$$

If V is a real representation,

"weights of V " = "weights of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ ".

On $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ have complex conjugation exchanging $V_{\alpha}, V_{-\alpha}$.

Example: $V = su(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ix & y \\ -\bar{y} & -ix \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{matrix} x \in \mathbb{R} \\ y \in \mathbb{C} \end{matrix} \right\}$

$$\mathfrak{T} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-ix} \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{R} / 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ix & 0 \\ 0 & -ix \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad \Lambda = 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} i & \\ & -i \end{pmatrix} \\ = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi i & \\ & -2\pi i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Ad}(\exp H) \cdot X = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} e^{ih} & \\ & e^{-ih} \end{pmatrix}}_{\exp H} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} ix & y \\ -\bar{y} & -ix \end{pmatrix}}_{X \in su(2)} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ih} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{ih} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} ih & \\ & -ih \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} ix & e^{2ih} y \\ -e^{-2ih} \bar{y} & -ix \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ix & e^{2\pi i h} y \\ -e^{-2\pi i h} \bar{y} & -ix \end{pmatrix}$$

$$su(2) \cong \mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i & \\ & -i \end{pmatrix} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ -\bar{y} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$y = u + iv$ then

$$e^{2\pi i h} y = (\cos(2\pi h) + i \sin(2\pi h)) \cdot (u + iv)$$

see that $\text{Ad}(\exp H)$ not diagonalizable! (over \mathbb{R})

But, on $SU(2)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2 \mathbb{C}$

$$\text{Ad}(e^{2\pi i h}) \cdot \left[\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ & \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} & i \\ i & \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i h} & \\ & e^{-2\pi i h} \\ & & 0 \\ -e^{-2\pi i h} & & & \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} & i e^{2\pi i h} \\ & & \\ i e^{-2\pi i h} & & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \cos(2\pi h) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ & \end{pmatrix} + \sin(2\pi h) \begin{pmatrix} & i \\ i & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ i \cos(2\pi h) \begin{pmatrix} & i \\ i & \end{pmatrix} - \sin(2\pi h) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (\cos(2\pi h) + i \sin(2\pi h)) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ (-i \cos(2\pi h) + \sin(2\pi h)) i \begin{pmatrix} & i \\ i & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e^{2\pi i h} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ & \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} & i \\ i & \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

\Rightarrow in $SU(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ have a joint eigenvector.

Today. roots in \mathfrak{cpt} lie \mathfrak{g} ps

Fix G cpt Lie sp, $T \subset G$ max torus,
 $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$, $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie } T$, $\Lambda = \text{ker}(\exp_T)$

Def: The **rank** of G is $\text{rk}_G = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} T$.
 The **semisimple rank** of G is $\text{rk}(G/\mathfrak{z}(G))$.

Def: The **real roots** of G (wrt T) are the nonzero weights of the adjoint action of T on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Write $\Phi = \Phi(G; T)$ for the roots

Have $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$
 ↑ weight spaces for weights α

$\mathfrak{g}_0 = T$ -fixed vectors, is conjugation-invt
 so is complexification of T -fixed vectors
 in \mathfrak{g} , i.e. $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(t) = t$. So set:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

basic structural
 result on \mathfrak{g}

We want to understand $[\cdot, \cdot]$ in this representation.

Let $H \in \mathfrak{t}$, $\chi_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Then

$$\text{Ad}(\exp(tH)) \cdot \chi_\alpha = e(\alpha(tH)) \cdot \chi_\alpha.$$

Diff. w.r.t t get $\boxed{\text{ad}_H \cdot \chi_\alpha = 2\pi i \alpha(H) \cdot \chi_\alpha}$

Def: Call $H \mapsto 2\pi i \alpha(H)$ the **complex root** associated to the real root α .

Note: $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ (vanishing on \mathfrak{n})
 $2\pi i \alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*$ (purely imaginary)

Real roots are natural for representation theory (incl. studying "root system"), complex roots are natural for structure theory, i.e. compute commutators

Aside: Also have **exponential roots** $\chi_\alpha \in \hat{T}$

$$\chi_\alpha(\exp H) = e(\alpha(H)).$$

So $\text{Ad}(t) \cdot \chi_\alpha = \chi_\alpha(t) \cdot \chi_\alpha$ if $t \in T$.

Lemma: For $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^*$, $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$

PF: let $H \in \mathfrak{t}$, $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $X_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$

$$\text{ad } H \cdot [X_\alpha, X_\beta] = [H, [X_\alpha, X_\beta]] \stackrel{\text{Jacobi identity}}{=} -[X_\alpha, [X_\beta, H]] - [X_\beta, [H, X_\alpha]]$$

$$= -[X_\alpha, -2\pi i \beta(H) X_\beta] - [X_\beta, 2\pi i \alpha(H) X_\alpha]$$
$$= 2\pi i \beta(H) [X_\alpha, X_\beta] + 2\pi i \alpha(H) [X_\alpha, X_\beta]$$

$$= 2\pi i \cdot (\alpha + \beta)(H) [X_\alpha, X_\beta]. \quad \square$$

Thm: If $\text{rk } G = 1$ then G is $SU(2)$ or $SO(3)$

PF:

(1) If β is a root, $X_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, then $X_{-\beta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{X_\beta} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$

so $[X_\beta, X_{-\beta}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+(-\beta)} = \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}$.

If $[X_\beta, X_{-\beta}] = 0$ then $\text{span}\{X_\beta, X_{-\beta}\}$ would

be a 2d commutative subalgebra inv'd by $X \rightarrow \bar{X}$,
 so complexification of of commutative 2d subalg.
 of \mathfrak{g} . But $\text{rk } \mathfrak{G} = 1$.

So write $H_\beta = [X_\beta, X_{-\beta}] \neq 0$

$$\overline{H_\beta} = \overline{[X_\beta, X_{-\beta}]} = [X_{-\beta}, X_\beta] = -H_\beta$$

So $H_\beta \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{R}$ & $iH_\beta \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{R}$.

(check: $X_\beta \rightarrow X_{-\beta}$, $\frac{X_\beta - X_{-\beta}}{i}$, iH_β

give 3d real subalg. of $\mathfrak{so}_\mathbb{R}$)

$$\cong \text{SU}(2) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3)$$

(2) For any fixed $H \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{R}$ (eg. iH_β),
 every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$ determined by $\alpha(H)$ ($\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$)
 \mathbb{R}

order \mathfrak{F} by those values