

Math 535, lecture 29    22/3/2023

Last time:  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ ,  $G$  cpt ctd,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\beta > 0} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}$

Thm: let  $V$  be a f.d. irrep of  $G$  with highest wght  $\lambda$ .

Then:

(1)  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda} = 1$

(2)  $V_{\lambda} = \{v \in V \mid \mathfrak{n} \cdot v = 0\}$

(3)  $V_{\mu} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \alpha_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$     ;  $\Delta = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^r$

(4)  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{w\mu} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\mu} \quad (w \in W)$

also

$V_{\mu} \neq 0 \Rightarrow |\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ , equality iff  $\mu \in W \cdot \lambda$ .

( $\Rightarrow \mu \in \text{Conv}(W \cdot \lambda)$ )

(5)  $V$  is determined by  $\lambda$  up to isom.

(from theory for  $\mathfrak{G}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\lambda$  is a g. integral:  $\lambda(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ )  
 $\Rightarrow$  all weights are

From pfs If  $V$  is an  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module,  $v \in V$  has weight  $\mu$  annihilated by  $\mathfrak{n}$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \chi_{\alpha} v = 0$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ). Then submodule generated by  $v$  is

$$U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \cdot v$$

with weights  $\mu - \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \alpha_i$ ,  $(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot v)_{\mu} = \mathbb{C}v$ .

Today: converse: for each alg. integral dominant weight  $\lambda$  Firrep of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ .

Def: Call a rep'n (possibly  $\infty$ -dim) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  ( $\rho$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ ) a **highest weight module** if it is generated (as a rep'n) by a vector  $v \in V$  of weight  $\lambda$  s.t.  $\lambda$  is the highest occurring weight in  $V$ .

Again, if  $V$  is a highest weight module,  $v_{\lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$ .  
Then  $n \cdot v_{\lambda} = 0$  ( $\lambda$  is highest)

So  $V = U(\bar{n}) \cdot v_{\lambda}$ .

$\Rightarrow V$  is a sum of weight spaces

$\Rightarrow$  weights are  $\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \alpha_i$   $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$   
of form

$\dim V_{\lambda} = 1$

Def: For a weight  $\lambda$  let  $\mathbb{C}^{\lambda}$  for the 1d rep'n of  $t_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus n$  where  $t_{\mathbb{C}}$  acts via  $\lambda$ ,  $n$  acts by zero. The **Verma module**  $W^{\lambda}$  is the induced module

$$\text{Ind}_{U(t_{\mathbb{C}})U(n)}^{U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})} \mathbb{C}^{\lambda} \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{U(t_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus n)} \mathbb{C}^{\lambda} \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) / \mathfrak{I}_{\lambda}$$

where  $I_\lambda$  is the left ideal of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by  $n \cup \{H - N(H)\}_{\text{Het}}$ .

Observation: (1) This is a highest weight module,  
 (2) It is universal among them

(If  $V$  highest-weight module, map  $\mathbb{C} \ni 1 \rightarrow v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$   
 then map  $X \cdot 1 \rightarrow X v_\lambda$  for  $X \in U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ )  
 well-defined by universal property of  $\otimes$ .

(3) Each weight space is fin.

(4) If  $U \subset W^\lambda$  is a submodule,  $U$  is also  
 a sum of weight spaces

$\Rightarrow$  (5) Every **proper** invariant subspace is  
 contained in  $\bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} W^\mu$ . (a sum of weight  
 spaces, can't meet  $W^\lambda$ )

$\Rightarrow$  Sum of all proper submodules is a proper  
 submodule, hence the maximal one.

(6)  $W^\lambda$  has a unique irred quotient  $L^\lambda$ ,  
 the unique irreducible highest-weight module.

Thm (existence) Suppose  $\lambda$  is algebraically integral and dominant. Then  $L^\lambda$  is f.d.

Thm: To classify unitary dual (= f.d. irreps of  $G$ )  
 First classify more general class, then see which are unitarizable.

Pf: let  $v_\lambda \in W_\lambda$  be the highest weight vector.  
 Normalize  $X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha} = \bar{X}_\alpha$  s.t.  $[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = \check{\alpha}$ , then

$$X_\alpha (X_{-\alpha})^{k+1} = (X_{-\alpha})^{k+1} X_\alpha + (k+1) X_{-\alpha}^k (\check{\alpha} - k)$$

(in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ )

Apply to  $v_\lambda$ .  $X_\alpha \cdot v_\lambda = 0$  ( $\lambda$  highest weight)  
 $\check{\alpha} \cdot v_\lambda = \lambda(\check{\alpha}) \cdot v_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow X_\alpha \cdot (X_{-\alpha})^{k+1} v_\lambda = (k+1) (\lambda(\check{\alpha}) - k) \cdot (X_{-\alpha})^k v_\lambda$$

choosing  $k = \lambda(\check{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  by hypothesis we set

$$X_\alpha \cdot ((X_{-\alpha})^{\lambda(\check{\alpha})+1} v_\lambda) = 0.$$

If  $\beta$  is another simple root,  $X_{-\alpha}, X_{\beta}$  commute since  $\langle \beta, -\alpha \rangle = 0$ . So

$$X_{\beta} \left( (X_{-\alpha})^{\lambda(\alpha)+1} v_{\lambda} \right) = (X_{-\alpha})^{\lambda(\alpha)+1} X_{\beta} v_{\lambda} = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot (X_{-\alpha})^{\lambda(\alpha)+1} v_{\lambda}$  is a highest-weight module of weight  $\lambda - (\lambda(\alpha)+1)\alpha < \lambda$

$\Rightarrow$  in  $L^{\lambda}$ , the  $\mathfrak{lie} \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha}$ -submodule generated by  $v_{\lambda}$  is of dim  $\lambda(\alpha)+1$

Write  $M_{\alpha} =$  sum of all f.d.  $\mathfrak{lie} \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha}$ -submodules of  $L^{\lambda}$ .

Then  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_{\alpha}$  is also a sum of f.d.  $\mathfrak{lie} \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha}$ -submodules

So its image in  $L^{\lambda}$  is such a module, hence contained in  $M_{\alpha}$

So.

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot M_{\alpha} \subset M_{\alpha}$$

So  $M_{\alpha} \subset L^{\lambda}$  is a  $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -submodule

But  $M_{\alpha} \neq 0$  so  $M_{\alpha} = L^{\lambda}$  (irreducibility).

Since f.d. reps of Lie  $G_\alpha$  are completely reducible and weights are  $G_\alpha$ -inv't set

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_{S_\alpha \mu}^\lambda = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_\mu^\lambda$$

for all weights  $\mu$ .

Now  $S_\alpha$  generate  $W$ , so same holds for  $w$ .

Since weights spaces in  $W^\lambda$  are f.d. enough to show  $L^\lambda$  has finitely many weights

By  $W$ -invariance and finiteness of  $W$  enough to show finitely many  $W$ -orbits, i.e. finitely many dominant weights

If  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \alpha_i$  is dominant then

$$0 \leq \langle \rho, \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \alpha_i \rangle \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} 0 \leq n_i \leq \frac{\langle \rho, \lambda \rangle}{\langle \rho, \alpha_i \rangle} \\ \langle \rho, \alpha_i \rangle, \langle \rho, \lambda \rangle \geq 0 \end{matrix}$$

□